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ABSTRACT

The novel technique of fluorescence optical imaging (FOI, Xiralite), which is approved in the European Union and the USA for clinical use, has been the object of studies since 2009. Indocyanine green-based FOI can demonstrate an impaired microcirculation caused by inflammation in both hands in one examination. Several studies have investigated FOI for detection of joint inflammation by comparing FOI to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and/or musculoskeletal ultrasound (MSUS). The results have shown a generally good agreement (>80%) between FOI and clinical examination, MRI and MSUS by power Doppler in inflammatory joint diseases. Moreover, characteristic enhancements in skin and nails are seen in PsA, which potentially can be useful in the diagnostic process of early undifferentiated arthritis. Furthermore, FOI has been investigated for the visualisation of a disturbed microcirculation in the hands and fingers of patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc), highlighting the potential of monitoring vascular changes in SSc and other vasculopathies. The available data indicate that it is time to consider FOI as a useful part of the imaging repertoire in rheumatology clinical practice, particularly where MSUS and MRI are not easily available.

INTRODUCTION

With new therapeutic options for treating rheumatic inflammatory joint diseases to target, early diagnostic procedures have become increasingly important. In modern rheumatology, the process of establishing an early diagnosis may be greatly helped by imaging procedures. Thus, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and musculoskeletal ultrasound (MSUS) with their advantages such as valid detection of inflammation with high sensitivity and good interreader reliability and disadvantages such as costs, contraindications and dependence on the examiner are already established procedures. In recent years, novel imaging techniques have also been developed including the new technique of fluorescence optical imaging (FOI, Xiralite) that has been the object of studies since 2009, and is approved in the European Union and the USA for clinical use.

HOW DOES FOI WORK?

The basis of FOI in rheumatology is the visualisation of an impaired microcirculation in the joints of both hands, which is caused by an inflammatory process due to vasodilatation, hypervascularisation, increased capillary permeability and neoangiogenesis. Following intravenous application of indocyanine green (ICG) as fluorescence-optical dye, disturbed microcirculation in the hands is visualised via a connected software. The principle of ICG usage was extensively investigated by Cherick et al.1 Today, it is used in ophthalmology, cardiology and gastroenterology and was there demonstrated to be a well-known and well-tolerated dye. A disadvantage of FOI is the invasiveness of the examination because of the need of an intravenous access. In addition, kidney and liver function should usually be within normal limits and hyperthyroidism must be excluded.

The intensity of the dye concentration is displayed via a false-colour grading: white as a very high concentration and intensity

This comprehensive review will focus on FOI and address the question if it is ready for clinical use. We believe that FOI can complement our current imaging repertoire.
followed by red, yellow and green in descending order. The examination lasts 6 min recording one image per second and adding up to a total cluster of 360 images.

Advantages of this method are the high acceptance of the patients and the possibility to examine all joints of both hands and wrists (30 joints in total) within the described short period of time of 6 min. FOI is a delegable task that can be performed by a medical assistant or nurse with a physician in the background. Accordingly, the images can be evaluated at remote sides. The acquisition costs of an FOI device are comparable with a high-end ultrasound machine and less expensive than an MRI machine.

Efforts have been made by different research groups to standardise the FOI image analysis. The comparison of three scoring methods from Berlin, Stockholm and Copenhagen showed moderate to good inter-reader reliability for all three FOI scoring methods (Pabak-OS: 0.50–0.78, ICC: 0.43–0.85) used in patients with erosive hand osteoarthritis (OA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with similar sensitivities (63%–65%) and specificities (76%–91%) compared with MRI. Mostly, the ‘Berlin scoring method’ is used including three phases dependent on the blood flow of the fingertips and the automatically generated sum image (PrimaVistaMode, PVM). The evaluation of the inflammatory intensity in the phases 1–3 and PVM is performed by a semiquantitative (0–3) score called FOIAS (FOI Activity Score). Recently, the ‘Copenhagen scoring method’ FOIE-GRAS (FOI Enhancement-Generated RA Score) has been published, which presents a feasible, reliable and responsive single score for synovitis assessment in RA.

