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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To determine whether an induction-maintenance strategy of combined therapy (methotrexate (MTX)+tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor (TNFi)) followed by withdrawal of TNFi could yield better long-term results than a strategy with MTX monotherapy, since it is unclear if the benefits from an induction phase with combined therapy are sustained if TNFi is withdrawn.

**Methods:** We performed a meta-analysis of trials using the initial combination of MTX+TNFi in conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug-naïve patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (RA). A systematic literature search was performed for induction-maintenance randomised controlled trials (RCTs) where initial combination therapy was compared with MTX monotherapy in patients with clinically active early RA. Our primary outcome was the proportion of patients who achieved low disease activity (LDA; Disease Activity Score (DAS)28<3.2) and/or remission (DAS28<2.6) at 12–76 weeks of follow-up. A random-effects model was used to pool the risk ratio (RR) for LDA and remission and heterogeneity was explored by subgroup analyses.

**Results:** We identified 6 published RCTs, 4 of them where MTX+adalimumab was given as initial therapy and where adalimumab was withdrawn in a subset of patients after LDA/remission had been achieved. 2 additional trials used MTX+infliximab as combination therapy. The pooled RRs for achieving LDA and clinical remission at follow-up after withdrawal of TNFi were 1.41 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.89) and 1.34 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.89), respectively. There was significant heterogeneity between trials due to different treatment strategies, which was a limitation to this study.

**Conclusions:** Initial therapy with MTX+TNFi is associated with a higher chance of retaining LDA and/or remission even after discontinuation of TNFi.

**Key messages**

**What is already known about this subject?**
A possible strategy in treating early rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is to intensively initiate with combined tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) and methotrexate (MTX), followed by withdrawal of TNFi. However, studies investigating this principle have yielded somewhat conflicting results.

**What does this study add?**
This is the first meta-analysis showing that early intensive treatment of RA with MTX+TNFi followed by discontinuing the TNFi in an 'induction-maintenance' approach has clinical benefits for patients during the combined treatment as well as later when the TNFi is discontinued.

**How might this impact on clinical practice?**
If these results are confirmed, treating early RA with MTX+TNFi followed by maintenance with MTX alone may be a reasonable strategy despite high initial costs.

**INTRODUCTION**

Over the past two decades, the therapeutic landscape for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has changed dramatically, especially due to the
introduction of biological disease-modifying drugs (bDMARDs) such as tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi).

There are currently five approved TNFi (adalimumab (ADA), etanercept, infliximab (IFX), golimumab and certolizumab pegol). Although they all target the same cytokine, there are differences in their molecular structure, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which make them not identical. The main goal of RA treatment today is to achieve remission or at least low disease activity (LDA) when remission is not feasible. Treating to target is a strategy that aims to achieve a desirable disease state and change the treatment accordingly in order to reach the desired target. The rheumatologist as well as the patient should be involved in this process.

Recommended by EULAR, the most commonly prescribed first-line conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (csDMARD) for RA therapy is methotrexate (MTX), as part of an initial strategy. However, in several randomised controlled trials (RCTs), combination therapy (MTX+TNFi) has been shown to yield improved response compared with MTX monotherapy and, if initiated very early, achieving and maintaining remission is more likely. It is still unclear whether discontinuation of TNFi is possible after LDA or remission is achieved. In order to answer this question, ‘induction-maintenance’ trials have been performed, where patients discontinue TNFi after a limited treatment period. Induction-maintenance trials use an intensive combination therapy (MTX+TNFi) early in the course of the disease as the first-line treatment (induction period) and then withdraw the biological agent (maintenance period). Some studies have suggested that the initial induction with intensive combination treatment may increase the possibility to sustain functional and quality of life benefits and maintain LDA after withdrawal of TNFi.

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs to summarise the proportion of patients who achieve LDA and/or remission with combination therapy versus MTX monotherapy after a period of induction with TNFi and then withdrawal. The aim was to assess whether an induction-maintenance strategy of MTX+TNFi followed by discontinuation of TNFi could yield better long-term results than a strategy with MTX monotherapy.

