REVIEW

Towards secondary prevention
of early knee osteoarthritisArmaghan Mahmoudian,¹ Dieter Van Assche,¹ Walter Herzog,² Frank P Luyten¹

To cite: Mahmoudian A, Van Assche D, Herzog W, et al. Towards secondary prevention of early knee osteoarthritis. *RMD Open* 2018;4:e000468. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000468

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000468>).

AM and DVA are joint first authors.

Received 6 February 2018
Revised 15 June 2018
Accepted 21 June 2018

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2018. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Skeletal Biology & Engineering Research Center, Department of Development & Regeneration, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

²Human Performance Laboratory, The University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Correspondence to

Dr Frank P Luyten;
frank.luyten@uzleuven.be

ABSTRACT

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is the most common arthritic disease, yet a convincing drug treatment is not available. The current narrative review focuses on integration of scientific evidence and professional experience to illustrate which management approaches can be taken for prototypical individual patient profiles with early knee OA. Animal models suggest that: (1) OA can progress even in the presence of fully recovered movement kinetics, kinematics and muscle activation patterns; (2) muscle weakness is an independent risk factor for the onset and possibly the rate of progression of knee OA; (3) onset and progression of OA are not related to body weight but appear to depend on the percentage of body fat. From studies in the human model, one could postulate that risk factors associated with progression of knee OA include genetic traits, preceding traumatic events, obesity, intensity of pain at baseline, static and dynamic joint malalignment and reduced muscle strength. Taken this into account, an individual can be identified as early knee OA at high risk for disease progression. A holistic patient-tailored management including education, supportive medication, weight loss, exercise therapy (aerobic, strengthening and neuromuscular) and behavioural approaches to improve self-management of early knee OA is discussed in individual prototypic patients. Secondary prevention of early knee OA provides a window of opportunity to slow down or even reverse the disease process. Yet, as the sheer number of patients early in the OA disease process is probably large, a more structured approach is needed to provide appropriate care depending on the patient's individual risk profile.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is the most common arthritic disease, yet there is no convincing drug treatment available today that affects the progression of the disease process. There are many reasons for this, including the lack of understanding of what drives the disease process and the heterogeneity of the patient population.¹ Indeed, there is considerable variability in disease trajectories, also dependent on patient profiles and the defined outcomes, leading to a need for disease stratification. A recent report has proposed a framework for the investigation of clinical and structural phenotypes; the patient characteristics and disease

stage should be considered as most relevant for stratification of patients to be used in future studies.² This approach will be of value for the field, but from a pragmatic clinical standpoint, it neither helps the individual patient nor the clinician facing the patient, today. However, we believe there is reasonable scientific evidence from studies in both animals and the human model that a *personalised, patient-centred approach* can result in successful clinical outcomes.

Therefore, in this narrative, we try to integrate scientific evidence and our professional experience to illustrate which approaches can be taken for prototypical individual patient profiles that are most likely going to successfully alleviate pain, control symptoms and signs, maintain or restore function and perhaps even affect the disease course. For sure, it is not our goal to be fully comprehensive, and we made some choices. This paper focuses on *secondary prevention*, thus on early knee OA, as we believe this being a window of opportunity for interventions that benefit the patient.³ As OA develops slowly, in most cases, pain, symptoms/signs and loss of function precede radiographic changes, although the opposite is also possible in some cases. Consequently, there is in general poor disease management in clinical practice over the course of the disease. Indeed, typical examinations in primary care do not reveal abnormalities in blood exam and imaging, certainly not in early disease, and the patient is getting the message to adapt and cope with it. Healthcare providers are not taking any coordinated action and await late stage disease associated with pronounced clinical manifestations including severe functional limitations and radiographic advanced disease. Then, more attention is geared towards surgical interventions mostly total knee replacement (with some exceptions). However, OA is a chronic disease process and begs for early disease intervention, as has been illustrated in other chronic arthritic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis.⁴

Patient profiles with early knee OA, risk factors for progression, and what we have learnt from the investigations in both the animal models and the human model are introduced. The core and uniqueness for this narrative is our discussion on secondary prevention strategies for some prototypical patient profiles. We also focus our attention on the patient's perspective in this approach, what is acceptable for the patient and how can we motivate the patient to adhere to a treatment regimen. In the conclusions and future directions, we will reflect on how to incorporate these strategies into daily clinical practice.

PATIENT PROFILE OF EARLY KNEE OA

In view of the above-mentioned discussion, there is a clear need to more accurately *define early knee OA* and come to general classification criteria and outcomes, widely accepted by the clinicians and all parties involved. We have started to tackle this challenge by organising a workshop in Tokyo, Japan, in November 2014, the outcome of which resulted in a manuscript⁵ proposing steps towards the development of *classification criteria* for early OA of the knee. These classification criteria aim to identify in a standardised approach a group of patients with the highest chance to develop frank knee OA as defined by the 1986 ACR criteria.⁶ This is just the beginning of the process of producing consensus classification criteria, and *validation* and further iterations of these criteria will be required. MRI-related imaging and biochemical biomarkers may enter the classification criteria of early OA as they prove their utility and become more readily accessible.

Since there are not yet validated classification criteria for early knee OA, we attempted to bring together aspects of the disease process in its early phase that appear to be common across reported findings resulting in a *profile of early knee OA patients*. We made a distinction between structural and clinical findings, as the correlation between those is limited.

As for *structural findings* associated with symptomatic early knee OA, most of the data are obviously detected by MRI as early OA is per definition preradiographic, that is, no or very discrete changes on regular X-rays. Most MRI findings reported a significant larger amount and cumulative score for size of bone marrow lesions, the amount and cumulative score for size and percentage of full thickness cartilage loss in the tibiofemoral (TF) region, meniscal lesions and cumulative size and presence of synovitis and/or effusion in the early knee OA group compared with their healthy peers.^{7,8} It is precisely with these findings in mind that a group of investigators proposed classification criteria for early OA partially defined by MRI findings,⁷ although also these criteria are awaiting validation in independent cohorts. Nevertheless, in the primary care setting, our attention should go into *clinical characteristics* associated with early disease.⁵

In the early phases of the disease, pain is related to activity and becomes more constant over time.⁹ Baert *et al*⁸ reported *more knee pain and symptoms* in women

with early medial knee OA compared with the healthy controls and comparable with women with established medial knee OA. Reduced *self-reported measure of physical function and quality of life* are also reported to be already present in this stage of the disease.¹⁰ This was not the case for the *performance-based functional measures*.^{8,11}

Quadriceps weakness had also been reported in patients with early knee OA,^{8,12} which is in line with evidence on quadriceps weakness preceding the onset of knee OA.^{13,14} Despite the evidence on proprioceptive deficits in moderate to severe patients with knee OA, a study on patients with early knee OA showed that *proprioceptive accuracy* was comparable with healthy controls.¹² On the other hand, Mahmoudian *et al*¹⁵ reported *altered proprioceptive weighting*, and a stronger ankle-steered proprioceptive postural strategy, during standing.

