




ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN) common language definition of gout

Rachel Murdoch ¹, Michael J Barry,² Hyon K Choi,³ Daniel Hernandez,⁴ Brianne Johnsen,³ Manuel Labrador,⁵ Susan Reid,¹ Jasvinder A Singh ^{6,7}, Robert Terkeltaub,⁸ Janitzia Vázquez Mellado,⁵ Nicola Dalbeth ¹

To cite: Murdoch R, Barry MJ, Choi HK, *et al.* Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN) common language definition of gout. *RMD Open* 2021;**7**:e001623. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2021-001623

Received 8 February 2021
Revised 23 March 2021
Accepted 2 April 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective To develop a Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN) common language definition of gout, with the goal of increasing public understanding and awareness, and ensure consistent and understandable messages about gout.

Methods A G-CAN working group that included patients, physicians and nongovernmental organisation (NGO) representatives was formed to develop a common language definition of gout for use with the public, media, healthcare providers and stakeholders. A literature search and interviews with patients, healthcare workers and stakeholders informed development of the definition. Following consultation with G-CAN members and partners, the definition was endorsed by the G-CAN board.

Results The G-CAN common language definition of gout describes the epidemiology, pathophysiology, symptoms and impact, risk factors, comorbidities, management and healthcare and workforce considerations. Detailed information is provided to support the content of the definition. After the publication of the English-language version, the definition will be available for translation into other languages by G-CAN members.

Conclusion G-CAN has developed a concise and easily understandable statement describing gout in language that can be used in conversations with the lay public, media, NGOs, funders, healthcare providers and other stakeholders.

INTRODUCTION

Gout is the most common form of inflammatory arthritis affecting adults.¹ Despite its high prevalence and impact, gout is understudied and often undertreated.^{2–4} One mechanism to increase public understanding and awareness, and ensure consistent and understandable messages about a disease, is development of an agreed common language definition. For example, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) and American College of Rheumatology (ACR) developed a common language definition of rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) to allow

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

- ▶ Despite its high prevalence and impact, gout is understudied and often undertreated.

What does this study add?

- ▶ The common language definition of gout encompasses key information relevant to gout as determined by a review of the literature, interviews with patients, healthcare workers and stakeholders and consultation with crystal-associated disease network members and stakeholders.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

- ▶ This common language definition of gout has been developed to promote consistent, accurate and informative discussion about gout with the public, healthcare workers and stakeholders.

consistent use when describing these conditions.⁵ This short general definition included a general description of RMDs, the causes of disease, the experience of people with the disease and the impact of RMDs.

The Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN) is an international, multidisciplinary collaboration, dedicated to advancing the crystal deposition-associated disorders. In 2019, G-CAN convened a working group to develop a common language definition of gout; a concise and easily understandable statement describing gout in language can be used in conversations with the lay public, media, nongovernmental organisations (NGOs), funders, healthcare providers and other stakeholders. This work followed G-CAN consensus definitions of the disease elements and disease states of gout for technical communications.^{6,7} Herein, we describe



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Nicola Dalbeth;
n.dalbeth@auckland.ac.nz




Figure 1 Development of the Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN) common language definition of gout.

the development and outcome of the G-CAN common language definition of gout project.

METHODS

The components and flow of the project are shown in figure 1. The G-CAN working group included patient representatives, a health literacy expert, an NGO representative, a primary care physician, a physician assistant and rheumatologists. A literature review of key aspects of epidemiology, pathophysiology, symptoms, management and impact of gout, including grey literature sources, and interviews with patients, stakeholders and healthcare workers were undertaken to inform the development of the common language definition.

Scope of project

Working group members met to agree on the scope of the common language definition and literature review. It was agreed that the common language definition would be a concise statement written in language understandable to a broad audience and that G-CAN recommended nomenclature, such as ‘gout flare’ would be used.⁶ It was decided that the final definition would be available for translation into different languages by G-CAN members. The definition will be reviewed and updated as new evidence emerges.

Content analysis of literature

A literature search was undertaken to extract the major aspects of gout described in the literature and review articles about gout from major general medical journals and articles from the grey literature databases. Using the search term ‘gout’, the databases were searched for articles related to the epidemiology, pathophysiology, comorbidities, symptoms, management and impact on patients of gout. Review articles and governmental and NGO reports, documents or guidelines were included. Relevant information was extracted from 93 articles and the key messages for each category (pathophysiology, epidemiology, symptoms, comorbid disorders, diagnostic investigations, management and impact on patients and community) were compiled.

The main factors relating to pathophysiology that were identified in the literature review were that hyperuricaemia, formation of monosodium urate crystals, inflammation and underexcretion and overproduction of urate contribute to the development of gout. The main points related to epidemiology included that gout is common and increasing in prevalence. The main symptoms described in the literature were pain and swelling, and common comorbid disorders were cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, diabetes and hypertension. Management strategies described included urate-lowering therapy and anti-inflammatory medications. The impact of gout included the effects on employment and quality of life, increased healthcare use and impact on physical well-being.

