ABSTRACT

Objectives Congenital heart block (CHB) occurs in 1%–2% of anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive pregnancies. A population-based recurrence rate of 12% indicates that factors other than maternal autoantibodies influence CHB development. Here we report the first investigation to identify environmental and lifestyle factors influencing the risk of CHB.

Methods A questionnaire focused on environmental and lifestyle factors was distributed to anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive women who had given birth to at least one child with CHB, and additional data were retrieved from national health registers. Statistical analysis was performed comparing pregnancies resulting in a child with CHB (n=81) and pregnancies resulting in unaffected siblings (n=108).

Results Analysis of maternal body mass index and weight gain during pregnancy as well as medication intake and sun exposure did not reveal significant differences between CHB-affected and non-CHB pregnancies. By contrast, we found that reports of infections and stressful events were significantly more frequent in CHB-affected pregnancies than in non-CHB affected pregnancies (OR 17.9, 95% CI 4.1 to 62.8, p<0.001 and OR 5.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 25.1, p<0.05, respectively). Notably, outdoor activity a few hours per day emerged as a protective factor (OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.99, p<0.05). The previously reported factor seasonal timing of pregnancy was confirmed (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.1 to 4.2, p<0.05), and multivariate analysis revealed that this association was partly explained by infection and outdoor activity.

Conclusions In this retrospective study, infections, stressful events and time spent with outdoor activities emerged as environmental and lifestyle factors influencing the risk of CHB, warranting confirmation in prospective studies.

INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune congenital heart block (CHB) is a rare but life-threatening condition associated with the presence of anti-Ro/SSA and anti-La/SSB autoantibodies in the mother of the affected child. During pregnancy, maternal antibodies are transferred across the placenta and may contribute to an inflammatory reaction in the fetal heart that will result in a permanent block of electric signal conduction at the atrioventricular node. CHB usually develops between weeks 18 and 24 of pregnancy and is often first detected as the fetus presents with signs of bradycardia.1–4

The association between CHB and the presence of maternal anti-Ro/SSA autoantibodies is strong, with anti-Ro/SSA antibodies detected in close to 90% of affected pregnancies.5 However, recurrence rates of 12% despite the continued presence of maternal antibodies6–8 indicate that additional factors contribute to disease pathogenesis and are required for CHB development. Fetal genetics, in particular the HLA locus, have been implicated in susceptibility to CHB in recent studies.9,10 In addition, hypothyroidism and maternal age have been suggested as risk factors for CHB. Parity and fetal sex, however, do not appear to affect CHB risk.6,11–14

Notably, no study so far has investigated the potential influence of lifestyle factors on the
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risk for CHB, although we recently observed that season of birth was associated with CHB occurrence. To identify environmental and lifestyle factors that may influence CHB development, we used data from the Swedish Medical Birth Register and performed the present questionnaire-based study, inviting anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive mothers with at least one child with CHB and included in a population-based cohort to participate.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Participants
Identification of a population-based cohort of anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive women giving birth to at least one child with CHB was described previously. All women in the cohort having given birth to a child between the years 1959 and 2009 were invited to participate (n=88). The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Committee Stockholm, and the women gave informed written consent.

Questionnaire
A questionnaire was generated based on data from interviews conducted in a subgroup of women from this cohort and included demographic questions, questions regarding maternal health and medication as well as questions focusing on lifestyle factors before and during pregnancy. Space was provided for reporting optional additional information. Data collection was conducted between March and June 2011. The response rate was 89% (n=78/88). However, all women did not respond to all questions in the questionnaire, and the number of respondents for each question is therefore indicated in the tables presenting the data. Each woman participating in the study was requested to answer a set of questions for each of her pregnancies—the one leading to the birth of a healthy sibling, and responses were compared between CHB and non-CHB pregnancies. For each question, the time interval before or during pregnancy to which the answer should refer was clearly stated. Time intervals of the investigated factors are detailed in the table footnotes.

Data from the Swedish Medical Birth Register
Data on maternal height, weight and weight gain during pregnancy were extracted from the national Swedish Medical Birth Register (www.socialstyrelsen.se). Data for body mass index (BMI) and gestational weight increase were available for a limited number of pregnancies as indicated in the tables.

Statistical analysis
The Mann-Whitney U test (GraphPad Prism 5) was applied to assess differences in maternal BMI and weight increase during pregnancy. χ² statistics were used to calculate differences between the two pregnancy outcome groups using the Fisher’s exact test (R, V.2.15.2). Haldane’s correction was used for OR calculations with zero counts in one of the groups. Logistic regression analysis using the generalised linear model in R V.2.15.2) with a binomial distribution was used for multivariate analyses. For the multivariate analysis, combined counts from the categories a few hours/day and a few hours/week were used for the variable outdoor activity. Testing for trends regarding lifestyle factors was performed with the Cochrane-Armitage test in R (V.2.15.2). A p value<0.05 was considered significant. We have previously reported data on season of birth from 69 of the cases included in the present study.