Static and dynamic knee alignment were measured in a group of subjects with early knee OA and were compared with a group of subjects with established OA, as well as a control group.¹⁰ The presence and magnitude of varus thrust was greater in women with early medial knee OA than in healthy controls, similar to the findings in women with established medial knee OA.¹⁰ In contrast, there was no significant difference between the early OA and healthy age-matched controls, regarding the static varus alignment.

Studies on *biomechanical characteristics* of subjects with early knee OA are limited, and due to the non-consistent classification of knee OA in these studies, results are barely comparable. Investigations on gait characteristics in women with early medial knee OA demonstrated no altered gait pattern or increase in knee joint loading during walking in patients with early medial knee OA, compared with the healthy controls.^{8,11}

Lastly, based on the literature, it seems relevant to also consider patellofemoral (PF) complaints at the early stages of knee OA. According to a recent study by Hinman *et al*¹⁶ 70% of people (ages >40 years) with PF pain demonstrated radiographic OA. Importantly, in the same cohort, they reported that osteoarthritic changes might occur first in the PF joint, preceding structural damage in the TF joint.¹⁶

Risk factors associated with chronicity/progression of disease

In this section, we will look into the research on risk factors associated with progression of knee OA: first, in (non-human) animal models, and second, in the human model:

The (non-human) animal models

What can we learn from (non-human) animal models about OA prevention and progression?

Background

One of the difficulties with the prevention, treatment and our understanding of OA is that it creeps along slowly and without major symptoms over years and decades.

Therefore, defining a time point for the onset or the rate of progression of OA is virtually impossible in human patients. Animal models, on the other hand, can be used for controlled interventions that are known to cause OA, thereby fixing the time point of OA onset. In most animal models used today, OA develops at a much greater rate than in humans, with changes in articular cartilage properties, composition and structure visible within days or a few weeks following intervention (eg, refs ^{17,18}). This fast rate of OA progression, and the possibility for invasive measurements in animal models, allows for detailed and systematic evaluation of the development of OA that is not possible in human patients.

However, every animal model, including humans, shows different symptoms to an apparently similar intervention. For example, loss of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) in the knee causes permanent gait changes and changes to the subchondral bone in dogs but not in cats.^{19–22} Similarly, a given perturbation, like the loss of the ACL, can cause distinctly different responses and joint degeneration in the same animal species.^{23,24} Here, we will discuss lessons learnt from three distinct animal models of OA (post-traumatic, muscle weakness/imbalance, and obesity) that would have been hard to reach from human studies and evaluate the possible implications for human OA, its prevention and treatment.

Post-traumatic (ACL transection and partial meniscectomy) model of OA

We have used ACL transection in a variety of animal models of OA, but some of the most surprising results came from studies in the ACL-deficient cat. It has been observed in many studies that ACL loss is associated

with gait and movement asymmetries in humans and animals and changes in knee joint loading (eg, refs ^{23–25}; figure 1). The altered joint loading has been interpreted as the major factor for the onset and progression of OA. Therefore, knee OA was assumed to be stopped if knee joint loading and kinematics could be brought back to normal levels following ACL loss. In the ACL-deficient cat, in contrast to other models of ACL loss, including dogs, sheep and humans, normal movement kinematics and kinetics and normal muscle activation patterns are re-established within 4–6 months following ACL transection.²¹ Therefore, one would assume OA progression is stopped too. However, that is not the case, as cats develop bona fide OA in the knee following ACL transection despite normal knee joint kinematics.

Also, normal knee joint kinematics and kinetics and re-establishment of normal muscle activation patterns and forces are typically associated with normal knee joint loading. However, we found that the knee joint cartilage in ACL-transected cats changes substantially within 4 months of intervention: the cartilage becomes softer and thicker, thereby changing the load transfer from bone to bone within the knee. Specifically, PF joint contact areas were increased by 22% and peak pressures reduced by 50% 4 months post-ACL transection^{25,26} for identical knee joint loading conditions.

Lessons learnt: OA progresses even in the presence of fully recovered movement kinetics, kinematics, and unchanged muscle activation. Furthermore, identical knee joint kinematics and external loading does not ensure normal joint loading as the properties of articular cartilage change quickly following trauma and produce

Figure 1 (A) Vertical ground reaction force vs. time histories for the intact and ACL transected hind-limb in the cat. Note the initial unloading of the experimental limb (open symbols) and overloading of the contra lateral intact limb (filled symbols). Results are shown for 7 animals with 10 step cycles per animal. After about 6 months, the vertical ground reaction forces are similar between the experimental and the intact contra lateral hind limb. (B) Quadriceps forces (measured using an implantable force transducer in the patellar tendon) and vastus lateralis EMG prior to ACL transection (left panel) and four weeks following ACL transection (right panel) in a representative animal. Note the decrease in muscle force to about 1/3 of the initial level following ACL transection, and the disrupted EMG during the stance phase of walking for the vastus lateralis after ACL transection, compared to the solid and continuous EMG prior to the intervention. Pre-intervention activation and forces are reached at 4–6 months post ACL transection (not shown).

Figure 2 Histological evidence of the onset of knee OA in a rabbit model of botulinum toxin type-A induced muscle weakness (knee extensor muscles were weakened systematically to 20% of their original strength). Note the disruption of surface integrity, the loss of homogeneous staining and the disruption of cellular structure in the cartilage 4 weeks after the onset of muscle weakness (right panel) compared with a normal strength control animal (left panel). Similar results were also observed at 8 and 12 weeks post muscle weakness induction. OA, osteoarthritis.

load transfer patterns different from those in the healthy joint.

Muscle weakness model of OA

Muscle weakness, particularly knee extensor weakness, has been associated with knee joint OA.^{27–32} However, it remains controversial if muscle weakness is caused by knee OA or if knee extensor weakness is an independent risk factor for the development and rapid progression of knee OA. In contrast to the human model, muscle weakness in animal models can be produced consistently, repeatedly and with a precisely defined start in a variety of ways, including nerve transection,³³ hind limb suspension,³⁴ disuse atrophy,^{35 36} and chemical denervation.^{37–39} We used botulinum toxin type A to induce controlled and reversible weakness in the quadriceps muscles of rabbits. Rabbits showed increased Mankin scores, associated with significantly increased knee

OA in experimental joints at 1, 2 and 3 months postweakness induction^{30 40 41} (figure 2). Quadriceps muscle imbalance, introduced by denervation of the vastus medialis or vastus lateralis muscles, did not change the pressure distributions in the PF joints of rabbits⁴² but still resulted in PF OA at 3 months post intervention.⁴³

Lessons learnt: muscle (quadriceps femoris) weakness is an independent risk factor for the onset and possibly the rate of progression of knee OA (in the rabbit). Furthermore, knee extensor muscle imbalance results in knee OA despite no apparent changes in PF tracking and/or contact pressure distributions. Healthy and balanced muscle function seems essential for good joint health.

