Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil as a remission induction therapy in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis—a meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives A few studies on antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) treatments have shown the therapeutic efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF). However, the therapeutic efficacy of MMF compared with that of cyclophosphamide (CYC) in patients with AAV has not been established. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of MMF as a remission induction therapy in patients with AAV comparing it with the efficacy of CYC.

Methods We searched randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing the efficacy of MMF with that of CYC in patients with AAV on three different websites: PubMed, Cochrane Library and Google Scholar. We compared the difference in the relative risk (RR) of each outcome based on a Mantel-Haenszel random-effects model.

Results We analysed data from four RCTs with 300 patients for the study. The 6-month remission rate (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.38, p=0.48), the 6-month ANCA negativity (RR 1.31, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.90, p=0.15) and the long-term relapse rate (RR 1.36, 95% CI 0.80 to 2.31, p=0.26) were all similar between the two treatments. The rates of death, infection and leucopenia were also similar between the two groups (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.40 to 2.74, p=0.93; RR 1.26, 95% CI 0.79 to 2.01, p=0.33; RR 0.45, 95% CI 0.16 to 1.32, p=0.15, respectively).

Conclusions We found no difference between the therapeutic efficacy of MMF and that of CYC in patients with AAV. MMF may be an alternative remission induction therapy in patients with non-life-threatening AAV.

INTRODUCTION

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) is a chronic inflammatory disease characterised by multiorgan involvement affecting the ears, nose, throat (ENT), lungs, kidneys and peripheral nerves that may lead to loss of an organ or even death. The efficacy of intensive immunosuppressive therapy with agents such as cyclophosphamide (CYC) or rituximab (RTX) has been established as a remission induction therapy in patients with organ/life-threatening AAV1–5 and is recommended as a conventional therapy.6 However, we sometimes hesitate to use CYC in elderly individuals, women of childbearing age or individuals with renal insufficiency in clinical practice because of its cytotoxicity and possible adverse effects (infection, leucopenia and infertility). In the CYCLOPS Study, the rate of adverse events was relatively high (the percentages of leucopenia and infections in patients after intravenous CYC treatment were both 26% and 45%–29%, respectively).5 RTX is a complementary drug, but it induces long-lasting depletion of B cells and hypogammaglobulinemia in patients with AAV,7 which may contribute to infections that could become fatal (the severe infection rate was 15%, and 5% of deaths were due to them).8 Therefore, less toxic remission induction therapies are required.

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is a prodrug of mycophenolic acid, and it inhibits inosine-50-monophosphate dehydrogenase. MMF...
depletes guanosine nucleotides preferentially in T and B lymphocytes, inhibiting their proliferation and thereby suppressing cell-mediated immune responses and antibody formation. MMF has been used since the 1990s as an immunosuppressive drug to treat patients after kidney transplantation and more recently to treat connective tissue diseases. MMF (as well as CYC) is recommended as a first-line therapy for lupus nephritis, because studies have shown by meta-analysis that it has equivalent or better efficacy, and less side effects (such as amenorrhea) than CYC.

Some studies have reported the therapeutic efficacy of MMF for the treatment of AAV. However, the therapeutic efficacy of MMF compared with that of CYC in patients with AAV has not been established. Some randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have compared the therapeutic efficacy of MMF with that of CYC in patients with AAV; we systematically reviewed them and performed a meta-analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient and public involvement statement
This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were not invited to comment on the study design and were not consulted to develop patient-relevant outcomes or interpret the results. Patients were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing of this document for readability or accuracy.

Search strategy
We searched the databases at PubMed, Cochrane Library and Google Scholar for RCTs comparing the therapeutic efficacy of MMF with that of CYC in patients with AAV. On Pubmed and Cochrane Library, we used the terms ‘ANCA’ or ‘antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody’ or ‘MPA’ or ‘microscopic polyangiitis’ or ‘GPA’ or ‘granulomatosis with polyangiitis’ or ‘Wegener’s granulomatosis’ and ‘MMF’ or ‘mycophenolate mofetil’ and ‘CYC’ or ‘cyclophosphamide’. On Google Scholar, we used the terms ‘ANCA-associated vasculitis’, ‘mycophenolate mofetil’, ‘cyclophosphamide’, ‘randomised’, ‘–rituximab’ and ‘–TNF’. We imposed no language restrictions, but excluded data available only in abstracts or unpublished studies. The searches were performed three times to identify articles published between 1 January 1990 and 1 September 2019. Final searches were performed on 15 September 2019. We followed the guidelines in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement.

