

CLINICAL CASE

Immunogenicity, safety and reactogenicity of a heterogeneous booster following the CoronaVac inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in patients with SLE: a case series

Theerada Assawasaksakul ¹, Seelwan Sathitratanaheewin ²,
Preeyaporn Vichaiwattana,³ Nasamon Wanlapakorn,³ Yong Poovorawan,^{3,4}
Wonngarm Kittanamongkolchai^{5,6,7}

To cite: Assawasaksakul T, Sathitratanaheewin S, Vichaiwattana P, *et al.* Immunogenicity, safety and reactogenicity of a heterogeneous booster following the CoronaVac inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in patients with SLE: a case series. *RMD Open* 2021;**7**:e002019. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2021-002019

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2021-002019>).

Received 14 October 2021
Accepted 9 November 2021

ABSTRACT

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, CoronaVac, an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, has been widely deployed in several countries for emergency use. However, the immunogenicity of the inactivated vaccine was relatively lower when compared to other vaccine types and was even more attenuated in autoimmune patients with rheumatic disease. A third-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in immunosuppressed population is recommended in order to improve immune response. However, the data were limited to those initially received mRNA or viral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Thus, we aimed to describe the safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) who received a heterogeneous booster SARS-CoV-2 vaccine following the initial CoronaVac inactivated vaccine series. Our findings support that the third booster dose of mRNA or viral vector vaccine following the inactivated vaccine is well tolerated and elicited a substantial humoral and cellular immune response in inactive patients with SLE having maintenance immunosuppressive therapy without interruption of immunosuppressive medications.

CoronaVac, an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, has been widely deployed in several countries for emergency use. The immunogenicity of the inactivated vaccine has been shown to be substantially lower when compared with other vaccine types¹ and more attenuated in patients with autoimmune rheumatic disease.² Cumulative evidence suggests that a third dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in immunosuppressed populations improve immune response. Booster data have so far been limited to persons initially receiving mRNA or viral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.^{3–4} We aimed to describe the safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) who

Key messages

- The immunogenicity of the CoronaVac inactivated vaccine has been shown to be lower when compared to other vaccine types and more attenuated in patients with autoimmune rheumatic disease; booster data of mRNA or viral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccine following the inactivated vaccine in immunosuppressed patients are lacking.
- The third booster dose of mRNA or viral vector vaccine following the inactivated vaccine is well tolerated and elicits a substantial humoral and cellular immune response in inactive patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) receiving maintenance immunosuppressive therapy.
- The findings support the use of mRNA or viral vector vaccine as a third booster dose vaccine in patients with SLE who have previously received CoronaVac inactivated vaccine.

received a heterogeneous booster SARS-CoV-2 vaccine following an initial CoronaVac inactivated vaccine series.

Between July and August 2021, eight healthcare workers in Thailand with known SLE who had previously completed the CoronaVac series received a third booster dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA (Pfizer) (n=7) or adenovirus vector vaccine (ChAdOx1(1) (2)) (n=1). All were female, with a median age of 28 years (IQR 22–48 years). Half of the participants were on antimetabolite therapy or calcineurin inhibitor. Immunosuppressive medications were not altered or interrupted during the peri-booster period. The median interval between the completion of CoronaVac vaccine and the booster vaccination was 92 days (IQR 84–96) (table 1).



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Wonngarm
Kittanamongkolchai;
wonngarm.k@chulacrc.org

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with SLE receiving booster vaccine

Patient	Age	Sex	Duration of SLE* (years)	Immunosuppressive treatments	Initial vaccine series	Booster vaccine type	Days from initial to booster vaccine	Anti-RBD pan-IgG \ddagger by Roche Elecsys (U/mL)		Anti-RBD-IgG \ddagger by Abbott assay (AU/mL)		sVNT \ddagger (% inhibition)		IFN- γ ELISpot \S (SFC/10 ⁶ PBMCs)
								Prebooster	Postbooster \ddagger	Prebooster	Postbooster \ddagger	Prebooster (%)	Postbooster (%) \ddagger	
1	27	Female	5	Azathioprine 100 mg/day Ciclosporin 100 mg/day Prednisolone 10 mg/day	CoronaVac	Pfizer	113	342	24 416	275	36 182	16.74	99.70	62
2	19	Female	0	Prednisolone 10 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 1000 mg/week	CoronaVac	Pfizer	94	83	15 076	332	25 719	27.94	98.98	228
3	50	Female	24	Mycophenolate mofetil 1000 mg/day Prednisolone 10 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 400 mg/week	CoronaVac	Pfizer	83	50	18 213	96	26 152	<1	99.42	88
4	50	Female	13	Prednisolone 5 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 1400 mg/week	CoronaVac	ChAdOx1	86	21	6 098	170	9 756	4.79	99.19	414
5	41	Female	24	Prednisolone 5 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 1400 mg/week	CoronaVac	Pfizer	92	32	21 759	104	34 784	<1	99.48	624
6	23	Female	17	Prednisolone 5 mg/day	CoronaVac	Pfizer	72	97	15 087	300	25 955	12.56	94.95	646
7	21	Female	4	Azathioprine 100 mg/week Prednisolone 2.5 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 1000 mg/week	CoronaVac	Pfizer	97	434	85 024	916	80 051	74.93	99.48	1200
8	29	Female	8	Tacrolimus 5 mg/day Prednisolone 2.5 mg/day Hydroxychloroquine 400 mg/week	CoronaVac	Pfizer	92	NA	71 508	NA	96 572	NA	99.64	NA

*Systemic lupus erythematosus.
 \dagger 14 days after booster vaccination.
 \ddagger SARS-CoV-2 Surrogate Virus Neutralization Test (NeutralISA, Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany) reported as % neutralisation.
 \S IFN- γ ELISpot reported as spot-forming cell per million peripheral blood mononuclear cells.
 \parallel Anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain (RBD) IgG antibody concentrations reported as U/mL or AU/mL.
 ELISpot, enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot; IFN- γ , interferon gamma; NA, not applicable; SFC, spot-forming cell; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus.