RESULTS

Response rate
To identify environmental and lifestyle factors that may influence CHB development, we designed the present questionnaire-based study. Anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive women included in a population-based cohort of mothers who had given birth to at least one child with CHB (n=88) were invited to participate. The response rate to the questionnaire was 89% (n=78/88), which generated information on 81 pregnancies where the child developed CHB and 108 sibling pregnancies where the child did not develop CHB.

Maternal rheumatic diagnosis and autoantibody status
All women participating in the present study were anti-Ro/SSA antibody positive. Of these, 100% and 76% had antibodies to the Ro52 and Ro60 component of the Ro/SSA antigen, respectively, and 67% were anti-La/SSB antibody-positive at the time the study was conducted (table 1). At the time of CHB, 58% of the women had not been diagnosed with a rheumatic disease or were asymptomatic. Women reporting a rheumatic diagnosis most commonly had systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or Sjögren’s syndrome, while 4% of the women reported a diagnosis of undifferentiated connective tissue disease (UCTD) (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of the participating women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic diagnosis* (n=78)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCTD</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No diagnosis/asymptomatic</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Ro/SSA and anti-La/SSB antibodies (n=78)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Ro52</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Ro60</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-La</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers* (n=76)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Self-reported.
**Number of participating women.
***Number of women responding to the question.
SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; UCTD, undifferentiated connective tissue disease.
The proportion of ever and never smokers among the women were almost equal, with 49% of ever versus 51% of never smokers (table 1). Among women who reported being ever-smokers, 19% (n=15) stated that they smoked during pregnancy, and they reported similar smoking habits for both their CHB-affected and non-CHB-affected pregnancies (data not shown).

**Maternal BMI and weight increase during pregnancy**

Neither maternal BMI nor weight increase during pregnancy significantly differed between the CHB and non-CHB pregnancy groups (table 2). Mean maternal BMI before pregnancy was approximately 23 for both groups, and the CHB-affected and non-affected pregnancies’ mean maternal weight increase during pregnancy was 11.2±5.9 kg and 10.7±6.0 kg, respectively (table 2).

**Maternal medication**

Investigating the maternal intake of medication related to treatment of rheumatic diseases 3 months before pregnancy and up until gestational week 25, we found that few women indicated taking any immunomodulatory medication, consistent with the large proportion of women not diagnosed with any rheumatic disease at the time of pregnancy. Exposure to other drugs was not significantly different in medication intake reports between the time of pregnancy. We did not observe any significant difference in sun exposure habits during pregnancy, and they reported similar smoking habits for both their CHB-affected and non-CHB-affected pregnancies (data not shown).

**Lifestyle factors and infections**

In a previous study, we found that season of birth was significantly associated with CHB, with an increased proportion of children with CHB being born during the Swedish summer. This in turn corresponds to the CHB risk period (gestational weeks 18–24) occurring during the months of January to March. Seasonal timing of pregnancy may influence the amount of time spent outdoors in climates such as in Sweden, thereby potentially impacting sun exposure and physical activity. We therefore asked the women in our cohort to indicate how much outdoor activity they were engaged in while pregnant as well as their sun exposure habits (table 3). We observed that high outdoor activity (a few hours per day) was protective (OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.99, p=0.04, table 4).

Most women did not report any change in their sun exposure habits during pregnancy; a decrease or increase in sun exposure was reported during 21%–24% and 2%–5% of pregnancies, respectively. We did not observe any significant difference in sun exposure habits between pregnancies in which the child developed or did not develop CHB (table 4).

