Intermetatarsal bursitis is frequent in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis and is associated with anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide and rheumatoid factor
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INTRODUCTION

Ultrasound is sensitive for detection of inflammatory changes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). 1 Intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB) is located on the dorsal side of the deep intermetatarsal ligament and may easily be detected by use of longitudinal and transverse dorsal scans between the metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints. 2 In a longitudinal scan, they are relatively large and have usually a round shape, caused by hypoechoic synovitis and they may contain fluid. They are often power Doppler (PD) positive. In the transverse plane, an IMB is detected as a hypoechoic structure between the metatarsal heads where the upper border is rounded. There are few studies on IMB, 3–6 and the objective of this study was to explore the prevalence of IMB and its associations with subjective, clinical and laboratory assessments in established RA patients.

METHODS

This post hoc analysis of 209 patients with RA (mean (SD) age 53 (13) years, disease duration 10 (9) years, 81% women, 79% anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) positive, 69% rheumatoid factor (RF) positive) initiating biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) 7 included assessment of patient’s global disease activity VAS, clinical examination (assessor’s disease activity VAS, tender and swollen joint counts (of 28) performed by a study nurse, with additional MTP 1-5 assessed combined as one joint bilaterally for tenderness) and laboratory variables (Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-Reactive Protein (CRP), anti-CCP and RF). Composite clinical scores (Disease Activity Score of 28 joints (DAS28,ESR), Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) and Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) were calculated. The presence of patient-reported joint pain (PRJP) was scored 0–3 at joint level for pain the last 24 hours by use of a manikin (including bilateral wrist, MCP1–5, PIP2–3, elbow, knee, ankle, MTP 1–5), and the same joints were examined by ultrasound.

A semi-quantitative score (0–3) using grey scale (GS) and PD were performed by one rheumatologist with high intra-reader reliability 8 by use of Siemens Acuson Antares, excellence version, 5–13 MHz probe and in accordance with the Norwegian ultrasound
RESULTS
Forty-three patients (20.6%) had a total of 69 IMB, either unilaterally or bilaterally (27.9% right side, 37.2% left side and 30.2% bilaterally, while in 4.7% there was no description of side). The patients had up to 4 IMB (n=1 in 65.1%, n=2 in 18.6%, n=3 in 7.0% and n=4 in 9.3%). Figure 1 shows the typical clinical and longitudinal GS/ PD ultrasound scanning of IMB. IMB was found in the following intermetatarsal spaces: MTP 1–2; 4.3%, MTP 2–3; 33.3%, MTP 3–4; 56.5% and MTP 4–5; 5.8%. When IMB was found, there was no synovitis in neighbouring MTPs in 33.3%, synovitis in one of the MTPs in 29.0% and synovitis in both MTPs in 37.7%. However, if synovitis was present, it was mainly moderate (mostly GS score of 2 (in 95.7%)). There were no differences in the presence of anti-CCP/RF in patients with vs without synovitis in the neighbouring MTPs.

Presence of IMB was not associated with baseline total sum scores of GS, PD or PRJP, or with the clinical or composite scores assessments. However, patients with IMB had significantly higher MTP 1–5 sum scores of GS and PD (p=0.05 and p=0.002, respectively), but no difference in sum score PRJP or tenderness of MTPs. Logistic regression analysis with either anti-CCP or RF positivity as the dependent variable showed that presence of IMB significantly explained seropositivity, while this was not found for GS and PD sum scores of MTP 1–5 as independent variables. In addition, IMB was associated with anti-CCP (OR (95% CI) 4.1 (1.2 to 14.0)) and RF (3.7 (1.4 to 10.1)), with 93% of patients with IMB being anti-CCP positive and 87% RF positive.

DISCUSSION
The present frequency of IMB was as described in the study by Iagnocco et al. However, we found much lower percentage of patients with IMB than Bowen et al who described IMB in 90.8% of RA patients. In addition, in contrast to our study, Bowen et al found most of the IMB located in the MTP 4–5 space and least in the MTP 2–3 space. In the study by Bowen et al, only plantar longitudinal and transverse scans were used, while we assessed the IMB by use of dorsal longitudinal and transverse scanning. A recent retrospective study including conventional MRI and ultrasound used dorsal scans for the ultrasound examination of IMB. However, MRI found the IMB to be big enough to be easily detectable by both dorsal and plantar scanning.

All patients in the present study were treated with bDMARDs, and this could have reduced the presence of IMB. However, a previous study has addressed the change of forefoot bursal hypertrophy after 12 weeks of bDMARD treatment. They found no significant change, only a trend towards reduction. Thus, it seems that despite effective anti-inflammatory treatment, these bursae may take time to normalise.

We found no associations between the presence of IMB and clinical or patient-reported outcomes. This is supported by a previous study exploring the long-term associations between changes in forefoot bursae (including both IMB and plantar bursae) and changes in DAS28 or ESR, where no significant associations were found over 3 years. On the other hand, presence of forefoot bursae was associated with patient-reported foot-related disability scores. This is supported by the present findings, where ultrasound sum scores of the MTP joints were higher in patients having IMB.

CONCLUSION
Our study shows that IMB is a frequent finding in patients with established RA and primarily in the MTP 2–3 and MTP 3–4 spaces. IMB was associated with higher levels of ultrasound synovitis in MTPs as well as with presence of anti-CCP and RF. Thus, ultrasound examination of forefeet should also focus on this pathology which may be typical for seropositive RA and indicate more severe disease of the feet.
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