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The arthritis connection to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD): why has it taken so long to understand it?
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ABSTRACT

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) associated arthritis is a subgroup of spondyloarthritis (SpA) that has suffered from lack of recognition in rheumatology clinical and research circles for over 100 years. Although clinically distinguishable from rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis, it took advances in detection systems in the middle of the last century (rheumatoid factor, HLA-B27) to convincingly make the final separations. We now know that significant numbers of patients with SpA have associated clinical IBD and almost half of them show subclinical gut inflammation, yet the connection between the gut and the musculoskeletal system has remained a vexing problem. Two publications from Nathan Zvaifler (one in 1960, the other in 1975) presciently described the relationship between the gut and the spine/ peripheral joints heralding much of the work present today in laboratories around the world trying to examine basic mechanisms for the connections (there are likely to be many) between the gut, the environment (presumably our intestinal flora) and the downstream effect on the musculoskeletal system. The role of dysregulated microbiome along with microbiome-driven T helper 17 cell expansion and immune cell migration to the joints has been recognised, all of which occur in the appropriate context of genetic background inside and outside of the human leucocyte antigen system. Moreover, different adhesion molecules that mediate immune cells homing to the gut and joints have been noted. In this review, we studied the origins and evolution of IBD-arthritis, proposed pathogenic mechanisms and the current gaps that need to be filled for a complete understanding of IBD-arthritis.

INTRODUCTION

The initial description of a potential cause and effect relationship between arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) likely goes back to well over 100 years ago in 1895 where William H White wrote, ‘among twenty-three cases of ulcerative colitis... two had urate of soda in their joints’.1 Almost 30 years later and into the next century, in 1922, Rea Smith, a surgeon based in Los Angeles, noted alleviation of swelling, pain and joint immobility in multiple patients with chronic arthritis on the conclusion of various bowel operations.

Although he made mention of ‘ileocaecal coil’ changes on some of his patient’s X-rays, it is difficult to tell if his patients had true IBD or another intestinal affliction; the full characterisation of the various features of IBD phenotypes were not generally appreciated throughout the USA at that time. He then stated, quite prophetically, that ‘no study of a case of arthritis is complete without a careful investigation of the gastro-intestinal tract’.2

A decade later at the Mayo Clinic, where quite a few patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) had assembled for patient care, Arnold Bargen in 1929 and Philip Hench in 1935, in separate publications, drew the attention to peripheral arthritis manifestations in their patients with UC.3,4 They had observed and studied 1500 patients with chronic UC; 60 had
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arthritis which they felt was the most common complication of the colitis except for polyposis. They went further in their review and expanded the descriptions which became the beginning of an appreciation of the heterogeneous nature of arthritis in IBD. Bargen and Hench described four types of arthritis: (1) Arthritis preceding UC for a fairly long time, resembling the atrophic variety (‘atrophic arthritis’ was the prior description of rheumatoid arthritis (RA)), and considered unrelated to colitis, (2) Atrophic arthritis and colitis occurring at the same time but progressing independently, (3) Arthritis resembling atrophic arthritis that occurs during colitis remission and not during exacerbation of the bowel disease, and (4) The more common type, a subacute arthritis that flares with colitis exacerbations and experiences relief with colitis remission; all of this latter type showing a striking conformity with remissions and exacerbations of both occurring simultaneously. They considered the first three types as the unrelated coincident associations between RA and IBD, and these investigators concluded the fourth and more common type was a specific complication of the colitis itself rather than a coincident association of another disease.

The rheumatism reviews published in 1936 commented that the foregoing data from Bargen and Hench were admittedly incomplete to establish a new condition, referring to a specific colitis-arthritis entity. However, the review authors did comment about certain points that did distinguish this entity from garden variety atrophic arthritis (which was clearly progressive and relentless) and these included facts that the clinical relationships among the appearance, activity and recovery from the colitis and arthritis were similar, and most importantly, there was a greater tendency to periodicity as well as to complete remissions in the arthritis compared with what is typically seen in usual atrophic (rheumatoid) arthritis. The rheumatism reviews’ authors clearly were wrestling with this newly recognised association between the gut and the joints. They were sceptical of those colleagues who were ‘glibly incriminating more or less symptomless intestines as the cause of atrophic arthritis’. They stated that “Those who blame the bowels may find some comfort in the evidence which shows that arthritis and intestinal diseases can be causally related by the hematicogenous route, but the rarity of a proved relationship should give one pause”. The authors of the reviews stated quite specifically (and possibly presciently in the editorial comments section of the reviews) that there is a need for complete open-mindedness on this difficult problem of a symptomless gastrointestinal infection playing a role either as a primary or an underlying predisposing cause of arthritis.