**METHODS**

This study was developed according to the Cochrane collaboration guidelines (http://www.cochrane.org) and followed a protocol that prespecified study selection, eligibility criteria, quality assessment, data abstraction and statistical analysis. The findings are reported according to the ‘Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)’.

**Search strategy**

The search strategy was performed according to the ‘Participants, Interventions, Comparisons, Outcome and Study design (PICOS)’ statement (table 1). In order to find RCTs, where the induction maintenance of combination therapy is tested, a systematic review of records was done using four databases from their inception until 9 May 2016: MEDLINE (Ovid, PubMed), EMBASE, Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL; which includes unpublished trials) and Clinicaltrials.gov. Medical subject heading (MeSH) terms included RA: rheumatoid arthritis, Methotrexate, antirheumatic agent, Adalimumab, Golimumab, Certolizumab pegol, Etanercept, Infliximab, tumor necrosis factor α, monoclonal antibody, biological product, limited to clinical trials in humans (see online supplementary table S1 for detailed search).

**Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

We selected studies (abstracts, published manuscripts and unpublished trials with results) that met the following criteria: (1) RCTs where initial combination therapy (MTX+TNFi) was compared with MTX monotherapy in adult patients with clinically active early RA, defined as disease duration ≤1 year or symptom duration ≤2 years; (2) discontinuation of the TNFi that had 3 months as the minimal initial duration of MTX plus TNFi; and (3) trials that reported disease activity at the end of the induction and maintenance periods. We required that the studies report information on our outcomes of interest. Our primary outcome measure was the proportion of patients achieving LDA (Disease Activity Score (DAS)28≤3.2) or remission (DAS28≤2.6) after the maintenance period. The secondary outcome was the proportion of patients achieving LDA or remission at induction. Studies were excluded if they were designed to evaluate patients with conditions other than early RA, had non-randomised study designs (ie, observational studies, non-comparative studies, case reports), non-English or were preclinical (animal) studies. Studies were excluded if the patients with RA were not on biologics and MTX naïve at the start of the trial and if the DAS was not reported.

**Table 1** PICOS used to outline the research question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Biologics and methotrexate-naïve adult patients with clinically active early rheumatoid arthritis with a disease duration ≤1 year or symptom duration ≤2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Initial combination therapy (methotrexate +tumour necrosis factor inhibitor) and then discontinuation of the tumour necrosis factor inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Methotrexate monotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Proportion of patients achieving low disease activity (DAS28≤3.2) at follow-up after discontinuation of tumour necrosis factor inhibitor or achieving remission (DAS28≤2.6) after discontinuation of tumour necrosis factor inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*DAS, Disease Activity Score.*
Data extraction and study selection

The records were screened by title and abstract, first by one researcher (SE) and finally the potentially relevant RCTs were read thoroughly by two researchers (SE and EVA). Any differences were discussed until agreement was reached. Data on study year, type of TNFi, symptom/disease duration at baseline, age, per cent of female, DAS28 (C reactive protein/erythrocyte sedimentation rate), DAS/Health Assessment Questionnaire Disease Index (DAS/HAQ) at baseline, per cent of LDA/remission before discontinuation of TNFi (at induction), per cent of patients who reach remission at induction out of those who have already achieved LDA, required LDA before discontinuation (DAS-driven design), number of participants randomly assigned into the treatment arms, geographical region, number of weeks of induction therapy, number of weeks after discontinuation of TNFi, dosages of MTX/TNFi, additional therapy, blinding and per cent in LDA/remission after discontinuation were entered independently into standardised extraction forms. Quality assessment of the RCTs were evaluated with Jadad Quality Scale, which is a three-item, five-point quality scale to rate the quality of the trials; two points are given for descriptions of randomisation, two points for description of double-blinding and one point for description of withdrawal.18