Major articles identified through MEDLINE and recent guidelines relating to the topics of pathophysiology, symptoms, impact on patients, risk factors, comorbidities, management and health and workforce considerations were also summarised to support statements within the definition.

Patient and stakeholder interviews

A total of 19 patients, healthcare workers and stakeholders were interviewed to identify important messages for inclusion in the definition. Patients with gout (n=7) were identified through a clinical research centre. Healthcare workers (n=7, pharmacist, nutritionist, general practitioner, internist, rheumatologist, nurse and podiatrist) and stakeholders (n=5, from an NGO providing patient support and education, a funding body and a government organisation) were identified through professional networks. These individuals were invited to take part in interviews about what should be included in a definition of gout. Participants were asked a set of questions about their experience of gout, what would be important for people to know about gout, how gout impacts on patients and the community and what challenges there are in the management of gout. The interviews were transcribed by the research fellow and analysed using NVivo software to identify the main themes and messages. Interviews were continued until data saturation was reached.

Key messages from the interviews were reviewed by the working group to assist with developing the definition. Key messages patients wanted to communicate about gout included the severity of pain, that it is controllable with treatment, and that it can be related to diet. The effect on ability to work and the importance of receiving appropriate treatment were also emphasised. Healthcare workers and stakeholders identified that it was important to know that gout is related to genetic factors, that recurrent gout flares can be prevented with long-term urate-lowering therapy, that people should not be blamed for having gout, and that the relationship between healthcare provider and patient is important.

Box 1 The Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network common language definition of gout

Gout is a common condition caused by high levels of urate (also known as uric acid) in the body. Having too much urate causes crystals to form in the joints that can result in inflammation and damage. People are usually diagnosed with gout when they have an attack of a very painful arthritis, which can cause difficulty sleeping, walking and working. This is called a gout flare. For most people, gout happens when the kidneys do not remove enough urate from the body, with genetic make-up playing a critical role for some groups. Gout often occurs alongside other health problems such as high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes and kidney disease, with shared risk factors including being overweight, diet and alcohol consumption. Gout flares are treated in the short term with anti-inflammatory medicines. Long-term daily medicines such as allopurinol decrease the amount of urate in the body and dissolve the urate crystals, resulting ultimately in prevention of gout flares and joint damage. Healthcare workers such as doctors, nurses and pharmacists can help people with gout understand the condition, reduce stigma and work with them to make management choices.

Consultation through G-CAN

The results of the literature review and interviews were circulated to all working group members who met on two further occasions by teleconference to agree on a draft definition to be distributed for consultation. This definition was circulated for consultation to all G-CAN members and partners. It was then modified based on the feedback. The subsequent definition was circulated and agreed by the working group, reviewed by patients and lay readers for clarity and sent to the G-CAN board for endorsement.

RESULTS

The key messages from the literature review, interviews, discussion of the working group and feedback from G-CAN members and partners are incorporated in the final definition (box 1).

The following commentary provides detailed justification for the text included in the G-CAN common language definition of gout.

Epidemiology

Gout is a common disease with increasing incidence and prevalence, particularly in Western or westernising countries, paralleling the obesity epidemic over recent decades.^{8–11} There are currently an estimated 41 million people worldwide with gout.¹⁰ It is the most common form of inflammatory arthritis,¹² estimated to affect about 4% of the population in the USA,¹³ 2%–3% in the UK and 0.9%–2.5% in Europe.¹⁵ It is more common in men, with a prevalence of 5.2% in men and 2.7% in women.¹³ This prevalence increased with age to 9.3% of US adults over 60 years, although it can also affect young men.^{16 17} Gout is rare in women before menopause and does not usually affect children. It is more common in some ethnic groups such as Māori, Pacific Peoples and

Taiwanese Indigenous Peoples.^{18 19} In Aotearoa/New Zealand, Māori and Pacific peoples have 2–3 times the prevalence of gout as non-Māori, non-Pacific peoples²⁰ and the prevalence of gout in Taiwanese Indigenous men and women is 15.2% and 4.8%, respectively, compared with 0.3% in Taiwanese non-Indigenous people.²¹

Pathophysiology

Hyperuricaemia and deposition of monosodium urate crystals are the key pathophysiological mechanisms leading to the development of gout. Disease stages include asymptomatic hyperuricaemia, asymptomatic deposition of monosodium urate crystals, monosodium urate crystal deposition with gout flares and intercritical gout, and usually later in the disease course (in the absence of urate-lowering therapy), chronic gouty arthritis, tophus deposition and gouty bone erosion.²²

Urate is produced mainly in the liver and intestinal cells.²³ About two-thirds is excreted by the kidneys and the remainder in the gut.²⁴ When the level of urate is above the saturation point of 6.8 mg/dL (408 μmol/L), monosodium urate crystals form at pH 7.0 and temperature 37°C.²⁵

A gout flare arises when immune cells interact with the monosodium urate crystals, triggering an episode of acute inflammation. The release of the cytokine interleukin (IL)—1β by immune cells is particularly important in driving inflammation in the gout flare.²⁶ Many other proinflammatory mediators also contribute to the gout flare.

