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Neural networks for automatic scoring of arthritis disease activity on ultrasound images
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ABSTRACT

Background The development of standardised methods for ultrasound (US) scanning and evaluation of synovitis activity by the OMERACT-EULAR Synovitis Scoring (OESS) system is a major step forward in the use of US in the diagnosis and monitoring of patients with inflammatory arthritis. The variation in interpretation of disease activity on US images can affect diagnosis, treatment and outcomes in clinical trials. We, therefore, set out to investigate if we could utilise neural network architecture for the interpretation of disease activity on Doppler US images, using the OESS scoring system.

Methods Two state-of-the-art neural networks were used to extract information from 1342 Doppler US images from patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). One neural network divided images as either healthy (Doppler OESS score 0 or 1) or diseased (Doppler OESS score 2 or 3). The other to score images across all four of the OESS systems Doppler US scores (0–3). The neural networks were hereafter tested on a new set of RA Doppler US images (n=176). Agreement between rheumatologist’s scores and network scores was measured with the kappa statistic.

Results For the neural network assessing healthy/diseased score, the highest accuracies compared with an expert rheumatologist were 86.4% and 86.9% with a sensitivity of 0.864 and 0.875 and specificity of 0.864 and 0.864, respectively. The other neural network developed to four class Doppler OESS scoring achieved an average per class accuracy of 75.0% and a quadratically weighted kappa score of 0.84.

Conclusion This study is the first to show that neural network technology can be used in the scoring of disease activity on Doppler US images according to the OESS system.

BACKGROUND

To have an early disease detection and to follow a treat to target strategy in disease monitoring are key elements in the management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA).1 Colour or Power Doppler modes in ultrasound (US) imaging can be used for detection of subclinical disease, prediction of radiographic progression and early detection of disease flare.2 3 Operator dependence and lack of interobserver agreement have been longstanding issues in the use of US in diagnosis and disease management.4 The OMERACT-EULAR Synovitis Scoring (OESS) system introduces a more standardised approach to performing and interpreting disease activity when using US of joints in patients with inflammatory arthritis.2 5 The OESS system utilises both the grey scale (GS) mode and Doppler US (DUS) mode and both are scored semiquantitatively on a scale from 0 to 3, which hereafter can be used to determine a combined score (0–3). In this study, we focus on DUS imaging as it has been shown to be very sensitive for detecting disease activity and predict erosive disease.6 Although more standardised, disease activity evaluated by the OESS system on US data is still subject to interobserver variability due to biases inherent to human expert evaluators.2 7 8 This could affect patient diagnosis, treatment selection and possibly outcomes in

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

► This is the first study using convolutional neural network (CNN) technology to classify disease activity using the OMERACT-EULAR Synovitis Scoring (OESS) system on Doppler US (DUS) images from patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

What does this study add?

► The CNN architectures tested can be used for evaluating joint DUS images, both for healthy/disease classification and more complex classification using the full-scale OESS system.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

► This technology could possibly be used as a more unbiased method for scoring US arthritis activity in both daily clinical practice and clinical trials in the future.
clinical trials. To avoid this bias, all US data from an, for example, multicentre clinical trial could be evaluated by the same convolutional neural network (CNN), in order to classify disease activity on all images.

In recent years, CNNs have been established as the state-of-the-art approach for automatic image recognition and analysis. Today, CNNs are the gold standard for automatic image classification.9 The power of CNNs stems from their ability to extract increasingly complex features from data through a series of transformation layers called convolutional layers (see figure 1).10 The parameters of the CNN layers are learnt from exposure to ground truth annotated data, that is, images where an expert has classified the disease activity score by the OESS system. Using a learning algorithm, the CNN iteratively adjusts its functional parameters in order to optimise its performance.10 CNNs extract information from the US images in a hierarchical manner from simple to complex (figure 1). This information is used as input to a linear classification algorithm, which enables the CNN to distinguish between images belonging to different categories, for example, OESS system scores.10 Information is extracted by applying convolutional filters across an image. Hierarchical information extraction describes the principle that the information extracted early in CNN architectures is simple and generic, or general to most types of data. In the deeper parts of the network, the information is more complex. We, therefore, also examined how information extracted from the US images in the different convolutional layers with varying degrees of complexity affect the performance of classification algorithms.

In this study, we aimed to investigate if an artificial intelligence deep learning method in the form of CNNs could be used for automatic scoring of disease activity on DUS images from patients with RA patients and classify them by the OESS system, in order to increase the objectivity of disease activity scoring on US images, in both daily clinical care and clinical trials.

METHODS

Materials

Data in this study came from an RA study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02652299), where 40 patients with RA were followed for 6 months with repeat synovial biopsies from the wrist at baseline and 6 months. The hand where synovial biopsies were taken from was US scanned at baseline, 3 and 6 months. The RA group consists of 20 patients with longstanding disease (>5 years) and 20 with early untreated disease. The joints scanned according to OESS guidelines were: the wrist divided into two positions (the radiocarpal–intercarpal joint and the radioulnar joint), the proximal interphalangeal and the metacarpophalangeal joints. A total of 1694 DUS images were used in this study. Images were captured using a General Electric Logic 9 US machine and a linear array ML6-15 transducer with adjusted Colour Doppler for noise elimination. Colour Doppler, and not Power Doppler, was used as it can be substituted in the OESS when working with machines given that the former has a better sensitivity.2 11 All images were anonymised. A rheumatologist with approximately 9 years of experience with US scanning in patients with RA scored the anonymised images according to the four-point DUS OESS system scale.

