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ABSTRACT

Context The severity of Sjögren’s syndrome has been evaluated using a wide variety of clinical measures and patient-reported outcomes (PROs). This may contribute to the lack of clarity concerning the burden of Sjögren’s from the patient perspective.

Objective To perform a comprehensive peer-reviewed literature analysis of the patient aspects of Sjögren’s, focusing on PROs, to investigate the complexity underlying the evaluation of the syndrome and to elucidate the discordance between the different measures.

Methods We searched Embase for articles published between January 2005 and September 2015. Research articles, clinical and diagnostic reviews, and validation studies with a focus on patient aspects of Sjögren’s were selected as the primary information source.

Results 157 articles met the eligibility criteria. A wide variety of assessment measures used to evaluate glandular, extraglandular and functional domains were observed. Many different, non-validated Visual Analogue Scales, with a wide range of anchor words, were used in the quantification of Sjögren’s disease burden, impeding comparisons between studies. Relatively few clinical trials of drug therapies used validated scales: European League Against Rheumatism Sjögren’s Syndrome Patient Reported Index was used most often for symptom assessment and 36 Item Short Form Survey for quality of life (QoL).

Conclusion A wide range and diversity of measures are used to evaluate the patient burden of Sjögren’s; most are not validated for use in this disease. PRO endpoints, validated specifically in Sjögren’s, that demonstrate improvement are needed. These measures should focus on QoL aspects important to patients and will most likely involve gauging change in function rather than patient-reported symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

Sjögren’s syndrome: disease description and patient burden

Sjögren’s is a female-predominant systemic autoimmune rheumatic disease that affects the entire body. Symptoms can include extensive dryness, profound fatigue, chronic pain and neuropathies, with complications including major organ involvement and lymphoma.1–4 After rheumatoid arthritis (RA), Sjögren’s is considered to be the most common rheumatoid autoimmune disorder,1,2 with reported prevalence rates around the world ranging from 0.03% in Japan and 0.09% in Greece to 2.7% in Sweden.3 It should be noted, however, that reported prevalence rates vary widely with regard to geographical region and the classification criteria used.3
The traditional classification of Sjögren’s as primary or secondary, dependent on the absence or presence of other major autoimmune diseases (eg, RA, systemic lupus erythematosus), may be obsolete, meaning that a diagnosis of Sjögren’s should be made for all patients fulfilling consensus criteria, irrespective of the presence of comorbidities. This approach towards a single, unifying definition of Sjögren’s is supported by the Sjögren’s Syndrome Foundation (SSF). The manifestations of Sjögren’s range from classic symptoms of dryness throughout the body (eyes, mouth, sinuses, oesophagus, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, lungs, skin and vagina), and extraglandular manifestations resulting from infiltration of lymphocytes into organs (eg, liver, kidney), to more severe extra-epithelial manifestations resulting from immune complex deposition in the tissues (eg, glomerulonephritis, peripheral neuropathy). Up to 70% of patients with Sjögren’s report debilitating fatigue, and a significantly increased prevalence of depression has been reported among female patients compared with controls.

A serious complication of Sjögren’s linked with increased mortality is the elevated risk of lymphoma. Patients with Sjögren’s have been reported to have up to a 6.5%–16% increased risk of developing non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and a 1000-fold increased risk of developing parotid gland marginal zone lymphoma. Challenges for the diagnosis of Sjögren’s