PRECLINICAL AND ANIMAL STUDIES ON FOI
Fischer et al. evaluated in vivo fluorescence imaging of experimental inflammatory joint disease in a model of Borrelia-induced Lyme arthritis presenting that the fluorescence signal differed significantly between controls and arthritic animals (p<0.05). Meier et al. evaluated a combined X-ray/optical imaging system for ICG-enhanced detection of arthritic joints in a rat model of antigen induced arthritis of the knee and ankle, which were compared for significant differences with controls. The fluorescence signal of arthritic joints was significantly higher compared with the non-arthritic control joints (p<0.05). Moreover, Vollmer et al. described an in vivo near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging technique for therapy monitoring of ankle joints affected by collagen-induced arthritis before and after treatment demonstrating a statistically significant decrease in fluorescence intensity in ankle joints (p<0.05).

WHAT IS THE CURRENT EVIDENCE OF FOI IN RHEUMATOLOGY?
Different research groups all over the world have examined FOI in numerous studies in the last 10 years for different rheumatologic diseases and indications; please see online supplemental table 1 in which full papers (congress abstracts excluded) are listed according to their order in the text.

Inflammatory Joint Diseases
Most notably, the validity studies in patients with inflammatory rheumatoid joint diseases have shown a mostly good agreement between FOI, clinical examination (CE), MSUS and MRI.

Fischer et al. presented first clinical data on five patients with RA and a corresponding number of volunteers that were examined using fluorescence imaging in the NIR spectral range following the intravenous administration of an unspecific contrast agent with ICG. 0.2 Tesla (T) MRI served as the reference method. In this study, inflammatory joints of RA patients were enriched by ICG and showed a different kinetic behaviour compared with normal joints presenting the capability of contrast-enhanced fluorescence imaging to detect early inflammatory changes with good correlation to MRI (r=0.84). In a subsequent study including 252 patients with different forms of arthritis, Werner et al. demonstrated a sensitivity of 76% and a specificity of 54% for FOI in detecting joint inflammation (synovitis/tenosynovitis) compared with MRI. Here, FOI in phase 1 had a high specificity of 94%. Furthermore, FOI showed agreement rates up to 88% vs CE, 64% vs MSUS in grey scale, 88% vs MSUS in power Doppler and 85% vs MRI, depending on the compared phase and parameter. In another study by Werner et al. including 32 patients with early and very early arthritis as well as 46 controls, the sensitivity of FOI was 86% and the specificity was 63%, while the sum image (PVM), phase 1 and phase 3 reached high specificities of 87%, 90% and 88%, respectively, compared with MRI. In contrast, Meier et al. reported that FOI had a sensitivity of only 39.6% and a specificity of 85.2%, compared with 3.0T MRI. In this study, 45 patients with clinically suspected inflammatory...
arthropathy were included. Referring to the reduced sensitivity, the authors stated that FOI showed limitations for the detection of inflamed joints of the hand in comparison with MRI. In contrast, Krohn et al examined 31 early RA patients via FOI—compared with MSUS in grey scale and power Doppler as well as 0.31T MRI. The working group presented an overall sensitivity/specificity for FOI of 81%/0%, 49%/84% and 86%/38% for wrist, metacarpophalangeal (MCP) and proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints, respectively, in comparison to MRI, and an overall sensitivity/specificity for FOI of 88%/15%, 81%/76% and 100%/27% for wrist, MCP and PIP joints, respectively—compared with power Doppler US. FOI in phase 2 was the most sensitive phase, while phase 1 showed the highest specificity, comparable to the results by Werner et al. An FOI image example of an active, early RA patient is given by figure 1. In addition, Schäfer et al analysed 90 individual joints from 18 patients with active RA via FOI and found a sensitivity of 26/39 (67%) and a specificity of 31/40 (77%) for fluorescence ratio of phase 3 using a cut-off value of more than 1.2 to detect 1.5T MRI-confirmed synovitis with FOI. They concluded that FOI has a potential for visualising synovitis in subjects with RA. Subclinical synovitis as clinically non-apparent but visible pathology in MSUS could also be detected by FOI with a sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 96%. Thus, Kisten et al suggested the potential use of FOI for the screening of synovitis and clinically inactive inflammatory joint disease (see figure 2 as an FOI example of a clinically inactive (DAS28 <2.6) RA patient), especially when MSUS is not available.

Figure 2 FOI images in PrimaVistaMode and phases 1–3 in a patient with clinically inactive (DAS28 <2.6) rheumatoid arthritis. Apart from clinical presentation, FOI shows early enhancement within right wrist and MCP joints in phase 1. DAS28, Disease activity score 28; FOI, fluorescence optical imaging; MCP, metacarpophalangeal.