Gout is characterised by repeated gout flares with intercritical periods during which the patient is asymptomatic but remains hyperuricaemic with risk of further gout flares.²² Monosodium urate crystals can be found in the synovial fluid during the intercritical period.²⁷ If the hyperuricaemia responsible for gout is untreated, subcutaneous tophi can develop; these nodules are discrete collection of monosodium urate crystals with associated tissue response.⁶ Tophi can lead to bone erosion and cartilage damage.²⁸

Symptoms and impact

The key symptom of the gout flare is severe joint pain. Qualitative studies of patients with gout show that pain is an important symptom.²⁹ Other symptoms of a gout flare are joint swelling, redness and heat.^{30 31} Typically, the symptoms develop quickly, often starting at night, peak within 24 hours and resolve within 1–2 weeks.³²

Patients commonly experience difficulty mobilising during a gout flare.³³ Sleep disorders and daytime sleepiness are also frequently seen.^{34–37} Work absences are higher in people with gout; a Swedish population-based cohort study reported an average work absentee rate over 3 years of 22% in people with gout compared with 14% of matched population controls.³⁸ Gout also has an impact on family and wider community. Family members may be absent from work or education to care for those with gout.²⁹ There is also a financial impact on the family and

on businesses when individuals with gout are absent from work or unemployed, with annual loss of income for patients with severe gout estimated to be up to US\$4341 per year.³⁹

Risk factors

Majority of hyperuricaemia is the result of reduced renal clearance of urate,⁴⁰ which can be due to sex, genetic variations, obesity, insulin resistance, medications and kidney disease.^{41–48} It can also be caused by overproduction due to high dietary purines or increased cell turnover^{49,50} and intestinal extrarenal underexcretion.^{11,51}

Genetics plays a major role in hyperuricaemia.^{52–53} Multiple genes have been identified, which contribute to risk of hyperuricaemia, most of which are involved in urate transport.⁵⁴ Genome-wide association analysis has identified multiple loci associated with gout including *ABCG2*, *SLC2A9*, *SLC22A11*, *GCKR* and *SLC17A1*.⁴¹ A missense single-nucleotide polymorphism in *ABCG2*, which is expressed in the gut, is associated with urate concentration and risk of gout.⁵⁵

Renal underexcretion of urate is a major mechanism of hyperuricaemia. This can be genetic, mediated by variants of urate transporters such as *SLC2A9* and *SLC22A11*, but may also occur in the context of acquired kidney disease.⁴⁷

Being overweight or obese is the strongest modifiable risk factor for hyperuricaemia and gout. Obesity raises the serum urate level, through both lowering renal urate excretion and increasing urate production.^{47,48,56,57} Mendelian randomisation studies have shown obesity to be causally associated with serum urate levels,^{58–60} and weight loss through bariatric surgery^{61–63} or dietary intervention leads to reductions in serum urate levels.^{64–66} A recent Global Burden of Disease analyses of 195 countries and territories between 1990 and 2017 found that high body mass index accounted for 53.4% and 48.6% of the years lived with disability for gout in high-income North America and Australasia.¹⁰ Early adult obesity in women was associated with a 2.8-fold increased risk of gout compared with nonobese women in a study using data from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study.⁶⁷

Higher meat intake (particularly red meat), seafood intake and alcohol consumption are associated with an increased risk of hyperuricaemia,^{68–70} incident gout^{71,72} and recurrent gout flares^{73,74} partly because their high purine content raises urate levels, as demonstrated by short-term metabolic experiments of purine loading in animals and humans.^{75,76} Healthy cardiometabolic diets such as the Mediterranean or Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension diet lowered serum urate in secondary analyses of clinical trials^{64,77–79} and are associated with a lower risk of incident gout, whereas the Western diet is associated with a higher risk.⁸⁰

Comorbidities

Gout coexists often with metabolic syndrome (63%)⁸¹ and is associated with cardiometabolic–renal comorbidities⁸²

and premature mortality.⁸³ In the USA, 74% of those with gout had hypertension, 71% chronic kidney disease stage ≥ 2 , 53% obesity, 26% diabetes, 14% myocardial infarction and 10% stroke.⁸² It is associated with increased risk of nephrolithiasis (occurring in approximately 24% of patients with gout).^{82,84}