In retrospect, it is highly likely that the type of arthritis of large joints that occurs during colitis remission and not during exacerbation (Hench and Bargen type 3) reflects the now known association between colitis and the peripheral arthritis of ankylosing spondylitis (AS). This issue is examined in detail below; however, since AS was not recognised by Hench and Bauer (and particularly, by Bauer) as a separate entity from RA, it is very likely that it was assumed to be just another part of RA that, on occasion, was called rheumatoid spondylitis.

There is a distinct literature gap from the late 1930s until the 1950s for arthritis-IBD associations. Why did this happen? We are unable to find IBD-arthritis descriptions in the literature for the next decade or during the World War II postwar years; neither was a connection mentioned or referenced in the standard nomenclature of diseases approved by the American Rheumatism Association of 1952. For example, the first two editions in 1950 and in 1954 of the textbook Harrison’s Principles of Internal Medicine did not contain text, reference or discussion of IBD-related arthritis. Investigators who observed and commented on this information gap later in the century opined that the gap could be in part due to the attribution of these disease manifestations to be simply the coincidence of an association with RA.

However, an alternate view of this literature gap is possibly related to a distraction caused by the description of a newly recognised arthritis syndrome potentially triggered by an exogenous infectious agent. The tenth rheumatism review published in 1953 describes a recently characterised condition called Reiter’s Syndrome where the statement is made that ‘Numerous reports on this syndrome had appeared’ referring to the American and English literature reports from the preceding years. The very first actual description of Reiter’s syndrome was made by the English physician Benjamin Brodie over a century earlier in 1818 where he observed a 45-year-old man with arthritis, urethritis and conjunctivitis. In 1916, Reiter in Germany and Fiesinger-Leroy in France described postdysenteric cases of urethritis-arthritis-conjunctivitis combinations occurring in soldiers living in close quarters during World War I. The initial characterisation of the syndrome in USA was performed by Walter Bauer and Ephraim Engleman in 1942, describing the Reiter’s triad in a 23-year-old man with no history of preceding diarrhoea or venereal disease; these investigators acknowledged ‘until the aetiology is established, this symptom complex should be referred to as a syndrome rather than a disease’.

More comprehensive descriptions of this form of acute and subsequent chronic arthritis related to an infectious agent did occur during the intervening World War II years primarily from descriptions by Paronen et al in Finland where prevalent close-quarters living conditions promoted the spread of bacillary dysentery across populations of civilians. American publications describing this condition also could have contributed to distracting investigators from further studies characterising IBD-arthritis, although it was prophetically stated in the tenth rheumatism review that postdysenteric arthritis can be clinically indistinguishable from Reiter’s syndrome. Further, it is clearly mentioned in this 1953 rheumatism review that a possible relationship to bacillary dysentery
should be considered under the discussion of the section called Aetiology of Reiter’s Syndrome.

Bringing the gut-arthritis connection to the forefront of critical thinking was a result of an unintentional experiment of nature, again related to the war effort. A shipboard epidemic of bacillary dysentery took place immediately after an American naval vessel left port from somewhere in Europe in 1962, where Dr Rolf Noer carefully documented the clinical sequelae of this epidemic from the beginning to its conclusion. He found 9 cases of Reiter’s syndrome out of 602 cases of bacillary dysentery, findings which could not be explained by chance. He then stated, “It appeared that our cases of Reiter’s disease were sequelae of bacillary dysentery”. Later and well after the genetic association between HLA-B27 and spondyloarthritis (SpA) was discovered, Calin and Fries were able to locate, examine, and clinically and genetically characterise five of the original nine Noer cases; they discovered an 80% positivity rate for HLA-B27 in these former navy enlisted men, all of whom had subsequently experienced severe, progressive destructive SpA. Calin and Fries concluded that B27 had an effect on both the incidence and severity of postdysenteric Reiter’s syndrome.15