Prior to the booster dose, all patients had low-positive anti-spike antibodies with a median level of 83.3 (IQR 31.6–341.6) U/mL, which rose to a median of 19,986 (IQR 15 079–59 735) U/mL at day 14 after the booster vaccination (table 1). Antinucleocapsid antibodies were undetectable in patients 7 and 8, who had a robust humoral response, implying that there was no recent COVID-19 infection resulting in the high antibody titre. NeutralISA (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany) was used to test prebooster and postbooster samples for neutralising activity against the SARS-CoV-2 wild type. Before the booster vaccination, all except patient 7 had negative sVNT results (<35% inhibition). After the booster dose, all patients elicited a strong immune response with at least 95% inhibition.

Cellular immunogenicity was assessed at day 14 after the booster vaccination using direct ex vivo interferon gamma enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot assay with peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The majority of patients had strong cellular immune responses, except patients one and three who received more intensive immunosuppressive therapy including mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine and calcineurin inhibitor (62–88 spot-forming cells/10⁶ per million peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)). While patient 4, who received a viral vector booster, had a lower humoral response (antispikes antibody 6098 U/mL vs 15 076–85 024 U/mL in mRNA booster), the cellular immunogenicity was comparable to those receiving the mRNA booster.

During the study period, none of the patients experienced an SLE flare. The reactogenicity was mild and self-limiting but more prevalent in the booster dose than in the initial CoronaVac vaccination (online supplemental figure 1). The most common complaint was injection site pain followed by fatigue and fever.

Given the growing concern regarding the immunogenicity of the inactivated vaccine in immunosuppressed autoimmune patients, this is the first study to show improved humoral and cellular response to the heterogeneous booster vaccine in patients with SLE who had previously received an inactivated vaccine. In our SLE cohort, we observed a stronger humoral immunogenicity to an additional vaccine dose than previously reported among organ transplant recipients, autoimmune disease patients and healthy individuals receiving triple-dose CoronaVac vaccines.^{3–5} This is possibly due to the younger age, lower immunosuppressive therapy, and different initial and booster vaccine types in our cohort. It is known that the cellular immune response caused by inactivated vaccines is generally weak. Our findings show that a booster dose of mRNA or viral vector vaccine enhanced strong cellular immune responses, though responses were weaker in those given an antimetabolite or calcineurin inhibitor.

This study is limited by its observational study design and small sample of patients. It also may not offer generalisability to active patients with SLE having a higher dose of immunosuppressive therapy.

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic continues to put immunosuppressed autoimmune patients at great risk of severe disease and death. Data attempting to isolate an optimum SARS-CoV-2 vaccine regimen for this group are scarce. Our findings provide support that the third booster dose of mRNA or viral vector vaccine following the inactivated vaccine is well tolerated and elicit a substantial humoral and cellular immune response in inactive patients with SLE receiving maintenance immunosuppressive therapy. Further studies are required to tailor the vaccine regimen according to a person's immune status.

Author affiliations

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

²Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

³Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁴FRS(T), the Royal Society of Thailand, Sanam Sueapa, Dusit, Bangkok, Thailand

⁵Mahachakri Sirindhorn Clinical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁶Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁷Renal Immunology and Transplantation Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Acknowledgements The authors thank Ms Sukanlaya Yoosomsuk and Ms Jariya Pongsaisopon for coordinating the study. Thanks are also due to Dr Suprane Buranapraditkun and Dr Sasiwimol Ubolyam for enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot testing, and Ms Sutthinee Lapchai for sample preparation and coding.

Contributors TA, SS and WK contributed to the conception and design of the work; acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data; and drafting and revising of the work. YP, PV and NW contributed to data acquisition and final approval of the version to be published.

Funding This study was supported by a Rajadapisek Sompot grant.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Theerada Assawasaksakul <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5508-0085>

Seelwan Sathitratanaacheewin <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6286-6534>

REFERENCES

- McDonald I, Murray SM, Reynolds CJ, *et al*. Comparative systematic review and meta-analysis of reactogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. *NPJ Vaccines* 2021;6:74.
- Medeiros-Ribeiro AC, Aikawa NE, Saad CGS. Immunogenicity and safety of the CoronaVac inactivated vaccine in patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a phase 4 trial. *Nat Med* 2021;27:1744–51.
- Hall VG, Ferreira VH, Ku T. Randomized trial of a third dose of mRNA-1273 vaccine in transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med*.
- Connolly CM, Teles M, Frey S, *et al*. Booster-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with autoimmune disease: a case series. *Ann Rheum Dis*;385:annrheumdis-2021-221206.
- Pan H, Wu Q, Zeng G. Immunogenicity and safety of a third dose, and immune persistence of CoronaVac vaccine in healthy adults aged 18–59 years: interim results from a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase 2 clinical trial. *MedRxiv* 2021.