Diagnosing Sjögren’s presents many challenges related both to the different classification criteria that have been used and to the wide range of signs and symptoms and their similarities with signs and symptoms associated with other diseases. Up to now, several classification systems have been used, with the 2002 American and European Consensus Group being currently the most widely used, although classification criteria continue to evolve with collaborations between international Sjögren’s and Rheumatologic Societies proposing new criteria. The latest classification criteria for Sjögren’s, jointly approved by the American College for Rheumatology and the latest classification criteria for Sjögren’s, jointly approved by the American College for Rheumatology and the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR), were published recently. While patients often present with classic symptoms of dryness, others present with systemic manifestations. Peripheral neuropathies have been shown to be the presenting symptom in 25% of patients with Sjögren’s. In one study of patients with Sjögren’s-associated neuropathy, most (93%) had clinical manifestations of neuropathy that preceded either the development of sicca symptoms or laboratory findings consistent with Sjögren’s. Younger patients are more frequently diagnosed on the basis of systemic involvement (eg, parotitis, peripheral neuropathy and arthralgia), and are less likely to have classic sicca symptoms. All of the above challenges can lead to lack of diagnosis or misdiagnosis, or to delays in diagnosis, which have been reported to range from 3 to 11 years. The SSF 5-year Breakthrough Goal to shorten the time to diagnose Sjögren’s by 50% in 5 years will have reduced the time from 4.7 years in 2012 to 3 years by the end of 2016 (personal communication).

Patient-reported outcomes

In recent years, there has been a growing trend towards patient-centred care (ie, determining what is important from the patient’s perspective) as a means to improve the quality of healthcare and ensure the provision of value-based medicine. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends that patient-reported outcome (PRO) instruments be used to assess efficacy in clinical trials as some effects of an illness or treatment can only be known to the patient. It emphasises, however, that the adequacy of any PRO instrument (as a measure to support medical product labelling claims) depends on whether its characteristics, conceptual framework, content validity and other measurement properties can be shown to be satisfactory. Development of a valid PRO instrument is grounded in a foundational understanding of the experiences of the patients themselves. This framework, known as content validity, is achieved by patient interviews and focus groups which lead to the concepts of interest and ultimately the exact question(s) that comprise an instrument. Further construct validity is demonstrated through assessment of correlation with other ‘gold standard’ instruments measuring similar concepts, and finally, assessment of the measurement properties of the instrument (eg, reliability and responsiveness) completes the validation steps.

Measurement of patient-reported symptoms in Sjögren’s

The measurement of patient-reported symptoms in Sjögren’s has evolved since the first consensus-building conference of specialists in 2000 identified the key features of the disease that they felt were important to include in a disease-specific measurement instrument. Subsequent to this, from the patient perspective, the Profile of Fatigue and Discomfort (PROFAD) and Sicca Symptoms Inventory (SSI) were developed which, when used together, encompassed 64 individual questions assessing the patient’s worst symptoms over the past 2 weeks related to eight domains (physical fatigue, mental fatigue, arthralgia, vascular discomfort, and oral, ocular, cutaneous and vaginal dryness) with each question being rated on a 0–7 numerical rating scale. Due to the perceived burden of a lengthy questionnaire, the questions were consolidated to shorten the PROFAD-SSI to 19 questions covering the same eight domains as the original. This was further reduced to a shortened SSI (10 questions) and five individual Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) assessing the worst dryness symptoms (overall dryness, dry mouth, dry eyes, dry skin, vaginal dryness) over the past 2 weeks. This finally culminated in the development of the EULAR Sjögren’s Syndrome Patient Reported Index (ESSPRI), which was further condensed to three numeric rating scales (0–10) assessing overall severity of dryness, fatigue and pain over the past 2 weeks related to eight domains. The measurement of patient-reported symptoms in Sjögren’s has evolved since the first consensus-building conference of specialists in 2000 identified the key features of the disease that they felt were important to include in a disease-specific measurement instrument. Subsequent to this, from the patient perspective, the Profile of Fatigue and Discomfort (PROFAD) and Sicca Symptoms Inventory (SSI) were developed which, when used together, encompassed 64 individual questions assessing the patient’s worst symptoms over the past 2 weeks related to eight domains (physical fatigue, mental fatigue, arthralgia, vascular discomfort, and oral, ocular, cutaneous and vaginal dryness) with each question being rated on a 0–7 numerical rating scale. Due to the perceived burden of a lengthy questionnaire, the questions were consolidated to shorten the PROFAD-SSI to 19 questions covering the same eight domains as the original. This was further reduced to a shortened SSI (10 questions) and five individual Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) assessing the worst dryness symptoms (overall dryness, dry mouth, dry eyes, dry skin, vaginal dryness) over the past 2 weeks. This finally culminated in the development of the EULAR Sjögren’s Syndrome Patient Reported Index (ESSPRI), which was further condensed to three numeric rating scales (0–10) assessing overall severity of dryness, fatigue and pain over the past 2 weeks related to eight domains.
weeks. The three individual questions of the ESSPRI were found to be strongly correlated with their corresponding domain scores of the PROFAD and SSI. While reporting of symptoms directly from the patient’s perspective is recognised as important in Sjögren’s, there have only been weak associations found between patient-reported symptoms of dry eyes and dry mouth and objective measures of the corresponding gland functions. Similarly, the ESSPRI has been shown to have low correlation with its corresponding disease activity measure ESSDAI (EULAR Sjögren’s Syndrome Disease Activity Index), suggesting that they are two complementary components. While ESSPRI and ESSDAI accurately measure Sjögren’s severity from patient and physician perspectives, respectively, they do assess different constructs. Consequently, there is discordance between them such that severity according to one scale does not necessarily correspond to severity according to the other scale.