Figure 3 FOI images in PrimaVistaMode and phases 1–3 in a patient with longstanding, active rheumatoid arthritis. FOI, fluorescence optical imaging.

Figure 4 FOIEGRAS images in a patient with RA showing enhancements in MCP2 (yellow arrows) and PIP5 joints (red arrows) at different time points. FOIEGRAS, Fluorescence Optical Imaging Enhancement-Generated rheumatoid arthritis Score; MCP, metacarpophalangeal; PIP, proximal interphalangeal; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.

Figure 5 FOI images in PrimaVistaMode and phases 1–3 in a patient with active psoriatic arthritis. Especially visualised strong and early as well as remaining enhancement in PIP3 and PIP4 joints of the left hand. FOI, fluorescence optical imaging; PIP, proximal interphalangeal.
reported an overall sensitivity of 57.3% and specificity of 92.1% of FOI versus MRI for the detection of synovitis. In addition, they demonstrated that the sensitivity of FOI increased with the degree of synovitis to 65.0% for moderate and severe synovitis (specificity 88.1%) and 76.3% for severe synovitis (specificity 80.5%), while FOI’s performance decreased with the degree of synovitis with false negative results predominantly for mild (45.5%) and moderate (46.6%) synovitis and false positive results predominantly based on mild (grade 1) signals (81.6%). Accordingly, the authors concluded that FOI has a lower sensitivity than 3.0T-MRI and its diagnostic performance decreases with the degree of synovitis correspondent to the strength of FOI signals. Hirano et al compared performance profiles of CE, MSUS and FOI using 1.5T MRI as a reference in six active RA patients. FOI showed sensitivities and specificities of 85% and 94% (phase 1), and 69% and 94% (phase 2) in the detection of synovitis, which were comparable to those of MSUS and more specific than CE. They concluded that FOI has a potential as an assessment modality of RA. An FOI image example of a longstanding, active RA is given by figure 3. Recently, Kawashiri et al explored the significance of the FOI findings based on the association between the FOI and MSUS findings and serum biomarkers (including vascular endothelial growth factor) in 50 consecutive patients with active RA. They presented FOI to be highly sensitive in the detection of synovitis, however, the frequency of positive findings and the diagnostic performance with MSUS as the reference standard for FOI differed considerably among the phases of FOI as well as among the affected joint regions. Furthermore, the FOI scores were positively correlated with clinical disease activity, MSUS scores and serum biomarkers. Moreover, the severity of FOI-proven synovitis was associated with the presence of MSUS-proven bone erosion. Recently, Ammitzbøll-Danielsen et al presented a moderate agreement of FOI (using the FOIE-GRAS method; figure 4) with ultrasound (ICC 0.30–0.54) for total score and moderate correlation with clinical joint assessment and Disease activity score 28 (DAS28)-CRP in RA patients.

In a study on patients with suspected or confirmed psoriatic arthritis (PsA) published by Erdmann-Keding et al, FOI was more sensitive than MSUS for the detection of inflammation in PIP/distal interphalangeal (DIP) joints (p=0.035) and different findings of pathologic enhancement for confirmed (pathologic signal enhancement mainly in FOI phase 2 + phase 3) and suspected PsA (pathologic signal enhancement mainly in FOI phase 1) were found, which may be relevant for determining the inflammatory status. An FOI image in a patient with active PsA is demonstrated in figure 5.

Apart from the inflammatory joint changes, Schmidt et al investigated inflammatory enhancements in the skin area of both hands that were observed in patients with psoriasis vulgaris (PsO) and PsA compared with...
patients and healthy controls in a retrospective analysis. Subclinical skin enhancement on the back of the hands was more common in PsO/PsA (72.5%) than in RA patients (20.5%) and healthy individuals (28.0%) (p<0.001). Based on the FOI pattern, most patients with PsO/PsA (72.5%), RA (76.9%), and healthy controls (68.0%) were classified correctly, which indicate FOI’s potential to study microcirculation in rheumatic diseases with skin involvement. An FOI image example of a patient with active PsA (dactylitis) and skin enhancement is given by figure 6. Moreover, the ‘green nail’ phenomenon has been described by Wiemann et al as a possible microcirculation disturbance in the area of the nail with a specificity of 87% for PsA in relation to an RA control cohort. Werner et al had described a triangular, slightly arcuate enhancement from the nail bed into the DIP that was observed in 60 out of 64 (94%) subjects with PsA compared with only 8 out of 38 (21%) patients with definite RA. Osteoarthritis