Diet-induced obesity and OA

A high-fat, high-sucrose (HFS) diet leads to differential obesity in Sprague-Dawley rats⁴⁴ (figure 3). This outcome

Figure 3 Exemplar rats with high body fat and high weight (left), high body fat and normal weight (middle) and normal body fat and normal weight (right) and associated exemplar histological samples of the knee following 28 weeks on a HFS diet (left and middle rats) and a normal control diet (right rat). Note that the severity of knee joint degeneration is similar in the rats with the high percentage of body fat (left and middle), despite unequal body weight, while joint degeneration is substantially greater in the middle compared with the right rat despite similar body weight but unequal body fat percentage. HFS, high-fat, high-sucrose.

Figure 4 Exemplar histological samples of intramuscular fat (assessed using Oil Red O staining; left panel) and fibrosis (assessed using Picrosirius red staining) in control animals on a regular diet (top panel), and for animals on an HFS diet at 3 days and 28 days following onset of the diet intervention. Note the (statistically significant)¹ increase in intramuscular fat and fibrosis within 3 days of the HFS diet intervention. HFS, high-fat, high-sucrose

is insofar beneficial, as it has been argued that obesity causes knee OA in humans because of increased body weight alone. An obesity model of ‘normal’ body weight might help determine if knee OA is indeed associated with the gain in body weight. Therefore, we asked the question: is body weight or obesity responsible for knee OA?

Following 28 weeks of exposure to the HFS diet, all animals showed increased OA development in the knee compared with control animals fed a regular diet⁴⁴ (figure 3). This finding was independent of the amount of body weight but only depended on the per cent of body fat, illustrating that it is obesity that causes OA onset and progression and not body weight. Inflammatory profiles reflected a metabolic subtype of OA with multiple significant relationships between inflammatory markers and Mankin scores, an indicator for knee OA.^{44 45} Furthermore, we found that the rat vastus lateralis showed increased intramuscular fat content and fibrosis within days following the onset of an HFS diet, suggesting that diet can affect muscle integrity and function quickly⁴⁶ (figure 4).

Lessons learnt: obesity is an independent risk factor for the onset and increased rate of knee OA progression in Sprague-Dawley rats. OA onset and progression depend crucially on the percentage of body fat. An HFS diet affects the knee and has immediate effects on muscle structure and integrity that, in turn, might affect joint health.

The human model

Much of the discussion on progression of knee OA depends on how we define progression. Studies to date tried to identify patients with disease progression mostly based on the assessment of structural progression detected on radiography (joint space narrowing) or the amount of cartilage loss detected on MRI.^{47–51} As an alternative to structural changes for monitoring progression of knee OA, clinical and functional markers may provide a useful substitute, as it is dealing with patients who have sought medical care for their symptoms.

The most predictive set of factors associated with progression of knee OA are briefly summarised in figure 5. We are aware of the fact that this is not necessarily the same in an early knee OA population versus established knee OA, but since we consider the disease process as a continuous one, with intermittent periods of no or little clinical signs/symptoms, key risk factors are probably not that different depending on the stage of the disease process.

Lessons learnt: taken together and understanding the limitations of the existing scientific evidence, one could postulate that clinical risk factors in early knee OA include ageing, genetic traits, preceding traumatic events, obesity, intensity of pain at baseline, static and dynamic joint malalignment, and reduced muscle strength. Importantly, additional factors that will further determine the management plan includes an assessment of the psychosocial context of the patient, the professional and recreational activities and expectations. A standard assessment of the above parameters will help to identify the patient at high risk with a need for more active patient management, as discussed below.

SECONDARY PREVENTION OF EARLY KNEE OA

As discussed above, there is quite some information on indicators/predisposing factors available to identify the patient and patient profiles with early knee OA and at risk of progression. We believe we need to focus on these patients with high-risk early knee OA and design an individualised management plan based on more in-depth patient profiling. We envision the patient being detected in primary care as at risk based on a specific algorithm that needs to be developed but comparable with some assessment as used to detect patients with high-risk profile for cardiovascular incidents or osteoporotic fractures.⁵² The degree and thoroughness with which this should be done needs to be explored, but a user friendly screen in primary care is imperative, and a more thorough advanced assessment in second line seems relevant.⁵³ Advanced profiling should include a full anamnesis probing for pain/symptoms and signs based on validated scales and within the biopsychosocial context of the patient; further functional performance (both subjective and objective), quality of life assessment, genetic traits by family history, sedentary behaviour, professional and recreational activities and expectations.

Figure 5 Schematic diagram illustrating factors associated with progression of knee OA and their interactions. Stronger associations are shown schematically with thicker arrows. **Age:** conflicting evidence is found in the relationship between age and progression of knee OA.¹⁰² Possible role of sarcopaenia, an age-associated loss of skeletal muscle mass and a major factor in the strength decline with ageing should also be considered.^{103 104} **Obesity:** the possible effect of obesity on progression of knee OA, in human models, has been supported by some studies.^{105–108} **Static (in the context of genetic traits) and dynamic knee joint alignments:** have also been associated with progression of knee OA.^{106 109} Moreover, as reported by Felson *et al*, the effect of body weight on progression of knee OA is affected by alignment.¹¹⁰ **Genetic predisposition:** is well established, and several genes have been identified as risk factors for the incidence and progression of knee OA.^{111–113} Genetic predisposition might be a key difference between a patient who sustained a traumatic knee injury and fully recovers and a patient who develops early OA as a result of similar injury.¹¹⁴ A patient with predisposed malaligned knee will have a higher risk of progression compared with his or her counterpart with neutrally aligned knee. **Pain at baseline:** the effect of pain on progression of knee OA is of major importance as it itself could modify or be modified by several other factors like: muscle inhibition, psychosocial factors, increased medial knee joint loading. **Previous history of knee joint injury:** has also been associated with increased risk of progression of knee OA.^{47 115} Previous injury could also be related to the progression of knee OA via its mediating effects on psychosocial factors (kinesiophobia¹¹⁶) and knee joint alignment. MJL, medial joint loading; OA, osteoarthritis.

Management will focus on self-management programme including: education regarding disease perception and drug use, non-pharmacological pain relief approaches, role of exercise and adapting lifestyle, physical activities, and last but not least, weight control. Besides coaching, the management will need to include clear delivery strategies such as stepped care, shared decision processes, systematic use of wearables and applications. Finally, to achieve a feasible individually tailored intervention, we need to include personal coaching, adaptation in exercise and/or activity participations (aerobic conditioning, muscle strength training, and neuromuscular exercise) and safe weight reduction/weight control strategies.