Infections are more common during the cold season, and an association between seasonal timing of pregnancy and CHB might therefore reflect a link to infections. The women in this cohort reported having an infection (respiratory tract infection or influenza) before gestational week 25 in 28% of the pregnancies resulting in a child with CHB versus only 2% of the pregnancies.
Table 4  Lifestyle factors before and during pregnancy in anti-Ro/SSA positive women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CHB pregnancies</th>
<th>Non-CHB pregnancies</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>p Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Outdoor activity during daytime*,†, % (frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few hours/day</td>
<td>48 (37/77)</td>
<td>64 (64/100)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.27 to 0.99)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few hours/week</td>
<td>43 (33/77)</td>
<td>31 (31/100)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.85 to 3.25)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few hours/month or never‡</td>
<td>9 (7/77)</td>
<td>5 (5/100)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.49 to 7.90)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Sun exposure*, % (frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased sun exposure</td>
<td>5 (4/79)</td>
<td>2 (2/108)</td>
<td>2.81 (0.39 to 31.82)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased sun exposure</td>
<td>21 (16/76)</td>
<td>24 (25/106)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.39 to 1.86)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solarium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few times /week</td>
<td>0 (0/80)</td>
<td>1 (1/108)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.01 to 13.04)§</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few times/month</td>
<td>5 (4/80)</td>
<td>5 (5/108)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.20 to 5.22)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>never</td>
<td>95 (76/80)</td>
<td>95 (102/108)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.25 to 5.58)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip abroad with increased sun exposure</td>
<td>10 (8/77)</td>
<td>8 (9/108)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.40 to 3.93)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Infections* and stressful events*, % (frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious disease¶</td>
<td>28 (21/76)</td>
<td>2 (2/97)</td>
<td>17.87 (4.11 to 162.84)</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful event**</td>
<td>11 (8/76)</td>
<td>2 (2/97)</td>
<td>5.54 (1.05 to 55.08)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Before week 25 of pregnancy.
†Examples given were games, sports, walks, gardening, work.
‡Categories few hours/month and never were combined in the table; none answered never.
§Haldane’s correction was used for calculation.
¶Includes respiratory tract infections, gastrointestinal tract infections and skin infections.
**Death or severe disease of a close relative or own severe disease.
CHB, congenital heart block.

resulting in a child without CHB, leading to a significant association between self-reported infection and CHB development (OR 17.87, 95% CI 4.11 to 162.84, p<0.001, table 4).

Psychological stress week 25

In a semiopen question, the women were asked if they had experienced any particular distressing event during their pregnancy before week 25. A positive answer was given for 11% (8/76) of the pregnancies in which the child developed CHB versus only 2% (2/97) of the pregnancies resulting in a sibling without CHB (OR 5.54, 95% CI 1.05 to 55.08, p=0.02, table 4). For six of the CHB pregnancies, this ‘distressing event’ was reported to be the death or severe disease of a close relative. The additional two positive answers related to a cancer diagnosis and severe depression of the women themselves. For the non-CHB pregnancies, one woman reported that a close relative had died, and the other indicated that she herself was hospitalised due to a severe unspecified diagnosis.

Multivariate analysis of risk factors in CHB development

Infection and outdoor activity emerged as factors potentially associated with CHB from our analysis. Since it is likely that both of these parameters are tied to seasonal variation, a factor that we have previously shown to be associated with CHB occurrence, we performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis to investigate if these factors were independently associated with CHB. We found that infection was significantly associated with CHB in a univariate analysis (OR 18.2, 95% CI 5.0 to 116.1, p<0.001) (table 5) and that this association remained significant in the multivariate analysis when seasonal timing of pregnancy and outdoor activity were taken into account (OR 18.0, 95% CI 4.8 to 117.2, p<0.001) (table 5). Although outdoor activity did not significantly associate with CHB in the univariate analysis, we found it positively modulated pregnancy outcome when infections were taken into account, as indicated by an OR of 0.5 for CHB development (95% CI 0.2 to 0.9, p<0.05) in multivariate analysis (table 5). Notably, the previously reported association of season of birth with CHB was also
Table 5 Multivariate association analysis of risk factors for CHB outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Covariate 1</th>
<th>Covariate 2</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.2 (5.0 to 116.1)</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Birth season†</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3 (4.7 to 111.3)</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Outdoor activity‡</td>
<td>18.2 (4.9 to 118.2)</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td>18.0 (4.8 to 117.2)</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3 to 1.2)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 to 1.0)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 (1.1 to 4.2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9 (0.9 to 3.9)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1.9 (0.9 to 3.7)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth season</td>
<td>Outdoor activity</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7 to 3.5)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes respiratory tract infections, gastrointestinal tract infections and skin infections.
†CHB risk period falls into January–March.6
‡Examples given were games, sports, walks, gardening and work.

CHB, congenital heart block.

detected in the present study (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.1 to 4.2, p<0.05, univariate analysis) (table 5), but when adjusting for infection or outdoor activity, the significance was lost (OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.9 to 3.9, p=0.08, and OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.9 to 3.7, p=0.07, respectively, in multivariate analysis) (table 5). This indicates that the association observed between seasonal timing of pregnancy and CHB development is in part explained by the risk conferred by infection and lack of outdoor activity.

**DISCUSSION**

Given the relatively low recurrence rate of anti-Ro/SSA autoantibody-associated CHB despite persisting maternal autoantibodies, genetic and environmental factors have been suggested to play a role in disease development. However, which environmental factors might potentially influence pregnancy outcome remains largely unknown. We therefore performed the present questionnaire-based study to identify possible environmental and lifestyle factors associated with CHB in a population-based cohort of women who had given birth to at least one child with CHB.