Statistical analysis

Outcomes were analysed on an intention-to-treat basis. For each trial, the risk ratio (RR) and 95% CI of the effects of combination therapy compared with MTX monotherapy were calculated. The DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model was used to pool the data, allowing for within-study and between-study variation. Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the $I^2$ statistic and $\chi^2$ test where a p value <0.10 was considered to be statistically significant. A value of above 50% for $I^2$ was considered to be high.19 The pooled RR represents an estimate of the increased chance of maintaining remission or LDA when using MTX+TNFi as initial therapy compared with MTX monotherapy. In addition, sensitivity analyses were performed to examine the influence of individual studies. To examine potential sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were conducted by stratifying on the basis of method of MTX administration (oral or subcutaneous), use of intra-articular glucocorticoid (GC) injections, type of blinding (blinded vs non-blinded), requirement of LDA at induction, induction period (12, 24–26 and 48–54 weeks) and type of TNFi. Statistical analyses were performed with ‘Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA)’ V3. Publication bias was investigated using Begg’s funnel plot and evaluated using Egger’s regression test.20 21

RESULTS

Literature search

We identified 509 records in MEDLINE, 221 records in EMBASE, 780 records in CENTRAL and 43 records at clinicaltrials.gov (figure 1). Of the potentially relevant studies retrieved, the majority were excluded because they were not RCTs and/or they were not induction-maintenance trials. In one of the induction-maintenance trials, the patients were treated with MTX prior to the introduction of the TNFi, that is, the patients were not MTX naïve; therefore, the study was excluded.22 Another study was excluded because there was no MTX arm in the trial.23 In the end, a total of six studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis (figure 1) where induction maintenance in early RA was tested using ADA24–27 and IFX.14 28 For two of the studies, we obtained the original data from the authors.26 27 The studies included involved 1723 csDMARD-naïve patients where 861 were randomised to MTX monotherapy and 862 were randomised to initial combination therapy followed by TNFi withdrawal.

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis are displayed in table 2. The trials included had been published between 2004 and 2014 and performed at 198 sites across the world. The LDA outcome was reported by all six included studies and the clinical remission outcome at maintenance was reported by all included studies except the BeST trial. All of the studies were investigator-initiated except for OPTIMA. Two out of five trials had a Jadad score of 3 because of the non-double blindness (see online supplementary table S2). During maintenance, the LDA response after discontinuing the biologic in the MTX+TNFi group ranged from 33% to 83% and the remission rates ranged from 27% to 66%.

The largest trial included in the analysis, OPTIMA, tested the induction-maintenance strategy and showed that the patients in the trial maintained a stable LDA target on initial MTX+ADA even after withdrawal of ADA. The disease activity criterion for discontinuation of ADA was LDA, which had to be achieved at two visits spaced with 1 month. Following a year of maintenance treatment with MTX, a significantly higher proportion of patients achieved remission who were started on combination therapy other than monotherapy (27% vs 15%; p<0.0001). In OPTIMA, more than 40% of patients were treated with systemic GCs at baseline.26

The two smaller studies (Guépard and HIT HARD)26 27 also investigated the discontinuation of ADA. Both Guépard and the HIT HARD trial observed a higher proportion of patients achieving remission during maintenance (30% vs 22%; p=0.44) and (41% vs 33%; p=0.25), respectively, between those who had started with MTX+ADA versus those who started with MTX. Regarding LDA, the smaller Guépard trial revealed numerical but statistically non-significant differences in LDA response during maintenance (39% vs 22%; p=0.18), respectively, between those who had
started with MTX+ADA versus those who started with MTX. In Guépard, the disease activity criterion for discontinuation of ADA was LDA. The same trend was observed in HIT HARD (51.7% vs 45.9%; p=0.44). In HIT HARD, ADA was discontinued regardless of disease activity.

The Opera trial showed no difference in achieving remission or LDA during maintenance between the two arms MTX+ADA (66% vs 69%; p=0.67) and MTX (83% vs 83%; p=0.94), respectively. After induction, LDA response in the MTX alone group was highest in Opera (76%) as well as the initial remission rates (49%). After induction, the initial remission rates in the MTX+TNFi group were also the highest in Opera (75%). This may have to do with the baseline DAS28 which was slightly lower in Opera, and moreover Opera used an aggressive intra-articular Triamcinolone treatment strategy which was added to MTX+ADA.