Although poor level of evidence is currently available on OA self-management measurement properties, we suggest to simply assess patient's self-management prior to the intervention. All prototypical patients could be assessed on attitudes and capabilities regarding self-management. The Perceived Efficacy in Patient-Physician Interactions^{54 55} (PEPPI-5) for instance, assesses a discrete aspect of self-management and points out self-efficacy of patients with OA to interact with their physicians.⁵⁵

If the latter results in a poor perceived efficacy to interact, one should provide early coaching possibly to extend supportive social context to manage expectations and motivational interviewing before any interventional

programme is initiated. When the patient's self-efficacy to interact is 'good to satisfying', one can directly start to actively involve the patient in shared decision-making process and to act along with the mutual interventional short-term goals set.

As an *illustration*, we will discuss below what we believe are *prototypical patients* and what we propose at this point could require additional investigations and a valuable treatment and management plan.

Profile 1: post-traumatic 20-year-old patient returning to competitive sport with high reinjury risk

Previous knee injury could be considered, in this case, as a risk factor for development of early knee OA. For such profile, the key would be to increase insight of the patient's high-risk profile and create an urge to change the patient's provocative persistence behaviour. An immediate coaching towards alternative lower risk and quickly enjoyable sport participation is recommended.^{35 43 56} Once a progressive criteria-based rehabilitation is initiated, patient's knee reactivity is the main parameter to take into account. If the patient still prefers to participate in a high impact sport (non-anticipated changes in directions at high speed), a neuromuscular training regimen should be added to the exercise routine. Health benefits of being physically engaged in daily recreational and social activities will certainly help slow down the subclinical progression in early knee OA.⁵⁷ For example, if daily running is moderate (>4 km at slow speed, assertive cadence), and gradually progresses without signs of knee swelling at the end of the day, we assume beneficial functional and even chondro-protective adaptations.^{58 59}

Profile 2: post-traumatic early knee OA in 30-year-old male

Regarding a 30–40-year-old male patient with posttraumatic early knee OA, one should analyse more thoroughly the risk profile. Post-traumatic can range from major intra-articular trauma to arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. Following traumatic events, the joint structure is affected, and biomechanical loading will be changed. Small uncompensated changes in arthrokinematics,⁶⁰ for example, due to a medial shift in loading, will cause the more vulnerable cartilage-bone unit to suffer and may lead to progressive degeneration by increase in local contact pressures.⁶¹ If family traits are a concern, one should target for establishment of a healthier lifestyle with therapy sessions at low frequency. If the occupation involves intense lower limb loading of the lower limb, direct help to change the job or adapting the workload is a necessity, before absenteeism overrules job commitments.

Since the influence of body mass index (see above), in contrast to obesity, in early OA is not clearly established, we pragmatically question weight change in the history of patients with post-traumatic early knee OA in this age group. If the current weight exceeds patient's weight in early adulthood with more than 10 kg, we interpret body weight as a possible risk factor.⁶² Since the knee in this situation already or in the near future

encounters physiological overload, it is recommended to coach patient towards healthier eating habits in order to control and if necessary reduce weight.

Although this age group is supposed to potentially represent an active lifestyle, sedentary behaviour and low participation rates in moderate to intense activities may be observed. Changing physical activity behaviour and improving (1) the lower limbs and core muscle strength, (2) aerobic fitness, and (3) neuromuscular agility could be of interest. It is unclear if all these training goals should be addressed; however, supervised group sessions are favourable, safe and recommended.⁶³ Whereas physical activity is good for general health and therefore a necessity, exercise therapy involves systematic training with specific therapeutic goals. In patients with early knee OA, the purpose can be formulated as 'to restore normal musculoskeletal function or to reduce nociceptive pain caused by disease or injuries of the knee'. The abovementioned three major training goals are shown to be effective to improve function and provide pain relief in established knee OA. We assume this to be no different in early knee OA if patient's complaints are defined as dominantly nociceptive pain.⁶⁴

Profile 3: early knee OA in a 50-year-old female or male with varus malalignment and family history of knee prosthesis

In this prototypical patient, the two risk factors, malalignment and genetic predisposition, are combined and interpreted as prognostic for the development and progression of knee OA. Both dynamic and static varus malalignments may significantly contribute to cartilage degeneration medially in knee OA.^{65 66} We assume that even for patients with normal static alignment but accompanied varus thrust, gait modification therapies might be beneficial. Several gait modifications, aiming to reduce medial knee compartment loading, have been studied experimentally to prevent progression of knee OA. Two major methods are studied to reduce the knee adduction moment. The first method tries to reduce the overall ground reaction force by lowering gait speed or partially shifting the weight on the arms by use of poles.^{67 68}

The second gait-modifying method aims to reduce the Knee Adduction Moment (KAM) by shortening the lever arm of the Ground Reaction Force (GRF) in the frontal plane. The most common techniques are: (1) *toe-out and toe-in gait*,^{69–71} (2) *increasing the ipsilateral trunk lean*,^{72–74} and (3) *increasing medial knee thrust during landing*.⁷⁴ The latter two showed clearly the highest potential to improve gait kinetics and decrease medial compartment loading.⁷⁴ Importantly, the authors highlighted that selection of individual-specific gait modification and the timing of the modification seems vital to optimise knee kinematics.⁷⁵ (4) *Modified footwear strategies* are also found to reduce medial compartment loading in patients with medial knee OA.⁷⁶ Shakoort and Block⁷⁶ demonstrated that the peak joint loads significantly decreased during barefoot walking, in both hip and knee joints of patients with knee OA. Paterson and colleagues⁷⁷ found significant reductions

in peak KAM and KAM impulse using flat flexible shoes compared with any other types of stable supportive shoes. Moreover, the use of stable supportive shoes even increased both peak KAM and KAM impulse.⁷⁷ Additionally, the use of lateral-wedge insoles has also been proven to be effective in reducing the (knee) medial compartment loading in persons with medial knee osteoarthritis.⁷⁸ The abovementioned gait modifications list is not complete but^{79 80} overall gait modifications seem promising, underused and low-cost interventions that might help preventing the progression of knee OA. Whether these modifications in gait studies show long-term effectiveness needs further evaluation. Side effects of gait modification strategies, such as discomfort and decreased balance, are occasionally reported and need to be taken into account.

Although quadriceps strengthening is the cornerstone of exercise therapy in most knee OA management regimens, in this specific profile of knee OA patients, it has to be prescribed with caution. In this type of patients with malaligned knees, the distribution of forces are not even, and an increase in muscle contraction might result in higher joint reaction forces, which could be harmful for the joint.⁸¹ Evidence up to now is lacking to identify the window of opportunity for corrective surgical osteotomies in the treatment trajectory in early knee OA.