Management

Treatment of a gout flare should be commenced as soon as possible, with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, colchicine or glucocorticoids being first-line options for treatment.⁸⁵ An open-label randomised trial comparing low-dose colchicine 500 mg three times per day for 4 days with naproxen 750 mg immediately, then 250 mg every 8 hours for 7 days found that there was no difference between the two groups in terms of pain intensity over 7 days although side effects were more common in the colchicine group, mainly diarrhoea and headache.⁸⁶ Another randomised trial comparing oral prednisone and naproxen for monoarticular gout found that either prednisolone 35 mg once a day or naproxen 500 mg two times a day for 5 days were equally effective, and adverse effects were similar between groups.³³ Symptomatic treatment for a gout flare includes immobilisation of the joint and use of cold packs.⁸⁷ Anti-IL-1 β monoclonal antibodies have also been found to be effective for relief of gout flares⁸⁸ and are generally recommended for patients experiencing gout flares where other drugs have caused side effects or were ineffective.⁸⁵

Long-term use of urate-lowering therapy leads to crystal dissolution,^{89,90} resulting in suppression of gout flares,^{91,92} regression of tophi⁹² and prevention of progressive joint damage.⁹³ Rheumatology societies strongly recommend urate-lowering therapy for patients with tophi, evidence of radiographic damage attributable to gout or frequent gout flares.^{85,94} Urate-lowering therapy may also have benefit earlier in the disease course.⁹¹ Allopurinol is the preferred and most commonly prescribed first-line urate-lowering therapy.^{85,95} Second-line treatments include febuxostat, another xanthine oxidase inhibitor, probenecid, a uricosuric agent and pegloticase, a recombinant uricase administered intravenously.

A treat-to-target serum urate approach is recommended by the ACR guidelines⁸⁵ and EULAR recommendations.⁹⁴ Serum urate monitoring allows the dose of medication to be titrated to a target serum urate of below 6 mg/dL (360 μ mol/L) in those with symptomatic gout and often below 5 mg/dL (300 μ mol/L) in those with features of severe gout such as tophi.⁹⁴

Healthcare and workforce considerations

People with gout experience shame in seeking treatment for gout,⁹⁶ feel stigmatised that their gout diagnosis is associated with perceptions of dietary and alcohol excess and identify they do not have enough information about gout.⁹⁷

Approaches that support patient understanding of gout, strengthen primary care and focus on strong

relationships between clinician and patient are important in management to enable patients to manage gout and continue urate-lowering therapy. Building health literacy is essential, with one study finding that more than half of patients identified a wish to know more about the cause of gout, treatment goals and long-term treatment.⁹⁸ A randomised controlled trial found that nurse-led care for patients that focused on providing individualised information and engaging patients in shared decision-making led to a reduction in gout flares and tophi.⁹² Nurse-led care is associated with greater patient satisfaction and better gout knowledge.⁹⁹ Other models of care, using a protocolised treat-to-target serum urate approach, have also been shown to be effective. A randomised trial of a pharmacist-led service for patients starting allopurinol for gout found that the intervention led to a higher rate of reaching serum urate goal.¹⁰⁰ A programme providing education and support to patients with the use of community support workers (kaiāwhina) was also effective.¹⁰¹

SUMMARY

The common language definition of gout encompasses key information relevant to gout as determined by a review of the literature, interviews with patients, healthcare workers and stakeholders and consultation with G-CAN members and stakeholders. After the publication of the English-language version, the definition will be available for translation into other languages by G-CAN members, using the approach recommended by the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation.¹⁰² This concise definition can be used in conversations with the lay public, media, NGOs, funders, healthcare providers and other stakeholders.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

²Department of Primary Care, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

³Division of Rheumatology Allergy and Immunology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Global Healthy Living Foundation, Upper Nyack, New York, USA

⁵Department of Rheumatology, Hospital General de México, Ciudad de México, Mexico

⁶Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

⁷Medicine Service, Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

⁸Department of Rheumatology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

Acknowledgements We thank Rebecca Snyders Hill, Executive Director, Gout, Hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated Disease Network (G-CAN), all G-CAN members who provided feedback during the consultation period, and the G-CAN Board members for review and endorsement. We also acknowledge the contributions of participants in the qualitative interviews.

Contributors All authors were part of the steering committee, contributed to the development of the protocol, reviewed all data and the common language definition and approved the final version.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests ND reports personal fees and non-financial support from Abbvie, personal fees from Horizon, Janssen, Dyve, Selecta and ArthroSi, grants