Two trials were included that studied IFX. In Quinn et al.14 10 patients were randomised to receive MTX+IFX for a year followed by a maintenance treatment of MTX and standard clinical care, and another 10 patients received MTX monotherapy. During maintenance (50% vs 30%; p=0.36) and (70% vs 50%; p=0.36) achieved remission and LDA, respectively, between those who had started with MTX+ADA versus those who started with MTX. However, the study was very small. Additional therapies (sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine) were used in the MTX group and those patients also received more MTX than did the MTX+IFX arm in the second year of the study.

The BeST trial tested four different treatment strategies, of these we examined arm 4, induction maintenance with MTX+IFX, and compared with arm 1, MTX monotherapy. Treatment adjustments were made every 3 months depending on the DAS28; however, LDA had to be sustained for at least 6 months in order to withdraw IFX. More patients in arm 4 (56% at 2 years) could remain on MTX monotherapy with persistent LDA than patients who had initially started with MTX monotherapy (32% at t=2 years) with a significant difference (p<0.05).

**Data synthesis**

At the end of the induction phase, the pooled RR for achieving LDA and remission was 1.70 (95% CI 1.21 to 2.38) and 1.67 (95% CI 1.42 to 1.95), respectively, confirming that combined therapy was significantly more effective than MTX monotherapy (figure 2). After discontinuing TNFi, the pooled RR for LDA and remission...
| Study, year of publication | TNFi (dosage) | Dosage of MTX per trial arm, application route | Female (%) per trial arm | Age ±SD, years per trial arm | Blinding | Disease/symptom duration mean ±SD, per trial arm at BL, months | Indicators of disease activity, per trial arm, mean ±SD | HAQ, mean ±SD, per trial arm | HAQ at BL ±SD | Patients per trial arm | Added therapy (corticosteroids) |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| T20 2004                 | Infliximab (3 mg/kg given at BL, 2 wks, 6 wks and at 8-wk intervals until 46 wks). | Both arms: 7.5 mg/wk at BL, 15 mg/wk at wk14. | Combo: 60 Mono: 60 | Combo: 51±10 Mono: 53±14 | 54 wks double-blinded, then observational | Combo: 6(3–12) *7±5† Mono: 5(3–11) *6 ±4† | DAS28-ESR by default Combo: 6 Mono: 7 | Combo: 1±1 Mono: 1±1 | Combo: 10 Mono:10 | Glucocorticoids were not permitted for the first 14 wks of study. Thereafter (intra-articular or intramuscular) glucocorticoids were permitted if clinically required (maximum dose: 120 mg methylprednisolone in 3-month study periods). |
| BeST 2005                | Infliximab (3 mg/kg, adjusted after 3 months depending on DAS) Infliximab was increased from 3, 6, 7.5 to 10 mg/kg over 8 wks if persistent DAS over LDA | Combo: 25–30 mg/wk Mono:15 mg/wk (was increased if LDA) | Combo: 66 Mono: 68 | Combo: 54±14 Mono: 54±13 | Blinded joint assessors | Combo: median 0.5/6(3–12) * Mono: median 0.5/6(4–14) * | DAS44-ESR by default Combo: 4±1 Mono: 5±1 | Combo: 1±1 Mono: 1±1 | Combo: 128 Mono:126 | 22% received intra-articular glucocorticoid injections in mono, while 13% received it in combo. |
| OPTIMA 2014             | Adalimumab (40 mg eow) | Both arms: maximum 20 mg/wk, orally | Combo: 73 Mono: 67 | Combo: 50±15 Mono: 49±13 | Double-blinded | Combo: 4(3)/not stated Mono: 4(3)/not stated | DAS28-CRP combo: 6±1 Mono: 6±1 | Combo: 515 Mono: 517 | Combo: 515 (1)† Mono: 1 (1)† | Co-therapy with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or prednisone or equivalent (≤10 mg/day), could continue at a stable dose for 4 wks or more before BL. |

*Median (IQR).
†Mean (SD).
BL, baseline; combo, combination arm; CRP, C reactive protein; DAS28, disease activity score by 28 joints; DAS44, disease activity score by 44 joints; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire; LDA, low disease activity, eow, every other week; mono, MTX monotherapy arm; MTX, methotrexate; sc, subcutaneously; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor; wk, week.
was 1.41 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.89) and 1.34 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.89), respectively, indicating that initial induction therapy was associated with better outcome even after discontinuing the biologic. Heterogeneity among these trials was high at 81% and 70% (figure 3).

