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Safety and efficacy of baricitinib in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) can begin at any age, but the prevalence increases with age. Active disease usually persists for years; many patients have initial symptoms after age 60.1,2 Elderly patients with RA often have comorbid diseases managed with multiple concomitant medications with the potential for changes in drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which complicate therapeutic decisions.3 Moreover, there is a perception that medications may be less effective than in younger individuals and adverse effects more common and severe.1,4 One report suggests an increased risk in elderly patients for adverse events (AE) leading to discontinuation of biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARD) in the treatment of RA, while other reports have not seen these effects.4,5 An analysis of Medicare beneficiaries with RA suggested that older patients were less likely to receive conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (csDMARD) and therefore may not receive optimal treatment.6 Other reports have shown that responsiveness of elderly patients with RA to methotrexate (MTX) or tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors+MTX was similar to that observed in younger patients.5 Baricitinib is an oral selective inhibitor of Janus kinase (JAK)1 and JAK27 and has been shown to improve RA signs and symptoms in phase III controlled studies in patients with active RA despite treatment with TNF inhibitors (RA-BEACON), csDMARDs (RA-BUILD) and MTX (RA-BEAM), and in csDMARD-naive patients (RA-BEGIN).8-11 Here, we describe the safety and efficacy of baricitinib in elderly patients (aged ≥65 years) compared with patients aged <50, and ≥50 and <65 years, from pooled data of the two studies of patients with inadequate response (IR) to csDMARDs, RA-BUILD (NCT01721057) and RA-BEAM (NCT01710358).

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?
• Elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) often have comorbid diseases managed with multiple concomitant medications with the potential for changes in drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which complicate therapeutic decisions.
• There may be an increased risk in elderly patients for institution of biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in the treatment of RA.

What does this study add?
• We have demonstrated similar efficacy of baricitinib in elderly and younger patients and incidence of serious adverse events (AE) or withdrawal due to AEs in baricitinib-treated patients that were similar to age-matched placebo-treated patients.

How might this impact on clinical practice?
• Age is not a contraindication to the institution of targeted therapies, including baricitinib; given their comorbidities and changed pharmacodynamics, elderly patients with RA should be followed carefully to be sure that there is a reasonable risk/benefit profile of baricitinib in individual patients.

METHODS

Study design and patients

The study design and patient inclusion/exclusion criteria for each study have been described previously.9,10 Briefly, patients with ≥6/66 swollen and ≥6/68 tender joints and no prior bDMARD use were eligible. The presence of comorbidities that, in the opinion of the investigator, could constitute a risk when taking investigational product or could interfere with the interpretation of data
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was exclusionary. In the 24-week RA-BUILD study, 684 csDMARD-IR patients with active RA were randomised 1:1:1 to receive oral placebo or 2 mg or 4 mg baricitinib once daily. In the 52-week RA-BEAM study, 1305 MTX-IR patients with active RA were randomised 3:3:2 to receive oral placebo once daily, 4 mg baricitinib once daily, or subcutaneous injection of adalimumab every 2 weeks. Patients in both studies continued background csDMARD (including MTX) therapy.

The primary endpoint in the studies was the American College of Rheumatology 20% (ACR20) response rate at week 12. Key secondary endpoints were ACR50/70, improvement from baseline in the Disease Activity Score based on 28 joints (DAS28)-hsCRP (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein) and HAQ-DI (Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index), as well as the percentage of patients who achieved low disease activity (LDA) or remission based on the Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) and the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI). The studies were designed by the sponsor (Eli Lilly and Company) in consultation with an academic advisory board of the non-Lilly authors and Incyte. The studies were conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All patients provided written informed consent.