In a subsequent study by Glimm et al, the amount and distribution of inflammatory signs in wrist and finger joints via FOI and MSUS was compared in RA vs OA patients. FOI and MSUS detected inflammation in both RA and OA highlighting the inflammatory component in the course of OA. The authors assumed that the different inflammatory patterns and various shapes of fluorescence enhancement may offer opportunities to distinguish both diseases via FOI. An FOI image example in a patient with OA is given by figure 7. In contrast, a study by Maugesten et al of 221 hand OA patients showed limited correlations between FOI, MRI and MSUS, questioning the value of FOI for the detection of synovitis in hand OA. In another publication by Maugesten et al it was investigated whether FOI enhancement and MRI-defined synovitis were associated with pain and physical function in hand OA. The authors found that FOI enhancement and MRI-defined synovitis were associated with pain in the same finger joint (with numerical stronger associations between MRI-defined synovitis and finger joint pain/tenderness). Nevertheless, none of the imaging modalities demonstrated consistent associations with pain, stiffness and physical function on subject level concluding that FOI enhancement was probably associated with more noise than established imaging modalities (MRI and MSUS), furthermore FOI did not show enhancement in the thumb base joints, which are commonly affected by OA.

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis

FOI can also be used in children, as the dosage of the dye ICG can be adapted to the patients’ weight. Apart from the particularly good tolerability, interestingly, the children investigated accepted the procedure and showed interest in this method due to the colourful presentation.

In paediatric patients with inflammatory (juvenile idiopathic arthritis, JIA) and non-inflammatory joint diseases, FOI was more sensitive for detecting clinically active joints than MSUS in grey scale and power Doppler (GSUS/PDUS) (75.2% vs 57.3%/32.5%), which was presented by Beck et al. The predictive value in this study for discriminating between inflammatory and non-inflammatory joint diseases was 0.79 for FOI and 0.80/0.85 for GSUS/PDUS. The authors concluded that FOI may provide an additional diagnostic method in paediatric rheumatology. Klein et al presented a 24-week observational study in polyarticular JIA in which 37 patients were evaluated clinically, by MSUS (GS/PD) and FOI at baseline, week 12 and week 24. Twenty-four patients started therapy with methotrexate and 13 patients with a biological agent for the first time (etanercept n=11, adalimumab and tocilizumab n=1 each). Improvement on treatment with either methotrexate or a biologic therapy could be visualised by FOI and MSUS. Both methods could detect clinical but also subclinical inflammation, which was to a higher extent visualised by FOI than MSUS. An FOI image example in a patient with JIA is given by figure 8.

Therapeutic monitoring

In addition to the diagnostic studies on joint diseases mentioned above, studies on therapeutic monitoring were conducted. Among these, Glimm et al included 35 patients with early RA that were followed-up within 1 year after baseline by FOI both in responders and non-responders according to EULAR response criteria by DAS28. A significant signal reduction in FOI phase 1 was observed, so that an objective evaluation of the therapeutic success appears possible via FOI. Moreover, Meier et al demonstrated a significant difference in the rates of early enhancement in FOI—as quantitative measurement—after 24 weeks of therapy start or escalation in different inflammatory arthritis patients. They stated a signal reduction in responders (~21.5%, p<0.001) and increase in non-responders (~10.8%, p=0.075) underlining the possibility of a therapy monitoring per FOI. In a recent study by Ammitzbøll-Danielsen et al a good sensitivity to change (standardised response mean>0.80) was found.

Systemic sclerosis

The FOI procedure was also applied to patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) to detect soft tissue inflammation by ICG enhancement in 19 segments per hand, which was shown to be significantly reduced 7 days after either iloprost or alprostadil therapy from 40.9% to 24.7% presented by Pfeil et al. Furthermore, FOI was investigated for the visualisation of a disturbed microcirculation in the hands and fingers of SSc patients and to link FOI findings to clinical signs of ischaemia such as digital ulcers (DU) and pitting scars, reflecting progressive vasculopathy in SSc. In the results presented by Friedrich et al, 93.6% of healthy subjects showed initial ICG signals in their fingertips compared with only 78.5% in limited SSc and 43.2% in diffuse SSc. Moreover, FOI findings of missing or reduced microcirculation were significantly