Subgroup and sensitivity analyses

The subgroup analysis showed that the Opera study appeared to change the heterogeneity dramatically (table 3). After exclusion of the Opera trial, due to the usage of GC as part of a treatment strategy, the pooled RR for LDA was 1.56 (95% CI 1.25 to 1.96; I²=41%, p=0.15) and the pooled RR for clinical remission was 1.59 (95% CI 1.28 to 1.99; I²=0%, p=0.48). The induction period of 12 weeks has the highest RR of 1.76 (95% CI 1.33 to 2.34; I²=0%, p=0.96), indicating that the induction period of 12 weeks is the most beneficial, compared with an induction period of 24–26 or 48–54 weeks. The sensitivity analyses examined the influence of each trial on the meta-analysis. Opera was the only study that had a large effect on the RR and heterogeneity. By excluding OPTIMA, BeST, Guèpard and T20 one at a time, the RR and heterogeneity ranged from only 1.29 to 1.41 and 70% to 85%, respectively.

Egger’s test was non-significant (p=0.20). A visual inspection of the funnel plot also showed no evidence of publication bias (see online supplementary table S3).

DISCUSSION

Our study is the first to examine whether an advantage is seen even after withdrawal and during maintenance with MTX alone. Using a systematic literature review followed by a meta-analysis, we examined whether initial combination therapy is associated with a higher chance of retaining LDA and/or remission, even after discontinuation of TNFi. The pooled RR of LDA and remission after the maintenance phase showed that there is a 34–41% higher risk of achieving remission and LDA when using initial MTX+TNFi.

It has been shown earlier that combination therapy with a biological agent is superior to MTX monotherapy for remission in early RA. Whether remission can be maintained after withdrawal of TNFi therapy was systematically reviewed by Navarro-Millán et al.,33 who concluded that discontinuation of TNFi(s) seemed possible when using an intensive initial treatment. In contrast to the current study, Navarro-Millán et al also included non-randomised trials and did not meta-analyse the results in terms of remission or LDA. Our study is more up to date, including the Guèpard and Opera trials which were conducted in the past 5 years.

Strengths and limitations

The treatment effects in the six trials were inconsistent since the overall response rates at maintenance for the MTX-TNFi group varied from 33% to 83% and 27% to

---

**Figure 2** Forest plot of the risk ratio of attaining LDA or remission using combination therapy versus monotherapy at induction. The I² and p values for heterogeneity are shown (remission=DAS28<2.6; LDA=DAS28<3.2). DAS28, disease activity score by 28 joints; LDA, low disease activity; MTX, methotrexate; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor.
66% for LDA and remission, respectively. The disease duration in the HIT HARD trial was very short with a mean of 1.6–1.8 months and the administration of MTX was performed subcutaneously as opposed to orally in the other trials. The two trials aforementioned and OPTIMA only allowed a maximum of one intra-articular injection of prednisolone, and fixed or oral prednisolone up to 10 mg daily. In the Opera trial, intra-articular GC use was consistent and mandatory throughout the study as part of the treat-to-target strategy where a total of 1710 injections were administered. The mean age within the patients in the Opera trial was to some extent higher, whereas the baseline DAS28 mean was slightly lower compared with the other trials.25 34 35

The studies included were not identical, and the details in the strategies differed; different induction and maintenance periods were used, in T20 additional therapies (csDMARDs) were used at maintenance in the MTX monotherapy arm, only two of the studies (HIT HARD and OPTIMA) were double-blinded throughout the trial, HIT HARD was the only one that administered MTX subcutaneously; Guépard, OPTIMA and BeST had a DAS-driven design and required LDA before the patients could discontinue the TNFi. The heterogeneity that is addressed here was explored by subgroup and sensitivity analyses.