Rationale and objectives
The range and heterogeneity of measures used to evaluate the patient burden of Sjögren’s has not been investigated in depth.

The objectives of this analysis were twofold: (1) to perform a comprehensive literature analysis of the patient aspects of Sjögren’s, focusing on patient outcomes and the measures used to evaluate them, and (2) to investigate the complexity underlying the evaluation of Sjögren’s and elucidate the discrepancy between different measures.

METHODS
We performed a search of Embase using the following search string, aiming to identify original clinical and observational research papers and review articles that included patient-reported measures of the patient burden of Sjögren’s: ‘sjoegren syndrome’/ti AND ‘quality of life’ OR qol OR ‘patient reported’ OR burden OR functional OR mental OR preference OR satisfaction OR attitude OR expectations OR ‘unmet need’ OR scale OR measure OR psychometric OR caregiver OR ‘standard of care’ OR cost OR economic OR productivity OR absenteeism OR ‘medical leave’ OR hospital* OR disabl*) AND [humans]/lim AND [english]/lim AND (2005–2015)/py AND (article/it OR ‘article in press’/it OR review/it).

During the search, we used the following inclusion criteria: language (English), date (January 2005–September 2015) and studies in humans. Only original articles, articles in press and reviews were included in the search key.

Article titles identified by the search strategy were downloaded into a Microsoft Excel file. RWMB scanned the titles and, where available, abstracts of the articles identified by the search, and selected articles for inclusion in the study. Titles and/or abstracts that did not meet the inclusion criteria were identified and removed. Exclusion was based on the following criteria: no primary focus on Sjögren’s, non-original research (letters, commentaries, etc), case studies/series and small studies (<10 patients), narrative/opinion-based reviews and articles that did not report on patient burden. JAB and ANN independently validated the selected and deselected articles. When a discrepancy arose, the authors discussed the respective article, and a consensus was reached about the inclusion/exclusion of the study. Additionally, the authors searched through their own collections of literature to ensure that no relevant articles known to them had been omitted.

Full articles for the titles and abstracts positively identified above were analysed and data were extracted (by RWMB) and entered into the Excel file. The following general variables were extracted: first author, title, journal, year of publication, type of article, type of study, study size, country of origin (patient population), database source and type, and criteria for disease diagnosis. The following patient aspects and specific variables were extracted: intervention, health-related quality-of-life (QoL) scale used, clinical signs (physician rated), clinical signs (laboratory tests), general disease PRO scales, exocrine gland PRO scales, extraglandular PRO scales, validation, patient satisfaction, productivity and disability. If no information on the patient aspects or specific variables could be retrieved, the articles were excluded from the analysis. Extraction of the above variables allowed for the identification of patient-reported symptoms and their impact on functional status, factors that impacted QoL, disease-specific outcomes and patient preferences. Furthermore, those studies that reported change in PRO measures and/or validated the use of PRO measures were identified.