Study selection
We included original articles (excluding reviews), RCTs comparing the therapeutic efficacies between MMF and CYC, titles or abstracts containing keywords used in search engines and patients with AAV diagnosed according to definitions from the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference. We excluded studies that provided no data on the 6-month remission rates. We ensured that studies published by the same author(s) did not have duplicate patients.

Validity and quality assessment
Two authors (KK and TM) independently checked and selected all the references. We assessed the risk of bias according to RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing the risk of bias to randomised trials. We also assessed the symmetry of funnel plots for publication bias.

Data extraction
We extracted data from all the studies in our systematic review, including authors, year of publication, number of patients, age, gender, myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA positivity, proteinase 3 (PR3)-ANCA positivity, serum creatine levels, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS), and organ involvement. Additionally, we extracted data on outcomes of patients with remission (BVAS=0) and ANCA negativity at 6 months, relapses, infections, malignancy, leucopenia, deaths and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) during the observation period to evaluate the efficacy and safety of MMF in AAV.

RESULTS

Study characteristics
We collected a total of 541 articles from 3 websites (153 articles from PubMed, 30 articles from Cochrane Library and 358 articles from Google Scholar). After the removal of duplicates or triplicates, 508 articles were identified. After screening by title and abstract, we excluded 502 more articles because they failed to meet the inclusion criteria. We assessed the full texts of the remaining six articles for eligibility and excluded two articles because one was not an RCT and the other did not include detailed clinical data. Finally, we used four studies for our analysis (figure 1).

We extracted key clinical data and summarised them in table 1. Two studies were conducted in European countries and the others in China. Patients were diagnosed as granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) or microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) according to definitions from the Chapel Hill

The initial condition of the patients in the selected studies was as follows: newly diagnosed disease, relapsing disease and relatively early onset (the mean of disease duration was approximately 6 months). Observational period was 6 months, 18 months and 4 years after initial treatment. Treatment regimens of corticosteroids were the following: described as ‘prednisolone in a tapering regimen’, prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day initially and reduced to 5 mg/day at the end of 6 months after the initial treatment and oral prednisone 0.6–0.8 mg/kg/day followed by initial intravenous methylprednisolone pulse (0.5 g) and gradually tapering. Doses of MMF were 1.0 g/day if BW>70 kg and 2.0 g/day. CYC was introduced by oral intake and intravenous pulse. Remission was defined as BVAS=0 in all the studies. Patients with life-threatening diseases such as alveolar bleeding, rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis and ESRD were excluded in all the selected studies, according to their own exclusion criteria. Therefore, we could not evaluate the efficacy of MMF for patients with life-threatening AAV compared with CYC in this study.

Table 2 shows the baseline (at the beginning of the observation) data of the patients in each study. The age of patients was 49–61 years. The proportion of males was 39%–68%. The positive rate of MPO-ANCA was 11%–100% whereas that of PR3-ANCA was 0%–89%. The levels of C reactive protein (CRP) were 19–36 mg/L. The levels of eGFR were 34–60 mL/min/1.73 m². BVAS values were 15–19. Renal organ involvement was observed in 75%–100% of the patients. Lung organ involvement was observed in 46%–61% of the patients. The ENT involvements were observed in 48%–59% of the patients. We evaluated publication bias using a funnel plot (Supplemental Figure 1), which indicated that all the studies were symmetrically located.
The risks of bias for the 6-month remission rates were low in three studies and somewhat concerning in one study (Supplemental Figure 2).

**Assessment on efficacy of MMF**

The 6-month remission rates were similar between patients treated with MMF and those treated with CYC (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.38, p=0.48) (figure 2A). The 6-month ANCA negativities were also similar between the two groups (RR 1.31, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.90, p=0.15) (figure 2B). Further, the relapse rates during the observation period were similar between the two groups (RR 1.36, 95% CI 0.80 to 2.31, p=0.26) (figure 2C). The heterogeneities for each of the former analyses (as assessed by the I² statistic) were 60% (p=0.06), 0% (p=0.85) and 45% (p=0.18), respectively.