associated with a late capillaroscopic pattern, disseminated SSc features, a diffuse SSc subtype and the presence of digital ulcers or pitting scars in SSc patients.8 In the 12 months follow-up study investigating 76 SSc patients, Friedrich et al could show that fingers with pathological staining by FOI at baseline had a higher risk for new ulcer development in the same finger (p=0.0153). Especially, a missing signal of FOI in the right third digit at baseline was associated with the subsequent development of DU.29 Recently, this group has introduced a composite score (CIP-DUS-Clinical features, Imaging, Patient history-Digital Ulcer Score) to predict DU in SSc patients also including FOI, which was able to identify all patients at risk of digital ulcers throughout 12 months.30

Summary and conclusion

Several studies have been published for the use of FOI in the detection of joint inflammation comparing the performance of FOI to MRI and/or MSUS. The results presented high sensitivities and specificities for FOI in phase 1 and phase 3 by all studies using FOIAS in inflammatory joint diseases.3-5 9 12 13 Thus, phase-specific evaluation by the FOIAS method with particular attention to phase 1 (highest specificity compared with both power Doppler US and MRI) is strongly recommended. This was confirmed by Glimm et al who found an acceptable responsiveness in phase 1 for RA patients (<2years disease duration) initiating or escalating antirheumatic treatment.10 Recently, this was confirmed in a new study using FOIE-GRAS (a peak enhancement score) demonstrating a good responsiveness, which was in line with MSUS scores, joint evaluation and DAS28-CRP.14 These data support that FOI is an alternative for monitoring of RA patients in clinical trials and practice.

The value of FOI in patients with OA might be limited since nearly no FOI enhancement in the thumb base joints could be detected. Furthermore, FOI did not demonstrate consistent associations with pain, stiffness and physical function on subject level.15 However, FOI seems to offer opportunities to distinguish OA vs RA, therefore, it might become a useful tool in differential diagnosis.6

Moreover, inflammatory enhancements in the skin area of both hands were detected by FOI indicating its potential to study microcirculation in rheumatic diseases with skin involvement.25 This and other microcirculation disturbances (‘green nail sign’ etc) need to be confirmed by prospective studies on larger scales, but they give first hints that FOI has the potential to present both skin and joint involvement at the same time underlining its potential in patients with PsA for monitoring, for example, objective (‘real’) psoriatic skin and joint disease stages.

In patients with SSc, a reduced microcirculation in the hands and fingers could be presented by FOI. Furthermore, it could be shown that fingers with pathologic staining detected by FOI at baseline had a higher risk for new ulcer development in the same finger during 12 months. In a composite score called CIP-DUS also including FOI-examination, all SSc patients at risk of digital ulcers throughout 12 months could be predicted. Therefore, FOI also has the potential to be used in the detection of reduced microcirculation in soft tissue diseases.

An advantage of FOI is that a scan of all finger and hand joints is performed within a short time period of 6min. Furthermore, the procedure can be delegated to a trained nurse—in the presence of a doctor in the background. Due to the weight-dependent application of the dye it is possible to perform FOI also in children.12 24 The procedure can be repeated within few hours due to a short half-life of ICG. A disadvantage is the necessary of an intravenous access making FOI an invasive method, but apart from this is a painless method. Also, the use of ICG rarely causes allergic reactions. Thousands of examinations have shown that it is well-tolerated and well-accepted procedure by the patients. We have experienced that patients follow the examination on the screen attentively and that the images enable them to visually understand the disease and to discuss response and non-response to therapy. Limitations of FOI is that the palmar aspects of the hands (eg, flexor tenosynovitis) can only be partly examined due to the limited penetration of infrared light, and that the method provides a two-dimensional visualisation of the hand in contrast to MRI and ultrasound. Furthermore, important pitfalls of this method need to be known (eg, wounds located over the joint), which should be limited by a distinct documentation (eg, photographs of both hands).

To summarise, FOI is a fast, easy, and well-tolerated method to visualise a disturbed microcirculation in various rheumatic diseases, and thereby allows for the detection of joint inflammation, vasculopathic changes and skin involvement of the hands.

Therefore, we believe that FOI is a feasible and reliable method which is ready for clinical practice to complement our current imaging repertoire in rheumatology, particularly at sites where US and MRI are not readily available.
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