Comparison between idiopathic and VEXAS-relapsing polychondritis: analysis of a French case series of 95 patients
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ABSTRACT

Objective A new adult-onset autoinflammatory syndrome has been described, named VEXAS (Vacuoles, E1 Enzyme, X-linked, Autoinflammatory, Somatic). We aimed to compare the clinical characteristics, the laboratory features and the outcomes between idiopathic-relapsing polychondritis (I-RP) and VEXAS-relapsing polychondritis (VEXAS-RP).

Methods Patients from French retrospective multicentre cohort of RP were separated into two groups: a VEXAS-RP and an I-RP.

Results Compared with patients with I-RP (n=40), patients with VEXAS-RP (n=55) were men (96% vs 30%, p<0.001) and were older at diagnosis (66 vs 44 years, p<0.001). They had a greater prevalence of fever (60% vs 10%, p<0.001), of skin lesions (82% vs 20%, p<0.001), of ocular involvement (57% vs 28%, p=0.01), of pulmonary infiltrates (46% vs 0%, p<0.001), of heart involvement (11% vs 0%, p=0.0336) and with higher median C-reactive protein levels (64 mg/L vs 10 mg/L, p<0.001). Seventy-five per cent of the patients with VEXAS-RP had myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) versus none in I-RP group. The glucocorticoids use, and the number of steroid sparing agents were similar in both groups, but patients with VEXAS-RP had more frequent refractory disease (remission obtained in 27% vs 90%, p=0.0001). VEXAS-RP was associated with higher risk of death: six patients (11%) died in the VEXAS-RP group after a median follow-up of 37 months and none in the I-RP group after a median follow-up of 92 months (p<0.05).

Conclusion We report the largest cohort of VEXAS-RP, characterised by high prevalence of male sex, fever, skin lesion, ocular involvement, pulmonary infiltration, heart involvement, older age and MDS association.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Relapsing polychondritis (RP) could be a part of VEXAS (Vacuoles, E1 Enzyme, X-linked, Autoinflammatory, Somatic) phenotype and UBA1 mutation should be done to exclude VEXAS syndrome.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ VEXAS-RP phenotype have specific features, such as frequent fever, skin lesions and pulmonary infiltrates, and frequent relapse and steroid dependence which distinguish from idiopathic-RP.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY

⇒ UBA1 related pathways should be interesting mechanisms to understand and could trigger pathophysiological studies to understand the inflammatory pathways.

INTRODUCTION

Relapsing polychondritis (RP) is a rare systemic inflammatory disease, with a recent estimated prevalence of 0.71 per million population per year.1 The disease affects the cartilaginous structures of the ears, nose and tracheobronchial tree,2-4 but also joints, skin, eyes, inner ear and cardiovascular system.5-12 The most widely used classification criteria are those by Michet et al.5 The exact cause of RP is still unknown and the pathogenesis remains unclear including genetic susceptibility, especially association with the human leucocyte antigen allele DR4,4,13 immunisation against
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characteterised by somatic mutation (at methionine-
cluster consisted of patients with mild disease.
had the worst prognosis, a second group with laryngotra-
group with haematological disorders, especially MDS,
Diagnosis of MDS was made according to WHO criteria
(UBA1) gene which is located on the X chromosome. 
UBA1 gene encodes for the major E1 enzyme that initi-
tates protein ubiquitination in the cell cytoplasm. VEXAS
syndrome occurs in late adulthood, mostly in men but
and/or French group for immunohaematological disor-
Data were collected by clinicians belonging to the French
file for rare autoinflammatory/autoimmune diseases
Clinical parameters included fever, ear, nose, and large
airway chondritis, ocular, joint, skin, heart, auditory or
vestibular, central nervous system and kidney involve-
ments, venous thrombosis, MDS at diagnosis of first symp-
toms and the follow-up. Laboratory data were performed
at the time of MDS/VEXAS diagnosis and if available at
the time of relapse and remission, and included haemo-
globin, lymphocytes, neutrophils, platelets, C-
reactive protein (CRP) levels, creatininaemia, immunological
screening (antinuclear antibodies, antineutrophil cyto-
plasmic antibodies, rheumatoid factor). Analysis of bone
marrow, karyotype and additional somatic mutations
by Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) were recorded
when available. Treatments, especially the use of gluco-
corticoids, conventional disease-modifying antirheu-
matic drugs (DMARDs), targeted biological drugs and
azacytidine were recorded during the follow-
up. Death was collected for each patient. Remission was defined as
the absence of symptoms with a daily dose of corticoste-
roids <10 mg for more than 3 months. The definition of
relapse is a serious damage which need to change treat-
ment or increase the daily dose of corticosteroids >0.5
mg/kg.