Data structure

Data was split into separate training, validation and test sets prior to training of the classification algorithms. The validation set was used to tune parameters of the learning algorithm during training, while the test set was used to evaluate final performance of the networks.
shows the distribution of images in the data set across the four OESS system scores. Table 1 also shows the subdivision of images into the healthy and diseased category. Forty-four randomly selected images from each OESS system score were allocated to the test and validation sets. This yielded 176 images in each set with an equal distribution across the OESS system scores. The remaining images (n=1342) were kept in the training set. The distribution of images in the training set was unequal across the four OESS system scores. Since training classification algorithms on data where one class is over-represented can bias the classifier towards this class. To avoid this, an unbiased training set was created by oversampling the under-represented OESS scores. The resulting unbiased training set distribution is shown in Table 2.

The extraction of information and training of classifiers on the final training set (Table 2) was performed using the high-level neural network application programming interface Keras with the TensorFlow numerical computation library back end.12 13 CNN architectures were imported in Keras and loaded with parameters pretrained on the ImageNet data set.9

### Neural network designs

Two CNNs with different basic architectures were designed and tested.

The neural network classifying as either healthy or diseased

The VGG-16 architecture was used for extraction and subsequent classification of RA joint disease activity defined as either healthy (DUS OESS scores 0 and 1) or diseased (DUS OESS scores 2 and 3).6 14 Information extracted from the last convolutional layer of the network was used as input to a logistic regression classifier. The classifier was trained using a learning algorithm that iteratively adjusted the parameters of the classifier to improve classification performance. At fixed intervals during training, validation set images were classified to get an indication of the true performance on images not contained in the training set. Once performance stopped improving, the training was terminated. The trained classifier was subsequently evaluated on test set images.

The neural network classifying across all DUS OESS scores

The Inception-v3 architecture was used for extraction and subsequent classification of RA joint disease activity across all DUS OESS scores (0–3).15 The Inception-v3 architecture has a modular architecture consisting of the so-called Inception modules. Each module extracts information at different resolutions of the input. After each Inception module, the information is combined in a layer referred to as a mixed layer. The Inception-v3 architecture consists of 11 of these mixed layers (0–10). The hierarchical nature of CNNs also applies to the mixed layers, meaning that the information contained in mixed layer 0 is more generic than that contained in mixed layer 10. We took advantage of this modular architecture in order to investigate how information from different depths of the network affected classification performance. Information was extracted from each mixed layer (0–10) and used to train custom classifiers. The classifiers were trained using a learning algorithm that iteratively adjusted the parameters to improve classification performance. The performance was evaluated on validation set images during training. We identified the best performing classifier of the 11 mixed layers (one classifier per mixed layer) which yielded the highest four class OESS classification accuracy. Information from this mixed layer was used in an ensemble classification method, where 10 classifiers were trained using slightly different training parameter settings. This ensemble was used to classify images from the test set by combining the scores from each classifier in a single score given by the ensemble.

### Statistics

Images in the test set were used to determine the per OESS score accuracy of the classifiers. Agreement was measured using Cohen’s kappa statistic for DUS OESS system scores assigned by the trained classifiers and an expert rheumatologist.

### RESULTS

#### Neural network classifying as either no or low level, or high level

Comparison of the scores assigned by the no or low level/high level CNN were compared with the scores assigned by an expert rheumatologist (Table 3). The CNN achieved a test accuracy of 86.4% with sensitivity and specificity of 0.864 and an area under the receiver operator characteristic curve of 0.93.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>How Doppler ultrasound images with OESS scores were divided into a training, validation and test set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Binary scores</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OESS scores</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training set</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation set</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test set</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OESS, Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT)-EULAR Synovitis Scoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The US images with Doppler US OESS scores in the final training set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Binary scores</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OESS scores (0–3)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training set (n images)</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total images (n)</td>
<td>1328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OESS, OMERACT-EULAR Synovitis Scoring; US, ultrasound.
mixed layer 4 features to binary classification (healthy/diseased). Binary RA scores were determined by majority vote healthy (OESS scores 0 and 1) and diseased (OESS scores 2 and 3) among the 10 classifiers in the ensemble. The ensemble yielded a binary classification accuracy of 86.9% with a sensitivity of 0.875 and specificity of 0.864. The agreement found using the kappa statistic for the binary ensemble classifier was 0.78.

**DISCUSSION**

We herein present the first study evaluating if CNN technology can be used to classify disease activity according to the OESS system using 1694 DUS images from the wrist and hand of patients with RA with early or longstanding disease. Our results demonstrate a number of important findings. First, both CNN architectures tested (VGG-16 and Inception-v3) can be used for evaluating joint DUS images. Second, both CNN designs achieve high accuracies for no or low level/high level classification of, respectively, 86.4% and 86.9%. Third, our result suggests that CNNs can do more complex classification of DUS images, for example, using the full-scale OESS system with an accuracy of 75% and a quadratically weighted kappa score of 0.84.