from Amgen, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca and personal fees from Hengrui, outside the submitted work. G-CAN is a global gout research consortium, non-profit, supported at arms length by annual funding support from pharma. In the last 36 months, pharma donor support to G-CAN was provided by Horizon, Astra-Zeneca, SOBI, Takeda, CymaBay, Selecta and LG. RT reports other than from G-CAN, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Astra-Zeneca, SOBI, Horizon, Selecta and grants from Astra-Zeneca, outside the submitted work. JAS has received consultant fees from Crealta/Horizon, Medisys, Fidia, UBM LLC, Trio Health, Medscape, WebMD, Adept Field Solutions, Clinical Care Options, Clearview Healthcare Partners, Putnam Associates, Focus Forward, Navigant Consulting, Spherix, Practice Point Communications, the National Institutes of Health and the American College of Rheumatology. JAS owns stock options in TPT Global Tech, Vaxart Pharmaceuticals and Charlotte's Web Holdings, Inc. JAS previously owned stock options in Amarin, Viking and Moderna Pharmaceuticals. JAS is on the speaker's bureau of Simply Speaking. JAS is a member of the executive of Outcomes Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT), an organisation that develops outcome measures in rheumatology and receives arms-length funding from 12 companies. JAS serves on the FDA Arthritis Advisory Committee. JAS is the chair of the Veterans Affairs Rheumatology Field Advisory Committee. JAS is the editor and the Director of the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Satellite Centre on Network Meta-analysis. JAS previously served as a member of the following committees: member, the American College of Rheumatology's (ACR) Annual Meeting. MJB reports grants from Healthwise, a non-profit, outside the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee and written consent was obtained prior to the interviews; reference number 024225.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Rachel Murdoch <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9842-0721>

Jasvinder A Singh <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3485-0006>

Nicola Dalbeth <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4632-4476>

REFERENCES

- 1 Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Zhang W, *et al*. Global epidemiology of gout: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2015;11:649–62.
- 2 Cottrell E, Crabtree V, Edwards JJ, *et al*. Improvement in the management of gout is vital and overdue: an audit from a UK primary care medical practice. *BMC Fam Pract* 2013;14:170.
- 3 Robinson PC, Taylor WJ, Dalbeth N. An observational study of gout prevalence and quality of care in a national Australian general practice population. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1702–7.
- 4 Singh JA, Hodges JS, Asch SM. Opportunities for improving medication use and monitoring in gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:1265–70.
- 5 van der Heijde D, Daikh DI, Betteridge N, *et al*. Common language description of the term rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) for use in communication with the lay public, healthcare providers and other stakeholders endorsed by the European League against rheumatism (EULAR) and the American College of rheumatology (ACR). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:829–32.
- 6 Bursill D, Taylor WJ, Terkeltaub R, *et al*. Gout, hyperuricemia, and Crystal-Associated disease network consensus statement regarding labels and definitions for disease elements in gout. *Arthritis Care Res* 2019;71:427–34.
- 7 Bursill D, Taylor WJ, Terkeltaub R, *et al*. Gout, hyperuricaemia and Crystal-Associated disease network (G-CAN) consensus statement regarding labels and definitions of disease states of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78:1592–600.
- 8 Jin Z, Wang D, Zhang H, *et al*. Incidence trend of five common musculoskeletal disorders from 1990 to 2017 at the global, regional and national level: results from the global burden of disease study 2017. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:1014–22.