Ahvonen et al in 1969 studied various arthritis manifestations associated with *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection and wrote, ‘transient non-purulent (reactive) arthritis with acute onset has been occasionally reported in association with bacterial infections such as those caused by *Brucella*, *Shigella*, and *Salmonella typhimurium*. They introduced the term ‘reactive arthritis’ for the first time in rheumatology literature. A clear and convincing separation between RA and what appeared to be an infectious agent (either from the gut or the genitourinary tract) triggering an acute and then a chronic disease most assuredly required the widespread recognition of the clinical and research importance of what later turned out to be rheumatoid factor.20 17 18 The discovery and characterisation of the antibody nature of rheumatoid factor (and its absence in the patients with IBD-arthritis) is likely to be a major reason for the subsequent rejuvenated and focused interest in IBD-arthritis as a separate and distinguishable entity.

When was RA finally separated from the arthritis of IBD?

Luis Fernandez-Herlihy, an influential practitioner from the Lahey Clinic in Boston, summarised in 1959 his opinion describing (from a database of over 500 cases) the range of articular manifestations in patients with UC. He employed the following five categories: rheumatoid spondylitis, RA, erythema nodosum, arthralgias and acute toxic arthritis. Among these, acute toxic arthritis had the closest relationship with colitis; he noted that this category became clinically evident only with the exacerbation of colitis and relieved on colitis remission without any residual deformity. He then postulated ‘it is possible that acute toxic arthritis constitutes a milder, earlier, or perhaps an atypical form of Rheumatoid Arthritis’.21

However, clarity from Verna Wright and Geoffrey Watkinson whose observations were published in the same year as Fernandez-Herlihy nevertheless made a point of distinguishing ‘colitic arthritis’ from RA; this was based on the negative results from the (newly recognised) agglutination test for RA, and the recognition of asymmetrical joint involvement, lack of joint deformity and the absence of rheumatoid nodules in those with colitic arthritis.22 At around the same time, rheumatologists along with gastroenterologists began emphasising the presence of axial involvement in patients with IBD. Steinberg and Storey in 1957 made what appears to be the first association between AS and Crohn’s disease. Eric Bywaters and Barbara Ansell in the following year (1958) reported a case series of 37 patients with UC and arthritis, 6 of whom had sacroiliitis. They distinguished these patients from typical AS without colitis, from reactive arthritis (known at the time as Reiter’s syndrome), and from RA in three ways based on more female patients in the colitis group, absence of urethritis, and negative agglutination tests, respectively. The first US scholarly and scientifically controlled observation revealing a relationship between UC and AS was performed by Zvaifler and Martel in 1960; 100 cases of UC were chosen at random from a coded Roentgen database for UC. These investigators observed a 6% prevalence of AS when these imaging studies were reread. They stated that the concurrence of spondylitis with UC was too frequent to be explained by chance.

How was IBD-associated arthritis eventually separated (or not) from AS?

The debate on the relationships among IBD-associated arthritis, RA and AS continued into the 1960s. While some believed that sacroiliitis seen in patients with IBD is simply the coincident association of the AS with IBD, others concluded that this is a specific arthritis similar to, yet distinct from, AS.25 26 McEwen et al in 1962 studied 87 patients with IBD with arthritis manifestations and hypothesised four types of relationships among IBD-arthritis, RA and AS: (1) If RA and AS are the same diseases, then spondylitis and peripheral arthritis are the RA occurring in patients with UC, (2) If RA and AS are distinct diseases, then peripheral arthritis is RA and spondylitis is AS, (3) The peripheral arthritis in UC is distinct from RA, but the spondylitis is AS, and (4) Both peripheral arthritis and spondylitis are specific to UC, distinct from RA and AS. These statements made by McEwen in his influential paper, in retrospect, do not particularly shed much light on the subject, and are confusing at best. Finally, Wright and Moll in their critical and influential paper of 1974 concluded: ‘the features of the sacroiliitis accompanying psoriasis, ulcerative colitis, and Crohn’s disease are, in our view, also indistinguishable from those seen in uncomplicated ankylosing spondylitis’. They further suggested quite a strong association to occur among all of the conditions known as SpA today. It was the landmark discovery of the genetic association between HLA-B27 and AS, published in 1973, that provided
evidence for a unifying concept of SpA. This important clinical observation as well as the long-recognised occurrence of patients with SpA and patients with IBD in the same family brought major attention towards the genetic component as a unifying concept. Brewerton stated in 1974 that ‘6% of patients with UC have AS. The reason for this association is uncertain. Genetic factors in both diseases may be related. HLA antigen now adds a new dimension to this argument, carrying the high frequency of HLA-B27 into the common ground between the two diseases’.