RESULTS
The search strategy using inclusion criteria intended to select articles dealing with patient burden of Sjögren’s yielded 374 articles, 193 of which were excluded based on the title and/or abstract (figure 1). One article was added by one of the authors (KMH). In all, 182 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, 25 of which were excluded based on no information being available regarding patient aspects (figure 1).

Results of individual articles
Sjögren’s disease description
A total of 157 articles included in the study described patient-reported symptoms associated with Sjögren’s and/or their impact on functional status. These consisted of 128 research articles (20 open-label studies, 16 placebo-controlled trials, 85 prospective cohort studies, six retrospective cohort studies, one group concept mapping study), 21 clinical reviews, four validation studies, two diagnostic reviews and two article type not specified. The articles reported four domains of glandular symptoms (sicca overall, oral dryness, ocular dryness, general
dryness in systems other than oral or ocular), 18 domains of extraglandular symptoms (fatigue, pain, depression, anxiety, sleep, sexual function, emotional function, cognitive performance, discomfort, impact of symptoms, learned helplessness, physical activity, personality, relationship status, autonomic function, headache, GI disease, lung involvement) and four domains of patient functional status (QoL, physical functioning, employment, utility) (table 1; online supplementary tables S1 and S2).

Of the 157 articles, 32 reported on factors that had an impact on QoL (online supplementary table S3). The most commonly mentioned factor affecting QoL was fatigue (seven articles), followed by pain (five articles) and depression (five articles). Only 4 of the 157 articles reported on the impact of Sjögren’s burden on work productivity.11 40 51 58

In total, 143 PRO measures were used to measure glandular symptoms, extraglandular symptoms and functional status (37 VAS and 106 non-VAS; online supplementary table S1). These consisted of 46 measuring glandular symptoms (23 VAS, 23 non-VAS; online supplementary table S1), 78 measuring extraglandular symptoms (12 VAS, 66 non-VAS; online supplementary table S1) and 19 measuring functional status (2 VAS, 17 non-VAS; online supplementary table S1). In the evaluation of most of the commonly reported symptom domains, a wide variety of different assessment measures were used, including 24 measures for oral symptoms, 14 measures for fatigue, 14 measures for pain and 11 measures for QoL (table 1; online supplementary table S1). Very few of these measures have been validated.

Many VAS were used to evaluate various different symptom domains of Sjögren’s (eg, oral dryness, ocular dryness, pain, fatigue) (figure 2). However, even within a specific symptom (eg, ocular dryness or fatigue), a wide range of response anchor words were used across different publications and in some cases no anchor words were specified, making it difficult to compare VAS results across studies. Online supplementary table S2 shows a detailed overview of wording for symptoms used in VAS across different studies.9 12 31–34 59–100

Patient perspective and preferences

Only two articles mentioned patient ‘satisfaction’ or ‘preference’.

In a single-blind crossover study of the efficacy of artificial saliva (Xialine) to treat patients with Sjögren’s, Alpöz et al stated that patients’ satisfaction was measured using a VAS, increased by 16.37% with Xialine and decreased by 25.63% with placebo.69

In a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study of the efficacy of cevimeline hydrochloride for the treatment of xerostomia in Chinese patients with Sjögren’s, Leung et al measured satisfaction using a VAS with the anchor words ‘no benefit’ to ‘extremely beneficial’.101
Sjögren’s syndrome

Table 1 Symptoms of Sjögren’s syndrome and related patient-reported outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Number of measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glandular symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicca symptoms</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General dryness</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral symptoms</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular symptoms</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraglandular symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual function</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional function</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive performance</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discomfort</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned helplessness</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship status</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomic function</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung involvement</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient functional status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They found no significant differences in patient satisfaction or patient preference between cevimeline and placebo.