- 99 Xia Y, Wu Q, Wang H, *et al.* Global, regional and national burden of gout, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis of the global burden of disease study. *Rheumatology* 2020;59:1529–38.
- 10 Safiri S, Kolahi A-A, Cross M, *et al.* Prevalence, incidence, and years lived with disability due to gout and its attributable risk factors for 195 countries and territories 1990–2017: a systematic analysis of the global burden of disease study 2017. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020;72:1916–27.
- 11 Dalbeth N, Choi HK, Joosten LAB, *et al.* Gout. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2019;5:69.
- 12 Hui M, Carr A, Cameron S, *et al.* The British Society for rheumatology guideline for the management of gout. *Rheumatology* 2017;56:e1–20.
- 13 Chen-Xu M, Yokose C, Rai SK, *et al.* Contemporary prevalence of gout and hyperuricemia in the United States and Decadal trends: the National health and nutrition examination survey, 2007–2016. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019;71:991–9.
- 14 Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, *et al.* Rising burden of gout in the UK but continuing suboptimal management: a nationwide population study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:661–7.
- 15 Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E, *et al.* 2018 updated European League against rheumatism evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:31–8.
- 16 Zhu Y, Pandya BJ, Choi HK. Prevalence of gout and hyperuricemia in the US general population: the National health and nutrition examination survey 2007–2008. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:3136–41.
- 17 Wallace KL, Riedel AA, Joseph-Ridge N, *et al.* Increasing prevalence of gout and hyperuricemia over 10 years among older adults in a managed care population. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31:1582–7.
- 18 McDougall C, Hurd K, Barnabe C. Systematic review of rheumatic disease epidemiology in the Indigenous populations of Canada, the United States, Australia, and New Zealand. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2017;46:675–86.
- 19 Tu F-Y, Lin G-T, Lee S-S, *et al.* Prevalence of gout with comorbidity aggregations in southern Taiwan. *Joint Bone Spine* 2015;82:45–51.
- 20 Health Quality and Safety Commission. *Atlas of healthcare variation*, 2020.
- 21 Chang SJ, Ko YC, Wang TN, *et al.* High prevalence of gout and related risk factors in Taiwan's aborigines. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:1364–9.
- 22 Dalbeth N, Stamp L. Hyperuricaemia and gout: time for a new staging system? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:1598–600.
- 23 Fox IH. Metabolic basis for disorders of purine nucleotide degradation. *Metabolism* 1981;30:616–34.
- 24 Sorensen LB. Role of the intestinal tract in the elimination of uric acid. *Arthritis Rheum* 1965;8:694–706.
- 25 Loeb JN. The influence of temperature on the solubility of monosodium urate. *Arthritis Rheum* 1972;15:189–92.
- 26 Martinon F, Pétrilli V, Mayor A, *et al.* Gout-associated uric acid crystals activate the NALP3 inflammasome. *Nature* 2006;440:237–41.
- 27 Pascual E, Battle-Gualda E, Martínez A, *et al.* Synovial fluid analysis for diagnosis of intercritical gout. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;131:756–9.
- 28 Dalbeth N, Clark B, Gregory K, *et al.* Mechanisms of bone erosion in gout: a quantitative analysis using plain radiography and computed tomography. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:1290–5.
- 29 Lindsay K, Gow P, Vanderpyl J, *et al.* The experience and impact of living with gout: a study of men with chronic gout using a qualitative grounded theory approach. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2011;17:1–6.
- 30 Neogi T, Jansen TLTA, Dalbeth N, *et al.* 2015 gout classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League against rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1789–98.
- 31 Raddatz DA, Mahowald ML, Bilka PJ. Acute polyarticular gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1983;42:117–22.
- 32 Teoh N, Gamble GD, Horne A, *et al.* The challenges of gout flare reporting: mapping flares during a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Rheumatol* 2019;3:27.
- 33 Janssens HJEM, Janssen M, van de Lisdonk EH, *et al.* Use of oral prednisolone or naproxen for the treatment of gout arthritis: a double-blind, randomised equivalence trial. *The Lancet* 2008;371:1854–60.
- 34 Singh JA. Self-Reported sleep quality and sleep disorders in people with physician-diagnosed gout: an Internet cross-sectional survey. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2019;21:36.
- 35 Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Mallen C, Muller S, *et al.* The risk of gout among patients with sleep apnea: a matched cohort study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019;71:154–60.