### Table 1 Baseline demographic and disease characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;50 years</th>
<th>≥50 and &lt;65 years</th>
<th>≥65 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo (n=254)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg (n=259)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=349)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>39.7 (7.5)</td>
<td>40.0 (7.2)</td>
<td>56.9 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>218 (85.8)</td>
<td>214 (82.6)</td>
<td>263 (75.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td>Asia including Japan, n (%)</td>
<td>72 (28.3)</td>
<td>67 (25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central and South America, Mexico, n (%)</td>
<td>72 (28.3)</td>
<td>72 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Europe, n (%)</td>
<td>42 (16.5)</td>
<td>37 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Europe, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (4.7)</td>
<td>13 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA and Canada, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (10.6)</td>
<td>34 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rest of world, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (11.4)</td>
<td>36 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of RA</strong>, years</td>
<td>5.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>6.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&lt;1 year, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>46 (18.1)</td>
<td>41 (15.9)</td>
<td>49 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≥1 to &lt;5 years, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>94 (37.0)</td>
<td>101 (37.1)</td>
<td>111 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≥5 to &lt;10 years, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>62 (24.4)</td>
<td>63 (24.4)</td>
<td>79 (22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≥10 years, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>52 (20.5)</td>
<td>53 (20.5)</td>
<td>110 (31.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACPA positive, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>214 (84.3)</td>
<td>208 (80.3)</td>
<td>288 (82.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RF positive, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>223 (87.8)</td>
<td>223 (86.1)</td>
<td>304 (87.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Swollen joint count of 66</strong></td>
<td>14.0 (8.5)</td>
<td>14.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>15.1 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tender joint count of 68</strong></td>
<td>22.8 (12.6)</td>
<td>23.9 (13.3)</td>
<td>23.9 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hsCRP, mg/L</strong></td>
<td>18.1 (18.7)</td>
<td>19.6 (20.9)</td>
<td>20.0 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAS28-hsCRP</strong></td>
<td>5.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAS28-ESR</strong></td>
<td>6.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CDAI</strong></td>
<td>36.6 (11.7)</td>
<td>37.3 (12.0)</td>
<td>37.0 (13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDAI</strong></td>
<td>38.4 (12.1)</td>
<td>39.3 (12.6)</td>
<td>39.0 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAQ-DI</strong></td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD) unless otherwise noted.

*Time since diagnosis.

ACPA, anti-citrullinated peptide antibody; CDAI, Clinical Disease Activity Index; DAS28, Disease Activity Score based on 28 joints; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RF, rheumatoid factor; SDAI, Simple Disease Activity Index.
Figure 1  Efficacy outcomes in patients aged ≥65 years versus younger patients: ACR outcomes at (A) week 12 and (B) week 24. Patients achieving low disease activity and remission at week 24 based on (C) CDAI and (D) SDAI. ACR20/50/70, American College of Rheumatology 20%/50%/70%; CDAI, Clinical Disease Activity Index; LDA, low disease activity; SDAI, Simplified Disease Activity Index.

RESULTS
Pooled data from both studies included 1989 patients, counting patients randomised to baricitinib 2mg in RA-BUILD (n=229) and adalimumab in RA-BEAM (n=330); this analysis only included patients in the placebo (n=716) and baricitinib 4mg (n=714) arms. Of these, 17% (n=249) randomised to placebo (n=113) or baricitinib 4mg (n=136) were aged ≥65 years. Baseline demographic and disease characteristics by age group are presented in table 1.

Efficacy was similar in patients regardless of age group. ACR20 response rates at week 12 for placebo were 37%, 41% and 43% for <50, ≥50 and <65, and ≥65 years, respectively, and baricitinib 4mg percentages were 69%, 66% and 68%; compared with week 12, percentages at week 24 were similar for ACR20 response and for achievement of ACR50/70 (figure 1A,B). The proportion of patients who achieved remission or LDA based on the CDAI or SDAI was similar in placebo-treated and baricitinib-treated patients across the three age groups, respectively (figure 1C,D).

AEs, serious adverse events (SAE) and discontinuations due to AEs occurred more frequently in elderly patients compared with patients aged <50, or ≥50 and <65 years,
regardless of whether treated with baricitinib or placebo. There were numerically more discontinuations due to AEs and herpes zoster in the baricitinib-treated patients versus placebo-treated patients; there were numerically more SAEs in the baricitinib-treated patients aged ≥50 and <65 years and serious infections in the baricitinib-treated patients ≥65 years. At 24 weeks, there were four deaths (all in patients ≥50 years of age) (table 2). There was one SAE of hospitalisation due to thrombophlebitis (baricitinib 4 mg <50) and six due to fractures, all related to falls (<50 years: placebo n=1 (0.4%); baricitinib 4 mg n=1 (0.4%); ≥50 and <65 years: baricitinib 4 mg n=2 (0.6%); ≥65 years: placebo n=1 (0.9%); baricitinib 4 mg n=1 (0.7%)). None of these patients discontinued the study and all events resolved.