What theories are proposed to explain the pathogenesis of IBD-associated arthritis?

Multiple theories have been promulgated to account for the underlying pathogenesis of IBD-arthritis. Ernest Fletcher in 1951 noted the long hospitalisation periods taking place in patients with UC and hypothesised the probable cause of arthritis as follows: ‘it is uncertain whether this complication is due to the long confinement to bed or the main disease’. Steinberg and Storey proposed the spread of a toxic agent through the intestinal wall to the pelvic veins and then subsequently to the vertebral veins. These veins, called Batson’s plexus, were initially suggested as having the capacity to permit the spread of metastasis from pelvic tumours, particularly the prostate, through the veins to the spine. A similar and parallel explanation for the development of spinal disease to result from infections in the various organs from the pelvis was described by Romanus studying the genitourinary tract association with spondylitis and later put forth as a possible explanation for the spread of an infection in the colon to the spine. The latter theory was questioned by Zvaifler and Martel in 1960 when they observed that the spondylitis antedated the colitis by many years in a number of their patients.

Why is 1975 a landmark year for our understanding of IBD-associated arthritis?

In an extraordinarily prescient paper published in 1975, Zvaifler reviewed theories of IBD-arthritis pathogenesis in detail based on the then existing modern views of immune-mediated events in rheumatic diseases. He summarised its pathogenesis as likely existing within the following possibilities:

1. If UC was caused by an exogenous agent, such as a microbe or virus, then the arthritis would result from dissemination of an infectious agent to the joint. This would occur whether as a primary event with involvement of both intestine and joints at the same time, or the articular tissues could be invaded by an organism which had gained access to the body through inflamed gut mucosa. However, Zvaifler was concerned that the episodic non-destructive nature of the joint disease in colitis would be unusual for a chronic infection. He notes, however, that in animal models of infectious agent arthritis the process can be chronic and progressively destructive long after the organism can be isolated from the joint.

2. Another attractive hypothesis is that both the bowel and articular disease are mediated by a ‘hypersensitivity’ mechanism. In this case, both the bowel and joint diseases are caused by cellular or immune mechanisms elaborated by a common antigen shared by both the bowel and the joint. This common antigen could be altered in some way, either by the invading organism itself or an as yet unknown mechanism involving the loss of self-tolerance. Zvaifler likens this mechanism to what had been proposed by others for the explanation of why cardiac and articular inflammation occurs in patients with rheumatic fever.

3. Zvaifler’s third explanation involves the joint as an ‘innocent bystander’ that is injured by the deposition of circulating immune complexes such as been postulated for the arthritis of SLE or the joint disease that accompanies infectious hepatitis B-related arthritis. However, he felt that this explanation was unlikely because other signs of circulating immune-complex deposition were absent (ie, depressed complement products, immunofluorescent markers in tissues, etc). He states that our understanding of the arthritis of IBD awaits a better understanding of the pathogenesis of IBD itself.

Is there new evidence providing a greater understanding of the cause of IBD-related arthritis beyond any one of Zvaifler’s proposed three mechanisms from 1975?

Is the intestinal mucosa the entry point for the environmental trigger of the arthritis in IBD?

Recent investigations have shown that microbial alteration or dysbiosis has been noted in patients with SpA. Despite a number of studies describing changes in the relative abundance of multiple bacterial taxa in patients with SpA relative to controls, a singular taxon does not emerge as linking to SpA. It is important to note that the role of gut microbiota in SpA pathogenesis was earlier established in animal models going back almost 30 years. HLA-B27/human β2m transgenic rats spontaneously develop intestinal inflammation and arthritis which is clinically comparable to HLA-B27-associated disorders in humans. In 1994 Taurog et al studied 37 HLA-B27 transgenic rats under germ-free (GF) conditions for 23–60 weeks. None of these rats developed intestinal or joint inflammation. They concluded that gut microbial flora plays an important role in the gut and subsequently triggers joint inflammation. Capitalising on these earlier observations, scientists using sophisticated immunological tools also studied the relationship between gut flora, host immunogenotype and SpA development.