METHODS
Study design and patients
A retrospective multicentre study was conducted in
France between December 2019 and June 2021. Patients
with RP met Michet’s criteria which require the presence
of proven inflammation in at least two of three of the
auricular, nasal or laryngotra cheal cartilages, or proven
inflammation in one cartilage associated to two other
signs, including ocular inflammation, hearing loss, vestib-
ular dysfunction or seronegative inflammatory arthritis.
Diagnosis of MDS was made according to WHO criteria
and classified within International Prognostic Scoring
System (IPSS) and Revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R) prognostic categories.
Patients with RP were classified as VEXAS-RP (RP with
the presence of UBA1 mutation), and I-RP (RP without
UBA1 mutation or without VEXAS syndrome according
to Ferrada’s algorithm based on three clinical variables: male sex, mean corpuscular volume >100 fl and platelet
count < 200 × 10^9/L). Even some patients from I-RP
could not have the UBA1 screening, the absence of any
cytopenia and macrocytosis, the absence of underlying
MDS, the male sex make extremely improbable the
VEXAS syndrome. Even age less than 50 years could also
help to exclude VEXAS syndrome, some few recent cases
described patients with VEXAS nearby 50 years.

Data collection
Data were collected by clinicians belonging to the French
file for rare autoinflammatory/autoimmune diseases
(FAIR2), French group of MDS (GFM), French VEXAS
and/or French group for immunohaematological disor-
ders (MINHEMON).

Clinical parameters included fever, ear, nose, and large
airway chondritis, ocular, joint, skin, heart, auditory or
vestibular, central nervous system and kidney involve-
ments, venous thrombosis, MDS at diagnosis of first symp-
toms and the follow-up. Laboratory data were performed
at the time of MDS/VEXAS diagnosis and if available at
the time of relapse and remission, and included haemo-
globin, lymphocytes, neutrophils, platelets, C-reactive
protein (CRP) levels, creatininaemia, immunological
screening (antinuclear antibodies, antineutrophil cyto-
plasmic antibodies, rheumatoid factor). Analysis of bone
marrow, karyotype and additional somatic mutations
by Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) were recorded
when available. Treatments, especially the use of gluco-
corticoids, conventional disease-modifying antirheu-
matic drugs (DMARDs), targeted biological drugs and
azacytidine were recorded during the follow-up. Death
was collected for each patient. Remission was defined as
the absence of symptoms with a daily dose of corticoste-
roids <10 mg for more than 3 months. The definition of
relapse is a serious damage which need to change treat-
ment or increase the daily dose of corticosteroids >0.5
mg/kg.

UBA1 mutation genetic screening
Sixty-two patients underwent the genetic testing: 55 from
VEXAS-RP group and 7 from I-RP group.

Genomic DNA extracted from bone marrow or blood
samples were analysed by Sanger sequencing or next
generation sequencing to detect mutations of UBA1.

Some case reports from this study have been previously
reported in the French VEXAS cohort. 

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as medians with IQR and numbers
with frequencies. Qualitative variables and quantita-
tive variables were compared using Fisher’s exact and
Kruskal-Wallis tests, respectively. Cumulative incidence curves of remission, relapse and death were generated using Kaplan-Meier and compared using the log rank-test. We used Cox model to obtain HR. Proportional hazards assumptions were checked using Schoenfeld residuals. Regarding remission and death analysis, we considered the date of the relapsing chondritis diagnosis as the inclusion date and the date of last hospital contact or the outcome occurrence date as the last follow-up date. Regarding the relapse subanalysis, the first remission date was considered as the start date of the analyses. Multiple imputation with chained equations (MICE) to handle missing data were used using the R ‘MICE’ package (V.3.5.0). Estimates were pooled across 10 imputed data sets. Two-sided testing was used, with p<0.05 considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using R software V.3.6.0 for Mac (Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