**Assessment of MMF safety**

The mortality rates were similar between the two groups (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.40 to 2.74, p=0.93) (figure 3A). The infection rates during the observation period were similar between the two groups (RR 1.26, 95% CI 0.79 to 2.01, p=0.33) (figure 3B). The rates of leucopenia during the observation period were similar between the two groups (RR 0.45, 95% CI 0.16 to 1.32, p=0.15) (figure 3C). The rates of ESRD at the end of the observation period were similar between the two groups (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.15 to 2.79, p=0.57) (Supplementary Figure 3A). The rates of patients developing malignancies during the observation period were similar between the two groups (RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.27 to 3.98, p=0.96) (Supplementary Figure 3B). The rates of severe infection or death due to infection were similar between the two groups.

**Table 2** Baseline data of each study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients (N)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex (male, %)</th>
<th>MPO-ANCA (%)</th>
<th>PR3-ANCA (%)</th>
<th>Scre (mg/dL)</th>
<th>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</th>
<th>BVAS</th>
<th>Organ involvement (%)</th>
<th>Renal</th>
<th>Lung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuin J et al²⁸</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>1.2²</td>
<td>57.5/60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MMF/CYC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones RB et al²⁷</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>60*/61*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38.6*</td>
<td>59.1*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19/18</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MMF/CYC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han F et al²⁸</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MMF/CYC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu W et al²⁹</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are listed as means, except*, which are listed as medians.

ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; BVAS, Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score; CYC, cyclophosphamide; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NA, not available; PR3, proteinase 3; Scre, serum creatine.

Figure 2 Forest plot of relative risk about the following outcomes. Remission rate at 6 months (A), antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody negativity at 6 months (B) and relapse rate (C).
The heterogeneities for each of the above analyses according to the I² statistic were all 0% (p=0.85, p=0.77, p=0.75, p=0.52, p=0.98, and p=0.61, respectively).

Intrastudy heterogeneity assessment

Our meta-regression analysis indicated a positive correlation between the RRs of 6-month remission rates and MPO-ANCA positivity (p=0.026) (figure 4).

DISCUSSION

We found similar 6-month remission rates for patients treated with MMF and those treated with CYC. However, the heterogeneities among the studies were relatively large (I²=60%). The background patient variables (age, gender, BVAS and organ manifestations) were similar between the study groups. On the other hand, the ANCA positivity rates were heterogeneous among studies (table 2). These results are not surprising, because the ANCA profiles in patients with AAV differ between those in Western and Asian countries (PR3-ANCA positivity is more common in Western countries and MPO-ANCA positivity in Asian ones). Two of the studies analysed here were conducted in European countries and the others in China. Patients with PR3-ANCA-positive AAV are more likely to relapse than patients with MPO-ANCA-positive AAV. Therefore, we speculate that the treatment efficacy of MMF in patients with AAV may depend on their ANCA subtype. Our meta-regression analysis indicated a positive correlation between the RRs of 6-month remission rates and MPO-ANCA positivity (figure 4). This suggests that patients with MPO-ANCA-positive AAV are more likely to respond well to MMF than patients with PR3-ANCA-positive AAV.

The 6-month ANCA negativity, which has been reported as a predictor of remission, and the relapse rates after several months were similar between the groups treated with MMF and those treated with CYC. However, in a report by Jones et al, the relapse rate was
significantly higher in the MMF treatment group than in the CYC group.\textsuperscript{27} We speculate that both factors of patients and treatment drug may be related to this result. Regarding the factor of patients, PR3-ANCA-positive AAV was dominant (59.1\%) in their cohort. The result of meta-regression analysis in our study suggests that patients with PR3-ANCA-positive AAV are less likely to respond to treatment with MMF compared with CYC. Regarding the factor of treatment drug, CYC is an alkylating drug that cross-links DNA strands to inhibit cell division and cause cell death, whereas MMF is an antimetabolite drug that inhibits the synthesis of guanine nucleotide and suppresses the proliferation of lymphocytes. These differences of pharmacokinetics may lead to less pronounced immunosuppressive effect by MMF compared with CYC. From the above, we should not use MMF to GPA or patients with PR-3 ANCA-positive AAV enthusiastically.