Multiple studies in HLA-B27 transgenic rats and humans have shown the direct impact of HLA-B27 on gut dysbiosis. In individuals without AS, those with HLA-B27 shared dysbiotic features with what has been reported for AS and unlike HLA-B27-negative individuals, suggesting that the presence of HLA-B27 could drive...
gut dysbiosis relevant to disease. As a demonstration of the linkage between HLA-B27-related dysbiosis and pathology, HLA-B27 transgenic rat strains within varying environments demonstrated different gut microbiota; however, two of the strains developed intestinal inflammation and T helper cells (Th17)-related immunity that correlated with specific microbiota. This implies that the HLA-B27 effect on dysbiosis is highly dependent on the host genetic background and/or environment pointing to the ‘ecological model dysbiosis’.42

Ranjeny Thomas et al demonstrated an interleukin (IL) 23-dependent SpA phenotype in ZAP-70 mutant (SKG) mice after administration of curdlan (a purified beta-1,3-glucan which triggers inflammation).43 Following this observation in additional studies, they investigated the SpA development in SKG mice under GF or specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions. These investigators realised that unlike arthritis, ileitis development was dependent on the microbiota; ileitis occurred in SPF SKG mice while it was absent in GF SKG mice. Arthritis occurred in both GF and SPF SKG mice, while the severity was more pronounced in SPF SKG mice.44

Finally, Schaubek et al studied the impact of bacterial communities in ileitis development in TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> mice. TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> mice carry a deletion in the tumour necrosis factor adenosine-uracil elements resulting in TNF mRNA stabilisation and TNF-driven spontaneous ileitis and arthritis development. GF TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> mice were protected from ileitis and develop intestinal inflammation only when colonised with caecal bacterial communities from inflamed SPF TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> mice. These GF TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> did not develop ileitis on colonisation with the Crohn’s disease pathobiont Escherichia coli LF82 pointing to the community effect of microbes and dysbiosis in pathology rather than specific single pathobionts.45 More recent work in TNF<sup>ΔARE/+</sup> mice revealed that both ileitis and arthritis were dependent on gut microbiota since mice treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics had significantly less inflammation in these areas.46 However, in humans, the relationship between gut microbes and the development of arthritis, whether causal or epiphenomenal, remains less clear and will require much more investigation to establish mechanistic connection(s).

Is there a pathway for a primary immune-related mechanism for bowel disease to trigger arthritis in genetically susceptible individuals?

The exact mechanism of how the microbiome leads to SpA pathology either in the axial or peripheral skeleton, is yet to be elucidated. Many mechanisms by which intestinal microbiota can influence the development of arthritis have been proposed and are reviewed elsewhere.47 An overall theme relevant to IBD and SpA relies on strong evidence for commensal bacteria driving the local accumulation of Th17.48 49 Transfer of adoptive CD4+ T cells into SPF or GF RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice results in an accumulation of Th17 cells in colonic lamina propria and colitis development in SPF mice but not in GF mice,50 thus demonstrating the important connection between microbiota, Th17 cells and IBD. In relation to SpA, Th17 cells are found in peripheral blood and synovial fluid of patients with SpA and positively correlate with joint and systemic inflammation markers.51 IL-23 leads to the production of IL-17, IL-22 and to a lesser extent of TNF by Th17 cells.52 IL-17 has proinflammatory effects on different cell types including fibroblasts, osteoblasts, macrophages and epithelial cells, as well as recruiting and activating neutrophils.53 It is therefore postulated that the IL-23/17 axis plays an important role in the pathogenesis of both SpA and IBD.54 Other potential IL-17 producing cells include γδT cells, innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) and mucosa-associated invariant T cells (MAITs).55 These cells with characteristics of innate immune cells are found at mucosal tissues and can show a regulatory/immunoprotective or proinflammatory role by secreting IL-17 in response to different microbes.56

The role for unconventional T cells in the SpA pathogenesis initially was demonstrated in a murine model by Sherlock et al. They found that a subset of enteropathy resident T cells (most likely γδT cells) can induce SpA in mice independent of Th17 on induced IL-23 overexpression (using minicircle DNA technology).57 58 Additional studies have shown the expansion of ILC3s, γδT cells and MAIT cells within the intestinal tissue, circulation and/or synovial fluid of patients with SpA.58-60