The MTX dosage may introduce a source of bias in biological trials in RA.36 The suboptimal dose of 15 mg/week MTX in T20 and HIT HARD might have had an influence on the outcome of the results in this analysis. Additionally, the doses of MTX in the monotherapy arm in the BeST trial are slightly lower than in the combination arm.

Our data should be interpreted with caution. The small number of studies (n=6) qualifying for the inclusion criteria resulted in a lower power to detect sources of heterogeneity and to detect publication bias. We did not include non-English papers, which could have led to publication bias. However, we included abstracts and unpublished trials to minimise possible publication bias.

Generalisability of RCTs may be limited since patients are highly selected to participate in RCTs. The patients seen in routine practice are more diverse than those included in this study, who were csDMARD-naïve patients only with very high disease activity. Also, the maximum follow-up duration was 76 weeks, which makes it unclear whether the remission is sustained permanently.

Despite these limitations, the analyses are based on the best data available and all the studies fulfilled our inclusion criteria as described in the methods. The patients in the induction-maintenance trials are all patients with early...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Subgroup and sensitivity analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
<td><strong>No of trials in the meta-analysis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required LDA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not require LDA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction period 12 weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction period 24–26 weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction period 48–54 weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFi: adalimumab</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFi: infliximab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-blinded</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No double-blinded</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX subcutaneously</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX orally</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC as part of a treatment strategy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC not part of a treatment strategy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of OPTIMA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of BeST</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of Guépard</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of T20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC, glucocorticoids; I², heterogeneity; LDA, low disease activity; MTX, methotrexate; NA, not applicable; no, number; RR, risk ratio; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor.
RA, with a disease duration of <6 months. One of the factors that play a role in the treatment response is how established the disease has become,37 and since the overall goal is to be able to diagnose and treat patients at an as early stage as possible, our results indicate the possible treatment outcome for those who are in an early RA stage.38 The most consistent data regarding how these treatments work come from MTX-naive patients in RCTs, since all patients are receiving active therapy for the first time and selection biases may play a less important role in determining outcomes. The literature search was comprehensive, and efforts were taken to obtain more data. Future studies should focus on an induction period of 12 weeks since the RR of the Guépard and BeST trials indicated that it might be the most beneficial induction treatment period. Additionally, in order to make the comparisons just, in the future it would be of interest to compare the MTX+TNFi discontinuation arm with MTX (perhaps administered subcutaneously) combined with GCs, use similar optimised doses of MTX in both arms and to practise a treat-to-target strategy in the trial settings. Thus, the results must be interpreted with the comparator in mind.

Implications for practice

In today’s clinical practice, there are several treatment strategies in RA. According to the EULAR recommendations, MTX should be part of the first-line treatment strategy and in case there is an intolerance, sulfasalazine or leflunomide should be considered. Also, as part of the initial treatment strategy, low-dose GCs should be considered (in combination with one or more csDMARDs) for up to 6 months. If the target is not reached, another csDMARD strategy should be considered. Furthermore, bDMARD should be considered if poor prognostic factors are present.69 Additionally, in future trials, it would be of interest to administer MTX subcutaneously rather than orally, since it is associated with greater clinical efficacy even at the same dose of 15 mg/week.60

According to our study, early intensive treatment of RA with MTX+TNFi has clinical benefits during the combined treatment as well as in an ‘induction-maintenance’ approach later when the TNFi is discontinued. This suggests that induction maintenance, despite high initial costs, may be a reasonable strategy for a subset of patients with early RA. However, to make this possible, conclusive biomarkers that can identify these patients are needed, which are not currently available. This approach may offer the potential that a more intensive and expensive treatment used for a restricted time provides a lasting benefit. Future RCTs confirming the ‘induction-maintenance’ approach may lead to a paradigm change in the treatment of early RA.
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