Analysing potential risk factors for CHB related to maternal features, we found that neither BMI nor weight increase during pregnancy significantly differed between pregnancies resulting in a child with CHB and pregnancies resulting in a sibling without CHB, although the number of analysed pregnancies was limited due to data availability for these factors. However, as the maternal BMIs were predominantly within the normal range in the studied cohort, we cannot exclude that more extreme BMIs or weight changes during pregnancy might yet affect CHB development.

Smoking is known to promote the development of autoimmune and inflammatory conditions, and in Sweden women are actively recommended to avoid smoking during pregnancy. Our cohort nevertheless included several women who reported smoking during pregnancy. However, as these women smoked during each of their pregnancies, we did not observe any difference between CHB-affected and non-CHB-affected pregnancies regarding smoking habits. While these data might suggest that smoking does not play a central role in CHB development, it might be worth investigating the potential impact of smoking on CHB development in other cohorts. However, the rarity of CHB (1 in 23 000 births) combined with the general recommendation for women to refrain from smoking during pregnancy would likely render such a study difficult to conduct.

Investigation of maternal medication intake 3 months before pregnancy and up until gestational week 25 did not reveal any significant differences between pregnancies where the child developed CHB and unaffected pregnancies. Hydroxychloroquine has been proposed to reduce neonatal morbidity and the recurrence risk of CHB in patients with SLE. In the present study, we did not observe any significant protective effect of hydroxychloroquine. However, there were few pregnancies during which women reported taking such medication (nine women before and three during pregnancy), which likely limited the possibility to detect any potential association with CHB. Of note, only medication prescribed for the maternal rheumatic disease was investigated, and we can therefore not exclude that other medication may impact pregnancy outcome in terms of CHB.

Occurrence of a common infection during pregnancy, such as infection of the respiratory tract or influenza, was the variable most significantly associated with CHB development in our cohort. While this finding needs replication, ideally in a prospective study to avoid influence of
recall bias, it is an interesting hypothesis that infection may trigger the maternal inflammatory state, which in turn could contribute to CHB development.

We have previously found that CHB is associated with season of birth,2 more specifically with the pregnancy risk period (weeks 18–24) falling during the Swedish winter (January–March). Such an association might be due to the increased frequency of infections during winter. We indeed detected an association between CHB and the mother reporting an infection up until pregnancy week 25 in the present study, and multivariate analysis revealed that the association between CHB and season of birth was not independent of infection, altogether suggesting that infections might represent an important factor underlying the association between CHB and season of birth. Importantly, however, seasonal variation also influences other factors, such as vitamin D levels3 and time spent outdoors. We therefore investigated the lifestyle factors outdoor activity and sun exposure in our cohort. Although the women did not report any difference in sun exposure habits during CHB-affected and non-CHB-affected pregnancies, we observed that they reported more time spent in outdoor activities during pregnancies resulting in a child without CHB than during pregnancies resulting in a child with CHB. Notably, multivariate analysis showed that the association between CHB and season of birth was not independent of outdoor activity, suggesting that outdoor activity might represent another important factor besides infections underlying the association between CHB and season of birth. While time spent in outdoor activities could simply reflect the women’s general well-being during pregnancy, it may also indicate an increased exposure to UV light and therefore be linked to higher vitamin D levels. Importantly, vitamin D plays an important role in regulating innate and adaptive immunity and has been reported to modulate placental inflammation.22 23 Increased time spent in outdoor activities may also indicate more physical activity (examples of outdoor activity given in our questionnaire comprised activities involving mild-to-moderate physical effort such as gardening and walking).

A few of the women in our cohort reported having experienced a psychologically stressful event, such as the death or severe disease of a close relative, during their pregnancy up until week 25. While such a report was significantly more frequent for CHB-affected pregnancies than for pregnancies resulting in a child without CHB, these findings need to be confirmed in larger cohorts. Experiencing a life-changing event leading to psychological stress has previously been reported to negatively influence pregnancy outcome.24 25 Psychological stress may therefore be a factor of potential interest in relation to pregnancy outcome in anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive women.

This questionnaire-based study was performed retrospectively, and limitations therefore include recall biases linked to the time elapsed since the women were pregnant as well as the fact that knowledge of pregnancy outcome may have affected responses to the questionnaire. However, there is a substantial lack of data as to what environmental factors might influence pregnancy outcome in anti-Ro/SSA antibody-positive women and, given the rarity of the disease, there are very few cohorts available to investigate such factors. We therefore believe that, despite its limitations, our study generates novel insights into what risk factors may warrant further investigation in other, ideally prospective, cohorts.

In summary, we here report the first study of environmental and lifestyle factors that may influence CHB development in anti-Ro/SSA antibody-exposed fetuses. Novel factors including infections and stressful events were associated with an increased risk for CHB, while outdoor activity appeared protective, warranting further investigation in prospective studies. Identification of external elements associated with CHB development may contribute to elucidating the pathogenic disease mechanisms and improve counseling for women at risk of giving birth to a child affected by CHB.
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