Measuring change in symptoms with use of therapies

Of the 157 articles, 33 reported on clinical trials (including controlled and open label) of drug therapies for Sjögren’s. PROs have played a prominent role in these studies. The most commonly used PRO scales were oral dryness/dry mouth VAS (n=17), followed by 36 Item Short Form Survey (SF-36; n=15), fatigue VAS (n=14), pain VAS (n=12), sicca symptoms/dryness VAS (n=10) and ocular dryness/dry eyes VAS (n=10). Figure 2 shows the frequency of use of all the PRO measures from the trials identified in this review. Controlled trials of biological therapies identified for this review have used PROs as both secondary and primary endpoints with a 20%–30% improvement in at least two VAS most commonly being included as part of a composite primary endpoint. None of the studies of biological therapies reported during the time frame of this review achieved a statistically significant improvement over placebo using such a PRO composite primary endpoint, and mixed results were seen across the studies with other individual PROs (online supplementary table S4).

Measuring disease burden: validation of measures

The more recently developed PRO measures have been extensively validated in the past for use in patients with Sjögren’s and are trusted by clinicians as valuable tools to assess disease severity. Validation studies identified during the time frame of this review were short form PROFAD-SSI,27 ESSPRI,104 Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20)89 and the Swedish version of Profile of Fatigue (ProF).105

Bowman et al compared a short version (19 questions) of the PROFAD-SSI to the previously validated longer version (64 questions) and found statistically significant correlations (Spearman’s ρ ranging from 0.779 to greater than 0.90; p<0.01) for all eight domain scores and the three summary scores (PROF, PROFAD and SSI). Principal components analysis found high internal consistency for the PROFAD-SSI short form. This study also compared the shortened SSI part of this instrument (containing one item measuring dry skin, one for vaginal dryness, three for ocular dryness and five for oral dryness) with individual VAS representing each dryness domain and one overall dryness VAS, and determined that a single VAS is representative of the multiple items in each domain of the SSI. The authors also performed a correlation analysis between all the individual domains of the PROFAD-SSI short form and one individual VAS of fatigue and found the strongest correlation with somatic fatigue domain. The conclusions from this study were that a seven-item VAS may be useful for clinical trials.27

Seror et al evaluated the construct validity, responsiveness and reliability of the ESSPRI compared with the PROFAD and SSI and found the ESSPRI correlated well with the Patient Global Assessment, PROFAD and SSI.
different (non-VAS) instruments were used to measure the severity of Sjögren’s. However, it is important to note that evaluation of these aspects of care is not included in current therapeutic clinical trials. However, as demonstrated by Seror et al, lack of correlation between instruments measuring these symptoms and clinician-measured outcomes as well as a low sensitivity to measure an improvement in symptoms has called into question the usefulness and validity of PROs as measurement tools in therapeutic clinical trials. However, as demonstrated by Seror et al, lack of correlation between Patient Global Assessment score and Physician Global Assessment score in patients with Sjögren’s underscores the fact that some aspects of the disease can only be known and assessed by the patient.

Insensitivity of a PRO instrument could be related to content validity within the specific population being studied. Patient input as to the importance of the three domains measured by the ESSPRI was obtained during its development as well as during the development of the predecessor measures (PROFAD and SSI). Other reasons why a particular PRO measure could lack sensitivity could be related to the response options provided, or the recall period. While the ESSPRI uses a relatively short (2-week) recall period, symptoms that could be variable over time (e.g., fatigue and pain) may warrant a daily measurement strategy, or an alternative to reduce recall bias, for example, focusing the patient’s attention on extremes, such as worst or best symptoms over the recall period, symptoms that could be variable over time (e.g., fatigue and pain) may warrant a daily measurement strategy, or an alternative to reduce recall bias, for example, focusing the patient’s attention on extremes, such as worst or best symptoms over the recall period.