Table 2  Safety at week 24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Placebo (n=254)</th>
<th>Baricitinib 4 mg (n=259)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=349)</th>
<th>Baricitinib 4 mg (n=319)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=113)</th>
<th>Baricitinib 4 mg (n=136)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients with ≥1 adverse event</td>
<td>212 (83.5)</td>
<td>229 (88.4)</td>
<td>326 (93.4)</td>
<td>296 (92.8)</td>
<td>111 (98.2)</td>
<td>135 (99.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation from study due to adverse event or death*</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>14 (4.0)</td>
<td>18 (5.6)</td>
<td>7 (6.2)</td>
<td>12 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation from study for any reason</td>
<td>21 (8.3)</td>
<td>14 (5.4)</td>
<td>31 (8.9)</td>
<td>28 (8.8)</td>
<td>19 (6.8)</td>
<td>17 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse event‡</td>
<td>10 (3.9)</td>
<td>8 (3.1)</td>
<td>11 (3.2)</td>
<td>15 (4.7)</td>
<td>12 (10.6)</td>
<td>12 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious infections</td>
<td>4 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disorders</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with ≥1 infection</td>
<td>89 (35.0)</td>
<td>99 (38.2)</td>
<td>86 (24.6)</td>
<td>125 (39.2)</td>
<td>38 (33.6)</td>
<td>48 (35.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes zoster</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>5 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%).
* A listing of the adverse events that led to discontinuation and a listing of serious adverse events can be found in the online supplementary material.
† Deaths in placebo ≥50 and <65 years due to subarachnoid haemorrhage and renal failure, baricitinib 4 mg ≥50 and <65 years due to circulatory failure, and in baricitinib 4 mg ≥65 years due to pneumonia.
‡ Any serious adverse event based on the MedDRA dictionary system organ class.

DISCUSSION

This post hoc analysis of two phase III studies of baricitinib 4 mg in csDMARD-IR patients with RA demonstrated similar efficacy in each age group when assessing clinical parameters. With respect to safety, there was a higher percentage of patients in the older age group reporting AEs, SAEs and serious infections with numerically more events occurring with baricitinib. Rates were higher in the elderly group who discontinued medication secondary to an AE or death or who developed an SAE. Of note, the incidence of serious infections, although similar in the younger age groups, was higher in baricitinib versus placebo patients aged ≥65 years.

Analysis of RA treatment in elderly patients has provided mixed results regarding efficacy and safety. Studies of TNF inhibitors have shown both reduced improvement in disease activity in elderly patients compared with younger patients, as well as similar efficacy outcomes across age groups with similar rates of drug discontinuation in all age groups. Similar to the current study, analyses of clinical trials of etanercept and tofacitinib, a JAK inhibitor, showed similar efficacy in older and younger patients, but an increased incidence of AEs, including discontinuation of treatment, in the older age groups. In contrast, an analysis assessing tocilizumab showed that elderly patients less often reached remission or good EULAR (European League Against Rheumatism) response compared with the younger patients, but drug maintenance and rates of AE leading to discontinuation were similar between the age groups.

This study has some limitations. We did not include data on patients who received baricitinib 2 mg, which was evaluated in two trials in the baricitinib phase III development programme. This analysis was focused on csDMARD-IR patients, the patient populations of RA-BEAM and RA-BUILD. RA-BEAM, while the larger trial, contributing 76% of the baricitinib patients aged ≥65 years, did not include a 2 mg dose. RA-BUILD did include baricitinib 2 mg, but only 33 patients aged ≥65 years received this dose. RA-BEACON, the other phase III trial that included baricitinib 2 mg, enrolled patients who were TNFi-IR. These patients who, on average, were older, with longer RA disease duration, more extensive treatment experience and demonstrated refractoriness are dissimilar from the patients enrolled in RA-BEAM and RA-BUILD. In RA-BEACON, 35 patients aged ≥65 years received the 2 mg dose. Based on the different characteristics of patients enrolled in the two studies with baricitinib 2 mg, different efficacy responses are expected for csDMARD-IR versus bDMARD-IR patients. Therefore,
we do not believe it is appropriate to combine the populations from RA-BUILD and RA-BEACON for analysis. Additionally, the number of patients aged ≥65 years who received baricitinib 2 mg in each study is too small to support a single-study analysis. We did not include data from patients who received adalimumab in RA-BEAM because of the small size of the subgroup of patients aged ≥65 years (adalimumab n=56) and because side-by-side presentation of data would invite inappropriate comparison of pooled and single-study data. The clinical trial data reported here may not be representative of patients in clinical settings due to eligibility criteria of the trials. A minority of these elderly patients could be categorised as ‘elderly-onset’ and we did not subset the data accordingly. Finally, the observation period is short, especially for the placebo group, limiting the controlled-period assessment of safety.

In light of the literature available for bDMARDs and the data presented here, which reveal similar efficacy of baricitinib in elderly and younger patients and incidence of SAEs or withdrawal due to AEs in baricitinib-treated patients that were similar to age-matched placebo, age is not a contraindication to targeted therapies, including baricitinib. Nevertheless, given their comorbidities and potential for altered pharmacodynamics, elderly patients with RA should be followed with particular care.
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