IL-17 also plays an important role in salmonella-induced reactive arthritis. In an animal model of salmonella enterocolitis, the joint lesion appears early in the course of the disease and the IL-17 expression of the gut and mesenteric lymph nodes resemble that of poolelial and inguinal lymph nodes.61 γδT cells contribute to IL-17 production in early salmonella enteritis.62 In a salmonella-infected mouse model study, inhibiting γδT cells significantly reduced the IL-17 expression in mesenteric lymph nodes and abrogated the inflammatory response in the gut and joints, thus further suggesting the important role for γδT cells in salmonella-induced reactive arthritis.63

The role that HLA-B27 plays in the molecular pathogenesis of SpA is most certainly in need of clarification if we are to understand the relationship between the gut and the joint. Clinical observations have shown that a variable but high range (80%-95%) of patients with AS are HLA-B27-positive; nevertheless a much wider and more variable range (25%-78%) of patients with AS associated with IBD are HLA-B27-positive.64-66 likely reflecting different sources of patient collections. Multiple theories have been proposed on how HLA-B27 might contribute to the SpA. Misfolding theory links HLA-B27 to the IL-23/17 axis. The misfolding theory for the role of HLA-B27 consists of the primary observation that the HLA-B27 heavy chain folding process is slow, leading to misfolding with the misfolding chains accumulating in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), leading to ER stress and unfolded protein activation (UPR). Further, activation of the UPR response leads to increased IL-23 production with subsequent Th17 expansion in response to pattern
recognition receptors agonists (LPS). 67 68 The molecular mimicry theory involves a possible homology between an HLA-B27 sequence and an antigen derived from a virus or enterobacteria69 while another theory suggests that macrophages expressing HLA-B27 will present specific bacterial antigens that activate CD4+ T cells causing them to migrate to the joint. 70

IBD and AS also share other genetic factors residing outside the MHC region. Single nucleotide polymorphisms within the IL-23 receptor locus which encodes a subunit of the receptor for IL-23, thus could play an important role in the pathogenesis of both diseases. 71 72 Therefore, whether the process of microbiome development of mucosal immunity or HLA-B27 itself, the primary connection between the gut and the joint seems to lie along the Th17 pathway.

What is known or proposed for the aetio-pathogenesis of IBD that permits arthritis to occur in these patients?

There is an increased risk for clinical IBD in patients with axSpA (estimated to be around 10%) and nearly half of patients with SpA have subclinical gut inflammation depending on the detection system employed. 73 74 Intestinal barrier disruption in response to microbial dysbiosis can be associated with intestinal immune cell infiltration and later migration of these cells to the joints. A recent study in mice with collagen-induced arthritis demonstrated that treatments inhibiting intestinal barrier disruption can attenuate the arthritis symptoms in these mice and further link the gut to the joint. 70 Homing of mucosal leucocytes to joints may play an important role in SpA pathogenesis. Salmi et al observed the binding of normal gut immune cells to synovial tissue for the first time in 1995. 75 In their subsequent studies, they concluded that gut-derived leucocytes display a variety of adhesion molecules in patients with IBD as opposed to what has been observed in normal healthy individuals. They also observed greater adhesion tendency directed to the synovial membrane for gut-derived leucocytes when compared with peripheral blood leucocytes in patients with IBD, pointing to the selectivity of synovial tissues for gut-originating cells. 76

Tissue-specific homing is regulated by particular adhesion molecules and chemokine receptors; for the gut-associated lymphoid tissue, dendritic cells induce expression of α4β7 and CCR9 on T cells resulting in gut tropism and localisation in the small intestine. 76 T cells activated in secondary lymphoid organs home to the gut mucosa through the interaction between α4β7 on T cells and MadCAM1 on endothelial cells as well as CCR9 (T cell)/CCL25 (intestinal endothelial cells). 77 78 Synovial tissues completely lack MadCAM-1, but the homing of gut-derived leucocytes can occur through other molecules. Memory-like T lymphocytes isolated from IBD gut use VAP-1, CD44, interaction between CD18 and ICAM-1, and α4 and VCAM-1 for synovial adhesion while immunoblasts from IBD gut mainly use VAP-1 for binding to synovial vessels. 77