- 36 Zhang Y, Peloquin CE, Dubreuil M, *et al.* Sleep apnea and the risk of incident gout: a population-based, body mass Index-Matched cohort study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2015;67:3298–302.
- 37 Singh JA, Cleveland JD. Gout and the risk of incident obstructive sleep apnea in adults 65 years or older: an observational study. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2018;14:1521–7.
- 38 Sigurdardottir V, Drivelegka P, Svärd A, *et al.* Work disability in gout: a population-based case-control study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:399–404.
- 39 Rai SK, Burns LC, De Vera MA, *et al.* The economic burden of gout: a systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2015;45:75–80.
- 40 Perez-Ruiz F, Calabozo M, Erasuskin GG, *et al.* Renal underexcretion of uric acid is present in patients with apparent high urinary uric acid output. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;47:610–3.
- 41 Köttgen A, Albrecht E, Teumer A, *et al.* Genome-Wide association analyses identify 18 new loci associated with serum urate concentrations. *Nat Genet* 2013;45:145–54.
- 42 Okada Y, Sim X, Go MJ, *et al.* Meta-Analysis identifies multiple loci associated with kidney function-related traits in East Asian populations. *Nat Genet* 2012;44:904–9.
- 43 Matsuo H, Yamamoto K, Nakaoka H, *et al.* Genome-Wide association study of clinically defined gout identifies multiple risk loci and its association with clinical subtypes. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:652–9.
- 44 Noordzij TC, Leunissen KM, Van Hooff JP. Renal handling of urate and the incidence of gouty arthritis during cyclosporine and diuretic use. *Transplantation* 1991;52:64–6.
- 45 McAdams-DeMarco MA, Law A, Maynard JW, *et al.* Risk factors for incident hyperuricemia during mid-adulthood in African American and white men and women enrolled in the ARIC cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2013;14:347.
- 46 Narang RK, Vincent Z, Phipps-Green A, *et al.* Population-specific factors associated with fractional excretion of uric acid. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2019;21:234.
- 47 Yamashita S, Matsuzawa Y, Tokunaga K, *et al.* Studies on the impaired metabolism of uric acid in obese subjects: marked reduction of renal urate excretion and its improvement by a low-calorie diet. *Int J Obes* 1986;10:255–64.
- 48 Emmerson BT. Alteration of urate metabolism by weight reduction. *Aust N Z J Med* 1973;3:410–2.
- 49 Mandal AK, Mount DB. The molecular physiology of uric acid homeostasis. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2015;77:323–45.
- 50 Isenberg DA, Shoenfeld Y. The rheumatologic complications of hematologic disorders. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1983;12:348–58.
- 51 Ichida K, Matsuo H, Takada T, *et al.* Decreased extra-renal urate excretion is a common cause of hyperuricemia. *Nat Commun* 2012;3:764.
- 52 Major TJ, Topless RK, Dalbeth N, *et al.* Evaluation of the diet wide contribution to serum urate levels: meta-analysis of population based cohorts. *BMJ* 2018;363:k3951.
- 53 Tin A, Marten J, Halperin Kuhns VL, *et al.* Target genes, variants, tissues and transcriptional pathways influencing human serum urate levels. *Nat Genet* 2019;51:1459–74.
- 54 Nakayama A, Nakaoka H, Yamamoto K, *et al.* Gwas of clinically defined gout and subtypes identifies multiple susceptibility loci that include urate transporter genes. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:869–77.
- 55 Dehghan A, Köttgen A, Yang Q, *et al.* Association of three genetic loci with uric acid concentration and risk of gout: a genome-wide association study. *Lancet* 2008;372:1953–61.
- 56 Modan M, Halkin H, Fuchs Z, *et al.* Hyperinsulinemia—a link between glucose intolerance, obesity, hypertension, dyslipoproteinemia, elevated serum uric acid and internal cation imbalance. *Diabete Metab* 1987;13:375–80.
- 57 Facchini F, Chen YD, Hollenbeck CB, *et al.* Relationship between resistance to insulin-mediated glucose uptake, urinary uric acid clearance, and plasma uric acid concentration. *JAMA* 1991;266:3008–11.
- 58 Palmer TM, Nordestgaard BG, Benn M, *et al.* Association of plasma uric acid with ischaemic heart disease and blood pressure: Mendelian randomisation analysis of two large cohorts. *BMJ* 2013;347:f4262.
- 59 Lyngdoh T, Vuistiner P, Marques-Vidal P, *et al.* Serum uric acid and adiposity: deciphering causality using a bidirectional Mendelian randomization approach. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e39321.
- 60 Oikonen M, Wendelin-Saarenhovi M, Lyytikäinen L-P, *et al.* Associations between serum uric acid and markers of subclinical atherosclerosis in young adults: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Atherosclerosis* 2012;223:497–503.
- 61 Maglio C, Peltonen M, Neovius M, *et al.* Effects of bariatric surgery on gout incidence in the Swedish obese subjects study: a non-