The initial cohort contained two groups: RP with 49 patients and MDS-RP with 42 patients (Figure 1). When VEXAS syndrome have been described, the genomic DNA was analysed, when available, to detect the somatic mutations of UBA1. We screened for the UBA1 mutations 21 patients from the group MDS-RP, all had confirmed mutations in UBA1, and 11 patients from the group RP, with 4 of them had confirmed mutations in UBA1 gene. Thus, two new groups were constituted based on UBA1 mutational status, RP with 45 patients and VEXAS-RP with 25 patients; and patients from the group MDS-RP who did not undergo genetic testing were all excluded. In order to constitute a I-RP group without VEXAS syndrome, five patients were excluded because of MCV >100 fL or platelet <200000/mm3 or age at diagnostic ≥ 65 years. For the other 33 patients, we considered them negative according to the algorithm developed by Ferrada et al. In addition to patients recruited within our cohort, patients with UBA1 mutations identified in the French VEXAS cohort who met diagnostic criteria for RP (30 patients) were also included in the group VEXAS-RP of this study. In the VEXAS-RP group, the presence of

![Figure 1](http://rmdopen.bmj.com/)
UBAI somatic mutations on genetic sequencing was documented in all the participants.

Finally, two groups were analysed: I-RP with 40 patients and VEXAS-RP with 55 patients.

**Clinical characteristics of patients with VEXAS-RP compared with I-RP**

**Clinical presentation and laboratory findings**

Compared with patients with I-RP (n=40), patients with VEXAS-RP (n=55) were mostly men (96% vs 30%, $p<0.001$) and older at diagnosis (66 vs 44 years, $p<0.001$) (table 1). Two women from RP-VEXAS have acquired monosomy X related to MDS. They had a greater prevalence of fever (60% vs 10%, $p<0.001$), skin lesions (82% vs 20%, $p<0.001$), ocular involvement (57% vs 28%, $p=0.01$), pulmonary infiltrates (46% vs 0%, $p<0.001$) and heart involvement (11% vs 0%, $p=0.0336$). MDS was common in patients with VEXAS-RP (75%), whereas no patient with I-RP had MDS ($p<0.001$). Peripheral joint involvement was frequent in both groups (67% vs 68%, $p=1$) and no significant difference was noted in the prevalence of costochondritis (12% vs 25%, $p=0.339$), of large airway chondritis (25% vs 45%, $p=0.064$) and of venous thrombosis (26% vs 20%, $p=0.635$). Patients with I-RP had a higher prevalence of nose chondritis (70% vs 47%, $p=0.047$), without any difference of ear chondritis (90% vs 94%, $p=0.698$). In patients with VEXAS-RP, median CRP levels were higher (69 mg/L vs 10 mg/L, $p<0.001$) whereas haemoglobin, platelets and neutrophils levels were significantly lower (table 1).