In addition to lymphocytes, macrophages also avidly bind to synovial vessels. This adhesion occurs mainly through interactions with P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1/P-selectins. 77 Macrophages play an important role in carrying mucosal antigens to synovium, triggering inflammation via gut-originated T cells. In a study of two patients with reactive arthritis, clonal T cell reactivity to Yersinia was detected in synovial fluid. This reactivity was dependent on the presence of monocytes as antigen-presenting cells and HLA-DR. 81 Macrophages expressing CD163, a member of scavenger receptor cysteine-rich superfamily producing proinflammatory cytokines, are expanded in the colonic mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease as well as in patients with SpA. These CD163 macrophages express high levels of HLA-DR. CD163 macrophages are also increased in the synovium of patients with SpA, further linking the gut to the joints. 82 83

The aforementioned studies suggest gut-derived immune cells traffic between the two sites, but studies definitively showing this mechanism to take place consistently in human disease have not been done. However, a recent study in TNFαARE/−/ mice 84 demonstrated an expansion of lymphocytes in the colon prior to the onset of colitis that normalise by the time they are expanded in inflamed joints. Additionally, using photolabelling, the authors establish mobilisation of T cells from gut to the joint. 86 Such work verifies a direct connection between the gut and the joint, although studies addressing targeted mechanisms will need to amplify how specific gut microbiota and immunity tie to joint pathology.

What are the challenges, proposed by Zvaifler, to fully understand the mechanisms as to why IBD occurs in the first place?

In spite of significant progress over the past 25 years linking the gut to the joint, do we really know how immunity at the gut level affects the joint? Although bacterial products and immune cells with intestinal phenotypes can be identified in synovial fluid, the specific processes for translocation are not yet described. Are the bacterial products in the joint random or specific? We know from studies in bacteria-induced epidemics of reactive arthritis in humans that some bacterial products do remain in the joints once the intestinal infection has resolved, but it is difficult to understand the significance of this finding when we are talking about diseases with ill-defined origins and subsequent lifelong time periods of disease activity taking place with progressive damage along the way. What additional factors in the host or in the environment stimulate immune cells to traffic? How do the bacterial products or enterally derived cells directly contribute to joint pathology? Additional research avenues can then interrogate such concepts of bacterial sampling and influences on mucosal immune responses as well as how host genetics like HLA-B27 and IL-23R polymorphisms affect such processes.

Genome-wide association studies have nicely revealed shared genetic risk loci between populations of IBD and...
AS such as the IL-23R among several other helping tie these two diseases together with a Th17-pathway pathophysiology. As mentioned previously, microbiome studies have begun to associate microbial dysbiosis in both IBD and AS with impairment of the gut barrier and immunopathology, usually Th17-related. Combining microbiome studies with metabolomics, altered tryptophan metabolism is observed in SpA, but not IBD, suggesting pathways where the two diseases diverge. Single-cell RNA sequencing and time-of-flight cytometry (CyTOF) can suggest additional pathogenic mechanisms for disease. For example, using CyTOF, Graezy et al identified an expansion of CD8+ T cells with gut-associated cell surface antigens integrin β7 and CD103 in the synovial fluid of patients with AS which provides additional evidence of the gut-joint axis. However, by single-cell RNA sequencing of peripheral blood mononuclear cells Lefferts et al were able to identify a unique immunopathology of CD4+ cytotoxicity and interferon response in patients with both IBD and axial SpA, distinctive from either IBD or axial SpA alone. Thus, advances in such ‘omics’ technologies will continue to provide interesting and important connections between intestinal immune cells and disease (reviewed by Berlinberg and Kuhn) and have the capacity to, and largely will, greatly accelerate these studies.

A significant need in this regard, and what poses an important limitation to our knowledge, is the challenge of obtaining direct access to relevant tissues such as the intestine and the enthesis structures. Use of newer in vitro systems like organ-on-a-chip and intestinal organoids derived from human subjects has the potential to allow mechanistic studies including microbe-host interactions without having to use murine models.

Finally, we conclude that attempts to move the field forward will also require a more rigorous and standardised approach to gathering patient populations with sound epidemiological tools. This will create a much-needed research collaboration between well-characterised clinical phenotypes and simultaneous molecular discoveries. Current efforts in the literature attempting to characterise IBD and AS populations are replete with inadequate phenotyping of highly heterogeneous groups of patients often from clinic-based cohorts containing small numbers of patients. Molecular mechanism discoveries must be linked and exposed to adequate and well-designed clinical studies; we await both. Nathan Zvaifler made a promise to us in 1975 that the pathogenesis of IBD must be understood before we can tackle the arthritis. Collaboration with our colleagues in the world of IBD would be a good start.
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