- randomised, prospective, controlled intervention trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:688–93.
- 62 Dalbeth N, Chen P, White M, *et al*. Impact of bariatric surgery on serum urate targets in people with morbid obesity and diabetes: a prospective longitudinal study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:797–802.
 - 63 Nielsen SM, Bartels EM, Henriksen M, *et al*. Weight loss for overweight and obese individuals with gout: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:1870–82.
 - 64 Yokose C, McCormick N, Rai SK, *et al*. Effects of low-fat, Mediterranean, or low-carbohydrate weight loss diets on serum urate and cardiometabolic risk factors: a secondary analysis of the dietary intervention randomized controlled trial (direct). *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:2812–20.
 - 65 Dessein PH, Stanwix AE, Joffe BI. Cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis versus osteoarthritis: acute phase response related decreased insulin sensitivity and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol as well as clustering of metabolic syndrome features in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res* 2002;4:R5.
 - 66 Zhu Y, Zhang Y, Choi HK. The serum urate-lowering impact of weight loss among men with a high cardiovascular risk profile: the multiple risk factor intervention trial. *Rheumatology* 2010;49:2391–9.
 - 67 Maynard JW, McAdams DeMarco MA, Baer AN, *et al*. Incident gout in women and association with obesity in the Atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *Am J Med* 2012;125:717.e9–17.
 - 68 Krishnan M, Major TJ, Topless RK, *et al*. Discordant association of the CREBRF rs373863828 a allele with increased BMI and protection from type 2 diabetes in Māori and Pacific (Polynesian) people living in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Diabetologia* 2018;61:1603–13.
 - 69 Choi HK, Liu S, Curhan G. Intake of purine-rich foods, protein, and dairy products and relationship to serum levels of uric acid: the third National health and nutrition examination survey. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:283–9.
 - 70 Choi HK, Curhan G. Beer, liquor, and wine consumption and serum uric acid level: the third National health and nutrition examination survey. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;51:1023–9.
 - 71 Choi HK, Atkinson K, Karlson EW, *et al*. Purine-Rich foods, dairy and protein intake, and the risk of gout in men. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:1093–103.
 - 72 Choi HK, Atkinson K, Karlson EW, *et al*. Alcohol intake and risk of incident gout in men: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2004;363:1277–81.
 - 73 Zhang Y, Chen C, Choi H, *et al*. Purine-Rich foods intake and recurrent gout attacks. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1448–53.
 - 74 Zhang Y, Woods R, Chaisson CE, *et al*. Alcohol consumption as a trigger of recurrent gout attacks. *Am J Med* 2006;119:800.e11–16.
 - 75 Clifford AJ, Riumallo JA, Young VR, *et al*. Effect of oral purines on serum and urinary uric acid of normal, hyperuricemic and gouty humans. *J Nutr* 1976;106:428–34.
 - 76 Zöllner N, Griebisch A. Diet and gout. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1974;41:435–42.
 - 77 Juraschek SP, Gelber AC, Choi HK, *et al*. Effects of the dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet and sodium intake on serum uric acid. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016;68:3002–9.
 - 78 Juraschek SP, Yokose C, McCormick N, *et al*. Effects of dietary patterns on serum urate: results from the DASH randomized trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. In Press 2020. doi:10.1002/art.41614. [Epub ahead of print: 08 Dec 2020].
 - 79 Belanger MJ WC, Mukamal KJ, *et al*. Effects of dietary macronutrients on serum urate: results from the OmniHeart trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2020:nqaa424.
 - 80 Rai SK, Fung TT, Lu N, *et al*. The dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet, Western diet, and risk of gout in men: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;357:j1794.
 - 81 Choi HK, Ford ES, Li C, *et al*. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with gout: the third National health and nutrition examination survey. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;57:109–15.
 - 82 Zhu Y, Pandya BJ, Choi HK. Comorbidities of gout and hyperuricemia in the US general population: NHANES 2007–2008. *Am J Med* 2012;125:e671:679–87.
 - 83 Fisher MC, Rai SK, Lu N, *et al*. The unclosing premature mortality gap in gout: a general population-based study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:1289–94.
 - 84 Roughley MJ, Belcher J, Mallen CD, *et al*. Gout and risk of chronic kidney disease and nephrolithiasis: meta-analysis of observational studies. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2015;17:90.
 - 85 FitzGerald JD, Dalbeth N, Mikuls T. 2020 American College of rheumatology guideline for the management of gout. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020.
 - 86 Roddy E, Clarkson K, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, *et al*. Open-Label randomised pragmatic trial (contact) comparing naproxen and low-dose colchicine for the treatment of gout flares in primary care. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:276–84.
 - 87 Schlesinger N, Detry MA, Holland BK, *et al*. Local ice therapy during bouts of acute gouty arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:331–4.
 - 88 Schlesinger N, Alten RE, Bardin T, *et al*. Canakinumab for acute gouty arthritis in patients with limited treatment options: results from two randomised, multicentre, active-controlled, double-blind trials and their initial extensions. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1839–48.
 - 89 Pascual E, Sivera F. Time required for disappearance of urate crystals from synovial fluid after successful hypouricaemic treatment relates to the duration of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:1056–8.
 - 90 Perez-Ruiz F, Calabozo M, Pijoan JI, *et al*. Effect of urate-lowering therapy on the velocity of size reduction of tophi in chronic gout. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;47:356–60.
 - 91 Dalbeth N, Saag KG, Palmer WE, *et al*. Effects of febuxostat in early gout: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:2386–95.
 - 92 Doherty M, Jenkins W, Richardson H, *et al*. Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of nurse-led care involving education and engagement of patients and a treat-to-target urate-lowering strategy versus usual care for gout: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018;392:1403–12.
 - 93 Dalbeth N, Billington K, Doyle A, *et al*. Effects of allopurinol dose escalation on bone erosion and urate volume in gout: a dual-energy computed tomography imaging study within a randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019;71:1739–46.
 - 94 Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E, *et al*. 2016 updated EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:29–42.
 - 95 Kim SC, Neogi T, Kim E, *et al*. Trends in Utilization of Urate-Lowering Therapies Following the US Food and Drug Administration's Boxed Warning on Febuxostat. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2021;73:542–3.
 - 96 Kong DCH, Sturgiss EA, Dorai Raj AK, *et al*. What factors contribute to uncontrolled gout and hospital admission? A qualitative study of inpatients and their primary care practitioners. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e033726.
 - 97 Vaccher S, Kannangara DRW, Baysari MT, *et al*. Barriers to care in gout: from prescriber to patient. *J Rheumatol* 2016;43:144–9.
 - 98 van Onna M, Hinsenveld E, de Vries H, *et al*. Health literacy in patients dealing with gout: a qualitative study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2015;34:1599–603.
 - 99 Fuller A, Jenkins W, Doherty M, *et al*. Nurse-Led care is preferred over GP-led care of gout and improves gout outcomes: results of Nottingham gout treatment trial follow-up study. *Rheumatology* 2020;59:575–9.
 - 100 Mikuls TR, Cheetham TC, Levy GD, *et al*. Adherence and outcomes with urate-lowering therapy: a Site-Randomized trial. *Am J Med* 2019;132:354–61.
 - 101 Lawrence A, Scott S, Saparelli F, *et al*. Facilitating equitable prevention and management of gout for Māori in Northland, New Zealand, through a collaborative primary care approach. *J Prim Health Care* 2019;11:117–27.
 - 102 Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, *et al*. Principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (pro) measures: report of the ISPOR Task force for translation and cultural adaptation. *Value Health* 2005;8:94–104.