To our knowledge, this is the first study using CNN technology to classify disease activity on DUS images from patients with RA. The evaluation is important as the interpretation of disease activity on imaging modalities has a both intraindividual and interindividual variation. This bias can affect the timely diagnosis for a patient, estimation of disease activity and thereby risk of long-term joint damage, correct treatment selection or

Table 3 Comparison between healthy/diseased scores of the CNN and the expert rheumatologist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CNN</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Diseased</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatologist</td>
<td>Healthy, n (%)</td>
<td>76 (86.4%)</td>
<td>12 (13.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diseased, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (13.6%)</td>
<td>76 (86.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Binary classification performance (healthy/diseased) on the test set. Percentages in the parenthesis show from top left to bottom right: true negative, false positive, false negative and true positive classified images.

CNN, convolutional neural network.

**Neural network classifying across all DUS OESS scores**

The mixed layer yielding the highest average accuracy with 70.5% for classification across all four DUS OESS system scores was mixed with layer 4. For this layer, the per class accuracies for DUS OESS scores 0–3 were 79.5%, 59.1%, 68.2% and 75.0%, respectively. Different mixed layers yielded different accuracies for each of the four OESS scores. The highest accuracy achieved for DUS OESS score 0 was 84.1% using mixed layer 3. For score 1, an accuracy of 75.0% was achieved using mixed layer 6. Mixed layer 7 yielded the highest accuracy for OESS score 2 with 75.0% and for score 3, the highest accuracy of 88.6% was achieved using mixed layer 1. Data that summarises the per class and average accuracies achieved by training a classifier on information extracted from each mixed layer of the Inception-v3 architecture can be seen in the online supplementary material table 1. Table 4 summarises the classification results for the ensemble classification method using the 10 classifiers trained on mixed layer 4. The ensemble method coupled with a more thorough search for the best training parameter settings resulted in an increase in the average accuracy of 4.5 percentage points from 70.5% on the validation set to 75.0%. Table 4 illustrates the distribution of correctly and incorrectly classified images across the four OESS system scores. Only a single incorrectly classified image was wrong by more than a single score compared with the ground truth scores assigned by the expert rheumatologist. Using Cohen’s kappa as a measure of agreement, we found values of 0.61, 0.74 and 0.84 for unweighted, linear weighted and quadratically weighted kappa scores, respectively.

Table 5 Comparison between the binary scores of the improved neural network and the expert rheumatologist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CNN</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Diseased</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatologist score</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>76 (86.4%)</td>
<td>12 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diseased</td>
<td>12 (13.6%)</td>
<td>76 (86.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Binary classification performance (healthy/diseased) on the test set images for mixed layer 4. Percentages in parenthesis show from top left to bottom right: true negative, false positive, false negative and true positive classified images.

Table 4 Classification results for CNN developed for all Doppler US OESS scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNN across all Doppler US OESS score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatologist</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNN, convolutional neural network; OESS, OMERACT-EULAR Synovitis Scoring; US, ultrasound.
outcome of a multicentre clinical study. That images are evaluated by the same CNN, without human bias, could potentially make US results more comparable between centres/countries and different clinical trials. Another important aspect is that in several countries, there is a lack of resources for the musculoskeletal imaging experts to perform standardised descriptions of X-ray, US or MRI images.\footnote{16 17} Here, CNN technology could be an essential help, delivering fast, timely and standardised results to the rheumatologist. Another important aspect is the acquisition of the US images for automated scoring, where using standardised methods for securing high-quality images for evaluation should be a priority.

We are working on several aspects of optimising the CNN designs for better US imaging interpretation. One optimisation could be to combine classifiers trained on information extracted from different mixed layers into an ensemble of classifiers which could potentially yield a higher classification accuracy. In this study, we analysed DUS images, but we are also working on algorithms for the US images taken in GS mode, also to be classified according to the OESS system.

A strength in this study is that patients with RA from all different stages of disease are included and that all images are from the wrist and hand. We are currently working on collecting more scored US images and including other joints described in the OESS system, for example, knee and feet which are also often affected in RA. More data would most likely increase the performance of the automated scoring algorithms. A potential limitation in the study is that all DUS OESS scores were evaluated by a single expert; multiple expert annotations might have improved the outcome.

The ability to have a CNN that scores disease activity on all US images from, for example, a multicentre RA trial, would remove the interobserver and intraobserver variability seen in human scoring. This would ensure that all images are scored in the same way. The same approach could be made for the development of CNNs for the disease activity scoring on MRI data or degree of erosions on joint X-rays. Further CNN designs could combine data from several specialised CNNs (eg, US, MRI or pathology analysis) and search for disease markers or patterns, we humans have not spotted due to the large amount of data.

In conclusion, this study is the first to show that neural network technology can be used for the scoring of disease activity on DUS images, by OESS system. This technology could possibly be used as a more unbiased method for scoring US arthritis activity in both daily clinical practice and trials in the future.
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