Profile 4: early knee OA in postmenopausal 55-year-old overweight female with sedentary behaviour

Although at first glance obesity and ageing could be considered as the primary risk factors here, this prototypical patient presents multidimensional risk factors and probably will even have an additional risk to develop chronicity of pain. All risk factors need to be addressed by therapeutically reassuring approaches. This implies proper drug treatment to support pain relief, such as paracetamol and intermittent non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs),⁸² referral to specific programmes and involvement of other health professionals.

Unfortunately, many clinicians perform history taking and screening for musculoskeletal pain mainly based on biomedical and somatic features. Moreover, many feel unprepared to treat patients with chronic pain by exploring the psychosocial factors that influence recovery.⁸³ History taking using the Somatic–Cognitive–Emotional–Behavioral–Social (SCEBS) method could be of great help. Since it is easy and fast, it helps to change management and directs to well-known barriers to recovery.^{84 85} The SCEBS method identifies: (1) the somatic or biological, (2) the psychological, and (3) the social dimension of pain. A set of questions traces impairments of movement-related function, catastrophic or helplessness cognition, fear of pain, lack of self-efficacy or unrealistic treatment expectations, depression or anxiety, avoidance behaviour or pain resistance behaviour and maladaptive social responses to pain behaviour (the detailed SCEBS can be seen in online supplementary appendix 1⁸⁶).

Following the biopsychosocial clinical assessment, one should summarise the multifactorial knee OA risk profile

including those related to pain. If the patient was able to express himself or herself properly, you start the therapy by summarising the patient's needs and expectations expressed in each domain. Next, in the shared-decision process, the patient prioritises together with the team of healthcare professionals will decide the preferred actions and help the patient by formulating realistic time bonded goals, again in each domain. As such, we hope to strengthen patients to change their movement behaviour.⁸⁷ Practically, the patient could be referred to well-trained professionals acting in line with a knee OA care pathway, including support for self-management, education, exercise and weight control. For the latter, this prototypical patient is a good example. Evidence shows that weight loss is achievable, but maintenance of the reduced weight is the real challenge.⁸⁸

Profile 5: early knee OA in a 52-year-old female or male with no obvious clinical risk factors and no radiographic abnormalities

Particularly, in this prototypical patient, we assume other underlying causes for the pain described in the knee. Therefore, many clinicians will suggest further technical investigations and overuse them. In other words, a stepped care strategy is uttermost important. Outcome superiority of a specific implementation is not proven, but most recommended nonsurgical modalities seem to be well used if care is implemented by protocol. These interventions also point out that patients with a passive coping style should be encouraged more to use non-surgical treatments such as supportive medication combined with exercise therapy (aerobic, strengthening and neuromuscular) and behavioural approaches to improve self-management.^{89 90} A reassuring 'demedicalisation' approach of primary healthcare professionals in first line is warranted. Relative short-term follow-up (within 3 months) to reassess needs and steps is recommended.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There is little doubt that there are plenty of opportunities when looking into secondary prevention and early knee OA. Very little is done in a structured way for these patients, and it is time to invest resources into an individual patient-centred approach as OA is a serious disease, and the impact of knee OA on the patient is very sizeable in many aspects of his or her daily, recreational, and professional life. Therefore, we believe secondary prevention is the key. Yet, as the sheer number of patients with this early disease process is large, we have to set up a structured approach allowing to detect early knee OA in primary practice and provide appropriate coaching depending on the (risk) profile of the patient. This is much in line with other chronic musculoskeletal diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and osteoporosis. Besides the fact that defining and classifying early knee OA allows much needed interventional studies in early phases of the diseases process,⁵ it should also trigger a cascade of

events in clinical practice leading to a proper patient-centred management.

Indeed, most patients with nociceptive, activity-dependent knee pain are getting the message that with normal blood exam and normal X-rays, nothing can be done, but symptomatic pain relieve by paracetamol and NSAIDs as no disease-modifying drugs are available. This attitude needs to be replaced by a more personalised patient approach like characterisation of the patient by many angles including the biopsychosocial factors. This is feasible by screening methods and algorithms implemented via most modern technologies such as computerised decision support systems allowing to guide both the physician and patient to take proper action. Importantly, this approach should also allow to classify a patient in a risk category, and when the risk is high for progression suggesting to refer the patient to more advanced multidisciplinary centres where in-depth assessment of his or her individual profile will result in a personalised approach and coaching with examples as discussed above.

Indeed, in this expert centre, typically a multidisciplinary team with orthopaedic surgeon/rheumatologist/rehabilitation doctor next to a physiotherapist, dietitian, psychologist and other relevant non-medical partners, will review the diagnosis, assess the patient profile as comprehensive as possible, elicit patient's expectations and propose using a shared decision process to achieve relevant management goals.

In doing so, it is still a challenge today to understand the risk profile of the patient and its relation to prognosis. Much research still needs to be done in this regard to come up with an algorithm to evaluate the risk profile of the patients at higher risk of disease progression. Indeed, the relationship and interplay between many identified risk factors and how these factors quantitatively interact and affect diseases outcomes remains a major research task (figure 5).

Specific pitfalls in management of the patient with early knee OA need to be addressed. We should avoid introducing MRI as a necessary investigation to define and classify the patients as early knee OA. Although MRI is more accessible, it cannot be recommended in the first line of early knee OA diagnosis. Moreover, MRI findings and their interpretation may contribute in some patients to a distorted disease perception that leads to chronicity. Second, early imaging as observed in patients with low back pain may increase and extend disability^{91 92} and be associated with decreased sense of well-being.⁹³ Overall, a review on diagnostic testing suggests that the reassuring potential of investigations is only of value for a serious disease.⁹⁴ So, one should rely on clinical findings, inform and refer patients at consultations in a more reassuring way, for instance physical therapy, based on clinical findings, that has demonstrated to be of benefit, without any known risk for the patient.^{63 95 96}

Another pitfall would be 'overtreatment' in a patient who is not responding to the first-line management. It is quite often that the patients experience specific

insurmountable barriers at the very start of the treatment. Therefore, a re-evaluation of barriers and facilitators is necessary in order to personalise the initial treatment plan. Additionally, adherence to exercise is often reported to be low and decline over time that becomes problematic as it is identified as a predictor of the long-term effectiveness of exercise therapy.⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹ There is also the discussion on relevant outcomes in this regard but that is somewhat outside of the scope of this paper. Last but not least, as reported by Choi *et al*,¹⁰⁰ in studying risk factors associated with development of rheumatic conditions and their complications, one should consider that the discrepancies in predictors for development and progression could be explained by index event bias.