The death rates in patients with AAV were similar between the treatment groups. Infections were the dominant causes of death in this study; 5 out of 7 patients (71\%) in the treatment group with MMF and 3 out of 8 (38\%) in the treatment group with CYC died of infections. Likewise, infections have been reported as major causes of death (50\%) in patients with AAV.\textsuperscript{34} The rates of overall and severe infections were similar between the treatment groups. This tendency was also observed in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) treated with either MMF or CYC.\textsuperscript{12} The rates of leucopenia were similar between the treatment groups. Both neutropenia and lymphopenia are known risk factors for infection.\textsuperscript{34} Therefore, the leucopenia caused by MMF may influence the risk of infection in patients with AAV. On the basis of these results, patients with AAV treated with MMF or CYC should be monitored for infections. However, our results do not lead to the conclusion that the side effects of MMF and CYC are equivalent in every respect, because treatment with CYC has some major problems such as carcinogenesis. In our results, the risks of malignancy were similar between the treatment groups (Supplemental figure 3B). CYC treatment increases the rates of bladder cancer, leukæmia, lymphoma and skin malignancies in a dose/duration-dependent manner, and MMF treatment produces a lower risk of malignancy than other immunosuppressants.\textsuperscript{35} The risk of carcinogenesis in patients with AAV treated with MMF should be evaluated during long observation periods and large cohorts in the future.

Although amenorrhea and infertility are important outcomes, especially for women of childbearing age, we were not able to assess them in our meta-analysis. CYC treatment has been reported to diminish the ovarian reserve in women with GPA.\textsuperscript{36} Another meta-analysis showed that the use of MMF is related to a significant reduction in the risk of amenorrhea compared with the use of CYC in patients with lupus nephritis.\textsuperscript{12} Serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels, which are sensitive ovarian damage markers, were not decreased in patients with lupus nephritis treated with MMF compared with those in the control population.\textsuperscript{37} Although AAV is less likely to occur in young women than SLE, permanent infertility should be a cause for concern when considering CYC treatment for young women with AAV. In such cases, MMF may be an attractive remission-inducing drug alternative.

For remission induction therapy in life-threatening AAV, we should initially use RTX as a complementary drug to CYC because RTX has been shown to have efficacy equivalent to CYC in severe AAV.\textsuperscript{4,5} Conversely, in case of non-life-threatening AAV, there is concern about serious infection caused by treatment with RTX, considering the relatively high rate of severe infectious events in a previous study.\textsuperscript{8} Therefore, MMF may be considered as a complementary drug to CYC for patients with non-life-threatening AAV, before the introduction of RTX.

Our study has the following limitations: (i) publication bias may have influenced our results because of the small number of studies and patients in our analysis; (ii) the assessments on MMF long-term efficacy and safety were insufficient because of the short observation periods and (iii) we did not elucidate the efficacy and safety of MMF for patients with life-threatening diseases such as alveolar bleeding because they were excluded in all the studies we synthesised. In addition, MMF doses of the treatment protocols differed among studies (table 1). The dose of MMF taken orally does not directly reflect the blood concentration of mycophenolic acid, because its pharmacokinetics are complicated by factors such as renal function, serum albumin concentration, drug interactions and genotype of the enzyme that metabolises it to an inactive compound.\textsuperscript{38} However, high blood concentrations of mycophenolic acid have been associated with stable disease in patients with AAV treated with MMF.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, we cannot entirely rule out the possibility that our outcomes were influenced by the heterogeneity of blood concentrations of mycophenolic acid. In the study by Tuin et al,\textsuperscript{20} CYC was taken orally, whereas it was administered intravenously in the other studies.\textsuperscript{27-29} We believe this difference should not influence the result of the 6-month remission rate, because the CYCLOPS Study showed that the remission rates of oral CYC and intravenous CYC were similar.\textsuperscript{3}

In conclusion, we found no differences between the therapeutic efficacies of MMF and CYC on patients with AAV. MMF is recommended for patients with certain characteristics (MPO-ANCA-positive AAV, non-life-threatening state and valid reasons to avoid using the conventional drug). MMF may be an alternative remission induction drug for non-life-threatening AAV.
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