The heterogeneous nature of the condition results in a wide range of signs and symptoms which have been evaluated using an equally wide range of outcome measures, which may be a reflection of the considerable worldwide interest in Sjögren’s. During the time frame of this literature review (January 2005–September 2015), 106 different (non-VAS) instruments were used to measure patient-perceived disease burden in Sjögren’s covering sicca symptoms, fatigue, pain, emotional function, sleep, sexual function, cognitive performance, physical activity and QoL, among others. VAS were used to measure 35 different concepts from extent of dryness across different body systems to more functional assessments, such as difficulty chewing, denture retention or ability to sleep. The variability in use of response anchor words or lack of specification of the anchor words for each end of the VAS makes these difficult to compare across studies. While VAS have been the most commonly used PRO measure in drug therapy trials, formal validation of such scales in patients with Sjögren’s, including which degree of improvement constitutes a clinically meaningful change, does not appear to have been documented yet.

More recently, patient-reported symptoms of overall dryness, fatigue and pain have become the focus (i.e., ESSPRI), consistent with the literature demonstrating these symptoms to have a strong impact on patient QoL. However, lack of correlation between instruments measuring these symptoms and clinician-measured outcomes as well as a low sensitivity to measure an improvement in symptoms has called into question the usefulness and validity of PROs as measurement tools in therapeutic clinical trials. However, as demonstrated by Seror et al, lack of correlation between Patient Global Assessment score and Physician Global Assessment score in patients with Sjögren’s underscores the fact that some aspects of the disease can only be known and assessed by the patient.
Instrument development for Sjögren’s clinical trials continues to progress with a responder index recently being developed, as well as alternative measurement approaches, such as the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System. Lendrem et al suggested that future research should be conducted to develop a primary Sjögren’s disease-specific QoL measure. We would like to expand on that idea to suggest a QoL assessment approach based on a patient’s ability to function. Given the wide range of body systems affected and symptoms experienced, assessing function may be more valuable than assessing severity of individual symptoms, and may provide a more realistic picture of the patient burden of Sjögren’s in the real world. Such a concept, based on function, has recently been developed for ankylosing spondylitis.

For PRO assessments to be broadly useful, they not only need to represent symptoms that are most relevant to patients, but also demonstrate the ability to detect improvement in response to therapeutic intervention, and have sufficient documented evidence on their content and construct validity in the Sjögren’s population to meet the current regulatory requirements. Future research efforts to further understand the patient experience in Sjögren’s could provide information to refine existing or develop new instruments to more specifically and sensitively assess patient symptoms.

Study strengths/limitations
To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this comprehensive review is the first of its kind to catalogue the breadth of patient-reported symptoms that have been assessed in patients with Sjögren’s, as well as the wide range and diversity of measures that have been used to evaluate symptom severity. This study has identified gaps in the literature related to attitudes of patients with Sjögren’s towards the current standard of care, their preferences regarding therapy, the drivers of patient satisfaction and the burden of Sjögren’s on caregivers.

This study has a number of possible limitations. The search strategy was limited to one database (Embase), although the research was performed through exhaustive and comprehensive searching. The search was also restricted to articles published in the English language, with a 10-year time frame. An assessment of the validity of included articles through a risk-of-bias analysis was not performed. However, the goal of this study was not to produce a best-evidence synthesis (relevant effects of interventions) but rather to provide evidence of the range and heterogeneity of assessments used, which may be an impediment to a clear understanding of patient burden. While the authors feel that this review represents an important step towards understanding the complexity of measures used to evaluate severity of Sjögren’s, a formal systematic review involving searches of multiple databases would undoubtedly be of great value and should be considered as a future line of research.

CONCLUSIONS
A clear understanding of the burden of Sjögren’s is needed to provide optimal care and support for patients. This understanding is currently hampered by the use of a wide range of heterogeneous measures of glandular and extraglandular symptoms, QoL, and functional status, most of which have not been validated for use in Sjögren’s. Because factors affecting QoL of patients with Sjögren’s can be among the most difficult to measure, endpoints that are validated specifically in patients with Sjögren’s are needed to demonstrate improvement. These measures should focus on the QoL aspects that are important to patients, and may involve gauging change in function rather than patient-reported symptoms.
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