As mentioned before, we are fully aware of the limitations of our discussion. This narrative was limited, to some extent, in addressing all the recent developments on prevention of pain chronicity, educational approaches, programme implementation and continuation and non-pharmacological modalities, which are already available in several guidelines.^{82 101} To conclude, the ultimate goal of secondary prevention for patients with early knee OA should be to restore joint homeostasis and recover full function in all activities. It may require appropriate adjustments, targeting to increase quality of life, and prevention or slowdown of structural deterioration over time. To achieve this, the approach should be personalised and the attention focused on modifiable factors in the broad context. We also believe it is affordable, more clinically relevant and of real value for the patient and the society. As in many countries, there is not sufficient appreciation for the merit of a management trajectory for an individual patient with early knee OA; this approach may require adaptations for the reimbursement policies. In doing so, this will decrease substantially the OA disease burden.

Contributors All authors contributed equally to this paper.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>

REFERENCES

1. Karsdal MA, Michaelis M, Ladel C, *et al*. Disease-modifying treatments for osteoarthritis (DMOADs) of the knee and hip: lessons learned from failures and opportunities for the future. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2016;24:2013-21.
2. Devez LA, Melo L, Yamato TP, *et al*. Knee osteoarthritis phenotypes and their relevance for outcomes: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2017;25:1926-41.
3. Roos EM, Arden NK. Strategies for the prevention of knee osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2016;12:92-101.

4. van Steenbergen HW, da Silva JAP, Huizinga TWJ, *et al.* Preventing progression from arthralgia to arthritis: targeting the right patients. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2018;14:32–41.
5. Luyten FP, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Dell'Accio F, *et al.* Toward classification criteria for early osteoarthritis of the knee. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2018;47:457–63.
6. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, *et al.* Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis: classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 1986;29:1039–49.
7. Luyten FP, Denti M, Filardo G, *et al.* Definition and classification of early osteoarthritis of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20:401–6.
8. Baert IA, Jonkers I, Staes F, *et al.* Gait characteristics and lower limb muscle strength in women with early and established knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Biomech* 2013;28:40–7.
9. Neogi T. The epidemiology and impact of pain in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2013;21:1145–53.
10. Mahmoudian A, van Dieën JH, Bruijn SM, *et al.* Varus thrust in women with early medial knee osteoarthritis and its relation with the external knee adduction moment. *Clin Biomech* 2016;39:109–14.
11. Mahmoudian A, van Dieën JH, Baert IAC, *et al.* Changes in gait characteristics of women with early and established medial knee osteoarthritis: Results from a 2-years longitudinal study. *Clin Biomech* 2017;50:32–9.
12. Baert IA, Mahmoudian A, Nieuwenhuys A, *et al.* Proprioceptive accuracy in women with early and established knee osteoarthritis and its relation to functional ability, postural control, and muscle strength. *Clin Rheumatol* 2013;32:1365–74.
13. Segal NA, Torner JC, Felson D, *et al.* Effect of thigh strength on incident radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in a longitudinal cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:1210–7.
14. Slemenda C, Heilman DK, Brandt KD, *et al.* Reduced quadriceps strength relative to body weight: a risk factor for knee osteoarthritis in women? *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:1951–9.
15. Mahmoudian A, van Dieën JH, Baert IA, *et al.* Changes in proprioceptive weighting during quiet standing in women with early and established knee osteoarthritis compared to healthy controls. *Gait Posture* 2016;44:184–8.
16. Hinman RS, Lentzos J, Vicenzino B, *et al.* Is patellofemoral osteoarthritis common in middle-aged people with chronic patellofemoral pain? *Arthritis Care Res* 2014;66:1252–7.
17. Fick JM, P Ronkainen A, Madden R, *et al.* Early in situ changes in chondrocyte biomechanical responses due to a partial meniscectomy in the lateral compartment of the mature rabbit knee joint. *J Biomech* 2016;49:4057–64.
18. Mäkelä JT, Han SK, Herzog W, *et al.* Very early osteoarthritis changes sensitively fluid flow properties of articular cartilage. *J Biomech* 2015;48:3369–76.
19. Brandt KD, Braunstein EM, Visco DM, *et al.* Anterior (cranial) cruciate ligament transection in the dog: a bona fide model of osteoarthritis, not merely of cartilage injury and repair. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18:436–46.
20. Dedrick DK, Goldstein SA, Brandt KD, *et al.* A longitudinal study of subchondral plate and trabecular bone in cruciate-deficient dogs with osteoarthritis followed up for 54 months. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;36:1460–7.
21. Suter E, Herzog W, Leonard TR, *et al.* One-year changes in hind limb kinematics, ground reaction forces and knee stability in an experimental model of osteoarthritis. *J Biomech* 1998;31:511–7.
22. Boyd SK, Müller R, Leonard T, *et al.* Long-term periarticular bone adaptation in a feline knee injury model for post-traumatic experimental osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005;13:235–42.
23. Hartigan E, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Perturbation training prior to ACL reconstruction improves gait asymmetries in non-copers. *J Orthop Res* 2009;27:724–9.
24. Frank CB, Beveridge JE, Huebner KD, *et al.* Complete ACL/MCL deficiency induces variable degrees of instability in sheep with specific kinematic abnormalities correlating with degrees of early osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Res* 2012;30:384–92.
25. Hasler EM, Herzog W, Leonard TR, *et al.* In vivo knee joint loading and kinematics before and after ACL transection in an animal model. *J Biomech* 1997;31:253–62.
26. Herzog W, Diet S, Suter E, *et al.* Material and functional properties of articular cartilage and patellofemoral contact mechanics in an experimental model of osteoarthritis. *J Biomech* 1998;31:1137–45.
27. Felson DT, Lawrence RC, Dieppe PA, *et al.* Osteoarthritis: new insights. Part 1: the disease and its risk factors. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;133:635–46.
28. Hurley MV. The role of muscle weakness in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 1999;25:283–98.
29. Lewek MD, Rudolph KS, Snyder-Mackler L. Control of frontal plane knee laxity during gait in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004;12:745–51.
30. Roos EM, Herzog W, Block JA, *et al.* Muscle weakness, afferent sensory dysfunction and exercise in knee osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2011;7:57–63.
31. Suter E, Herzog W. Does muscle inhibition after knee injury increase the risk of osteoarthritis? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2000;28:15–18.
32. van der Esch M, Holla JF, van der Leeden M, *et al.* Decrease of muscle strength is associated with increase of activity limitations in early knee osteoarthritis: 3-year results from the cohort hip and cohort knee study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;95:1962–8.
33. Aärimaa V, Kääriäinen M, Vaitinen S, *et al.* Restoration of myofiber continuity after transection injury in the rat soleus. *Neuromuscul Disord* 2004;14:421–8.
34. Campione M, Ausoni S, Guezennec CY, *et al.* Myosin and troponin changes in rat soleus muscle after hindlimb suspension. *J Appl Physiol* 1993;74:1156–60.
35. Clark BC. In vivo alterations in skeletal muscle form and function after disuse atrophy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009;41:1869–75.
36. Phillips SM, McGlory C. CrossTalk proposal: The dominant mechanism causing disuse muscle atrophy is decreased protein synthesis. *J Physiol* 2014;592:5341–3.
37. Fortuna R, Vaz MA, Youssef AR, Aurélio Vaz M, Rehan Youssef A, *et al.* Changes in contractile properties of muscles receiving repeat injections of botulinum toxin (Botox). *J Biomech* 2011;44:39–44.
38. Misiaszek JE, Pearson KG. Adaptive changes in locomotor activity following botulinum toxin injection in ankle extensor muscles of cats. *J Neurophysiol* 2002;87:229–39.
39. Longino D, Frank C, Leonard TR, *et al.* Proposed model of botulinum toxin-induced muscle weakness in the rabbit. *J Orthop Res* 2005;23:1411–8.
40. Longino D, Frank C, Herzog W. Acute botulinum toxin-induced muscle weakness in the anterior cruciate ligament-deficient rabbit. *J Orthop Res* 2005;23:1404–10.
41. Rehan Youssef A, Longino D, Seerattan R, *et al.* Muscle weakness causes joint degeneration in rabbits. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2009;17:1228–35.
42. Sawatsky A, Bourne D, Horisberger M, *et al.* Changes in patellofemoral joint contact pressures caused by vastus medialis muscle weakness. *Clin Biomech* 2012;27:595–601.
43. Egloff C, Sawatsky A, Leonard T, *et al.* Effect of muscle weakness and joint inflammation on the onset and progression of osteoarthritis in the rabbit knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014;22:1886–93.
44. Collins KH, Reimer RA, Seerattan RA, *et al.* Using diet-induced obesity to understand a metabolic subtype of osteoarthritis in rats. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015;23:957–65.
45. Mankin H, Brandt K, Shulman L. Workshop on etiopathogenesis of osteoarthritis, Warrenton VA, July 21–25, 1985: research recommendations arising from the workshop. *J Rheum* 1986;13:1126–60.
46. Collins KH, Hart DA, Reimer RA, *et al.* High-fat high-sucrose diet leads to dynamic structural and inflammatory alterations in the rat vastus lateralis muscle. *J Orthop Res* 2016;34:2069–78.
47. Schouten JS, van den Ouweland FA, Valkenburg HA. A 12 year follow up study in the general population on prognostic factors of cartilage loss in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1992;51:932–7.
48. Cooper C, Snow S, McAlindon TE, *et al.* Risk factors for the incidence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:995–1000.
49. Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, *et al.* The incidence and natural history of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly, the framingham osteoarthritis study. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 1995;38:1500–5.
50. Chang A, Hayes K, Dunlop D, *et al.* Thrust during ambulation and the progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 2004;50:3897–903.
51. Pierre Raynauld J-, Martel-Pelletier J, Berthiaume M-J, *et al.* Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of knee osteoarthritis progression over two years and correlation with clinical symptoms and radiologic changes. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 2004;50:476–87.
52. Kraus VB, Blanco FJ, Englund M, *et al.* Call for standardized definitions of osteoarthritis and risk stratification for clinical trials and clinical use. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015;23:1233–41.
53. The arthritis alliance of Canada. <http://arthritisalliance.ca/en/osteoarthritis-toolbox>

54. Maly RC, Frank JC, Marshall GN, *et al.* Perceived efficacy in patient-physician interactions (PEPPI): validation of an instrument in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:889–94.
55. ten Klooster PM, Oostveen JC, Zandbelt LC, *et al.* Further validation of the 5-item Perceived Efficacy in Patient-Physician Interactions (PEPPI-5) scale in patients with osteoarthritis. *Patient Educ Couns* 2012;87:125–30.
56. Phillips SK, Rook KM, Siddle NC, *et al.* Muscle weakness in women occurs at an earlier age than in men, but strength is preserved by hormone replacement therapy. *Clin Sci* 1993;84:95–8.
57. Lefèvre-Colau MM, Nguyen C, Haddad R, *et al.* Is physical activity, practiced as recommended for health benefit, a risk factor for osteoarthritis? *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2016;59:196–206.
58. Van Ginckel A, Baelde N, Almqvist KF, *et al.* Functional adaptation of knee cartilage in asymptomatic female novice runners compared to sedentary controls. A longitudinal analysis using delayed Gadolinium Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Cartilage (dGEMRIC). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2010;18:1564–9.
59. Roos EM, Dahlberg L. Positive effects of moderate exercise on glycosaminoglycan content in knee cartilage: a four-month, randomized, controlled trial in patients at risk of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:3507–14.
60. Meireles S, De Groote F, Reeves ND, *et al.* Knee contact forces are not altered in early knee osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture* 2016;45:115–20.
61. Englund M, Roos EM, Lohmander LS. Impact of type of meniscal tear on radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a sixteen-year followup of meniscectomy with matched controls. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:2178–87.
62. Zheng Y, Manson JE, Yuan C, *et al.* Associations of weight gain from early to middle adulthood with major health outcomes later in life. *JAMA* 2017;318:255–69.
63. Deyle GD, Allison SC, Matekel RL, *et al.* Physical therapy treatment effectiveness for osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized comparison of supervised clinical exercise and manual therapy procedures versus a home exercise program. *Physical therapy* 2005;85:1301–17.
64. Nijs J, Apeldoorn A, Hallegraef H, *et al.* Low back pain: guidelines for the clinical classification of predominant neuropathic, nociceptive, or central sensitization pain. *Pain Physician* 2015;18:E333–46.
65. Miyazaki T, Wada M, Kawahara H. Dynamic load at baseline can predict radiographic disease progression in medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:617–22.
66. Chang AH, Moisisio KC, Chmiel JS, *et al.* External knee adduction and flexion moments during gait and medial tibiofemoral disease progression in knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015;23:1099–106.
67. Hunt MA, Bennell KL. Predicting dynamic knee joint load with clinical measures in people with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Knee* 2011;18:231–4.
68. Robbins SM, Maly MR. The effect of gait speed on the knee adduction moment depends on waveform summary measures. *Gait Posture* 2009;30:543–6.
69. Jenkyn TR, Hunt MA, Jones IC, *et al.* Toe-out gait in patients with knee osteoarthritis partially transforms external knee adduction moment into flexion moment during early stance phase of gait: a tri-planar kinetic mechanism. *J Biomech* 2008;41:276–83.
70. Shull PB, Shultz R, Silder A, *et al.* Toe-in gait reduces the first peak knee adduction moment in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *J Biomech* 2013;46:122–8.
71. van den Noort JC, Schaffers I, Snijders J, *et al.* The effectiveness of voluntary modifications of gait pattern to reduce the knee adduction moment. *Hum Mov Sci* 2013;32:412–24.
72. Simic M, Hunt MA, Bennell KL, *et al.* Trunk lean gait modification and knee joint load in people with medial knee osteoarthritis: the effect of varying trunk lean angles. *Arthritis Care Res* 2012;64:1545–53.
73. Takacs J, Hunt MA. The effect of contralateral pelvic drop and trunk lean on frontal plane knee biomechanics during single limb standing. *J Biomech* 2012;45:2791–6.
74. Gerbrands TA, Pisters MF, Theeven PJR, *et al.* Lateral trunk lean and medializing the knee as gait strategies for knee osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture* 2017;51:247–53.
75. Gerbrands TA, Pisters MF, Vanwanseele B. Individual selection of gait retraining strategies is essential to optimally reduce medial knee load during gait. *Clin Biomech* 2014;29:828–34.
76. Shakoor N, Block JA. Walking barefoot decreases loading on the lower extremity joints in knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2923–7.
77. Paterson KL, Bennell KL, Wrigley TV, *et al.* Effects of footwear on the knee adduction moment in medial knee osteoarthritis: classification criteria for flat flexible vs stable supportive shoes. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2017;25:234–41.
78. Arnold JB, Wong DX, Jones RK, *et al.* Lateral wedge insoles for reducing biomechanical risk factors for medial knee osteoarthritis progression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;68:936–51.
79. Ota S, Ogawa Y, Ota H, *et al.* Beneficial effects of a gait used while wearing a kimono to decrease the knee adduction moment in healthy adults. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0179260.
80. Simic M, Hinman RS, Wrigley TV, *et al.* Gait modification strategies for altering medial knee joint load: a systematic review. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:405–26.
81. Sharma L, Dunlop DD, Hayes KW. Is a strong quadriceps muscle bad for a patient with knee osteoarthritis? *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140:150.
82. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014;22:363–88.
83. Oostendorp RAB, Elvers H, Mikolajewska E. Manual physical therapists' use of biopsychosocial history taking in the management of patients with back or neck pain in clinical practice. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2015;2015:1–8.
84. Speckens A, Spinhoven P, Van Rood Y. *Protocolaire behandeling van patiënten met onverklaarde lichamelijke klachten. In: Protocolaire behandelingen in de ambulante geestelijke gezondheidszorg I: Springer, 2004:183–218.*
85. Keijsers G, Av M, Hoogduin C. *Protocolaire behandelingen in de ambulante geestelijke gezondheidszorg. Germany: Springer, 2004.*
86. Oostendorp RAB, Elvers H, Mikolajewska E, *et al.* "Clinical biopsychosocial physiotherapy assessment of patients with chronic pain: The first step in pain neuroscience education" by Amarins J Wijma *et al.*, 2016. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice* 2017;33:260–2.
87. Deci EL, Ryan RM. Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: an overview of self-determination theory. *Oxford library of psychology. The Oxford handbook of human motivation.* New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press, 2012:85–107.
88. Wluka AE, Lombard CB, Cicuttini FM. Tackling obesity in knee osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2013;9:225–35.
89. Smink AJ, Dekker J, Vliet Vlieland TPM, *et al.* Health care use of patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee after implementation of a stepped-care strategy: an observational study. *Arthritis Care Res* 2014;66:817–27.
90. Allen KD, Choong PF, Davis AM, *et al.* Osteoarthritis: models for appropriate care across the disease continuum. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2016;30:503–35.
91. Graves JM, Fulton-Kehoe D, Jarvik JG, *et al.* Early imaging for acute low back pain: one-year health and disability outcomes among Washington State workers. *Spine* 2012;37:1617–27.
92. Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. *J Occup Environ Med* 2010;52:900–7.
93. Modic MT, Obuchowski NA, Ross JS, *et al.* Acute low back pain and radiculopathy: MR imaging findings and their prognostic role and effect on outcome. *Radiology* 2005;237:597–604.
94. Rolfe A, Burton C. Reassurance after diagnostic testing with a low pretest probability of serious disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:407–16.
95. Silva LE, Valim V, Pessanha AP, *et al.* Hydrotherapy versus conventional land-based exercise for the management of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial. *Phys Ther* 2008;88:12–21.
96. Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, *et al.* Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;21.
97. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, de Bakker DH, *et al.* Behavioural graded activity results in better exercise adherence and more physical activity than usual care in people with osteoarthritis: a cluster-randomised trial. *J Physiother* 2010;56:41–7.
98. van Gool CH, Penninx BW, Kempen GI, *et al.* Effects of exercise adherence on physical function among overweight older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;53:24–32.
99. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, Schellevis FG, *et al.* Exercise adherence improving long-term patient outcome in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip and/or knee. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:1087–94.
100. Choi HK, Nguyen US, Niu J, *et al.* Selection bias in rheumatic disease research. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2014;10:403–12.

101. Fernandes L, Hagen KB, Bijlsma JW, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the non-pharmacological core management of hip and knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1125–35.
102. Bastick AN, Runhaar J, Belo JN, *et al.* Prognostic factors for progression of clinical osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of observational studies. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2015;17:152.
103. Goodpaster BH, Park SW, Harris TB, *et al.* The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006;61:1059–64.
104. Lee S, Kim TN, Kim SH. Sarcopenic obesity is more closely associated with knee osteoarthritis than is nonsarcopenic obesity: a cross-sectional study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:3947–54.
105. Belo JN, Berger MY, Reijman M, *et al.* Prognostic factors of progression of osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of observational studies. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;57:13–26.
106. Chapple CM, Nicholson H, Baxter GD, *et al.* Patient characteristics that predict progression of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of prognostic studies. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:1115–25.
107. Reijman M, Pols HA, Bergink AP, *et al.* Body mass index associated with onset and progression of osteoarthritis of the knee but not of the hip: the Rotterdam Study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:158–62.
108. Wolfe F, Lane NE. The longterm outcome of osteoarthritis: rates and predictors of joint space narrowing in symptomatic patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:139–85.
109. Tanamas S, Hanna FS, Cicuttini FM, *et al.* Does knee malalignment increase the risk of development and progression of knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:459–67.
110. Felson DT, Goggins J, Niu J, *et al.* The effect of body weight on progression of knee osteoarthritis is dependent on alignment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3904–9.
111. Valdes AM, Spector TD. The contribution of genes to osteoarthritis. *Med Clin North Am* 2009;93:45–66.
112. Valdes AM, Spector TD. Genetic epidemiology of hip and knee osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2011;7:23–32.
113. Zhai G, Hart DJ, Kato BS, *et al.* Genetic influence on the progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a longitudinal twin study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007;15:222–5.
114. Madry H, Luyten FP, Facchini A. Biological aspects of early osteoarthritis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20:407–22.
115. Hunter DJ, Zhang YQ, Niu JB, *et al.* The association of meniscal pathologic changes with cartilage loss in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:795–801.
116. Heuts PH, Vlaeyen JW, Roelofs J, *et al.* Pain-related fear and daily functioning in patients with osteoarthritis. *Pain* 2004;110(1-2):228–35.