**Therapeutic management and outcome**

There were no differences between the two groups in term of glucocorticoid use and daily median prednisone dose, of number of combined DMARDs and of biological drugs. The rate of glucocorticoid dependency was similar into the two groups (71% vs 62%, $p=0.459$), as were the frequencies of DMARDs and biological drugs. Seventeen of patients with VEXAS-RP (31%) had been treated by azacitidine. All of them had underlying MDS and experienced MDS haematological complete response (n=; 73%), partial response (n=; 13%) and none in 13%. Azacitidine was given for steroid dependent or refractory autoinflammatory symptoms in 10/17 patients (%) and among them 5 had a complete clinical and laboratory response and the 5 remaining cases at least 50% improvement of clinical and laboratory CRP parameters. The number of patients who achieved remission under treatment was lower in VEXAS-RP group (27% vs 90%, $p<0.0001$). Besides, patients with VEXAS-RP have time to remission which was significantly longer than those with I-RP (14% vs 43% at 1 year, 27% vs 73% at 5 years and 48% vs 88% at 10 years, $p=0.0001$) (figure 2). Patients with VEXAS-RP tended to have a higher risk of relapse (28% vs 19% at 1 year, 64% vs 37% at 5 years and 64% vs 42% at 10 years, $p=0.06$) (figure 3). No significant factor among age, disease duration, different clinical features, CRP levels and number of immunosuppressive therapies, have been associated with the remission and the risk of relapse in multivariate analysis (data not shown). Six patients (11%) died in the VEXAS-RP group after a median follow-up of 37 months and none in the I-RP group after a median follow-up of 92 months. VEXAS-RP was associated with a higher risk of death (2% vs 0% at 1 year, 5% vs 0% at 5 years and 36% vs 0% at 10 years, $p=0.002$) (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we highlight several important features of VEXAS-RP, such as characterised by the fever, skin lesions and pulmonary impairment, and higher rates of steroid dependence and relapse rates. Since the somatic mutations of UBAI causing the VEXAS syndrome had been described by Beck et al in October 2020,30 13 and 9 cases of VEXAS-RP were reported, respectively, by Ferrada et al36 and Tsuchida et al37 In the publication by Ferrada et al, main clinical features of VEXAS-RP included fever (100%), ear (100%) and nose (92%) chondritis, skin involvement (85%), pulmonary infiltrates (77%) and venous thrombosis (62%), and the disease was restricted to men ≥45 years at disease onset.36 We report here the largest cohort of VEXAS-RP, which was compared with 40 patients with I-RP. Like the previous studies, our patients with VEXAS-RP were almost all men (96%) and older than patients with I-RP at disease onset (66 vs 44 years, and 62 years in Ferrada’s cohort). The two VEXAS-RP who were women had both acquired monosomy X. We confirmed the higher prevalence of fever (60%), of skin lesion (82%) and of pulmonary infiltrates (46%) in VEXAS-RP group whereas large airway chondritis seemed to be more frequent in I-RP group. In the present study, we add other clinical key features into the spectrum of VEXAS-RP, such as the highest prevalence of ocular and heart involvement. Previous study by Ferrada et al included 13 patients with VEXAS-RP and no patient with VEXAS-RP had chondritis of the large airways or parasternal joint in the Ferrada’s cohort whereas it was possible in our patients without difference between the two groups for the parasternal joint chondritis. Another new data are the highest prevalence of nose chondritis in patients with I-RP. In the original description of VEXAS syndrome by Beck et al, venous thrombosis seemed to be a frequent clinical feature and Ferrada reported that it was more prevalent in VEXAS-RP than in I-RP (62% vs 5%), but the prevalence of venous thrombosis was quite similar in our paper about 25% in each group. Dion et al shows that kidney involvement in RP which was described in up to 22% of patients in previous studies,4 38 most frequently consistent with lesions of necrotising glomerulonephritis.29 In our study we had only 4 cases
Table 1: Clinical characteristics of patients with VEXAS-RP compared with I-RP (n=95)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I-RP</th>
<th>VEXAS-RP</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender (%)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td>53 (96)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis of RP (median (IQR)) (years)</td>
<td>44(38, 52)</td>
<td>66(61, 72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever (%)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>33 (60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondritis (%)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>52 (88)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear chondritis (%)</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
<td>50 (94)</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal chondritis (%)</td>
<td>28 (70)</td>
<td>25 (47)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular involvement (%)</td>
<td>11 (28)</td>
<td>30 (57)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uveitis (%)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleritis (%)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcleritis (%)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>15 (28)</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal vasculitis (%)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral joint involvement (%)</td>
<td>27 (68)</td>
<td>36 (67)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costochondritis (%)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin lesions (%)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>44 (82)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large airway chondritis (%)</td>
<td>18 (45)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary infiltrates (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart involvement (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>0.0336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocarditis (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericarditis (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral or aortic valvular disease (%)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial thrombosis (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortitis (%)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous thrombosis (%)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>14 (26)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>41 (75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system involvement (%)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular dysfunction (%)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deafness sensorineural (%)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (median (IQR)) (g/L)</td>
<td>137(130, 140)</td>
<td>103(90, 120)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (median (IQR)) (× 10^9/L)</td>
<td>257(209, 303)</td>
<td>163(115, 236)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils (median (IQR)) (G/L)</td>
<td>4(3, 5)</td>
<td>2.7(2, 4)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (median (IQR)) (mg/L)</td>
<td>10(2, 23)</td>
<td>69(30, 107)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic glucocorticoids (%)</td>
<td>35 (88)</td>
<td>51 (94)</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid-dependency (%)</td>
<td>24 (62)</td>
<td>37 (71)</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of immunosuppressive medications (median (IQR))</td>
<td>2(1, 3)</td>
<td>1(1, 3)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission (%)</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
<td>15 (27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to remission (median (IQR)) (months)</td>
<td>19(11, 49)</td>
<td>30(12, 69)</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of remission (median (IQR)) (months)</td>
<td>24(4, 76)</td>
<td>7(3, 21)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse (%)</td>
<td>14 (39)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up (median (IQR)) (months)</td>
<td>92(37, 160)</td>
<td>37(15, 76)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I-RP, idiopathic RP; MDS, myelodysplastic syndromes; RP, relapsing polychondritis.
of kidney involvement, all in VEXAS-RP group, and in the French VEXAS cohort of 116 patients, 11 cases of kidney involvement were reported usually without glomerulonephritis.35 In the three clinical phenotypes of RP described by Dion et al, the group with haematological disorders, mostly MDS, had the worst prognosis.29 We observed a high prevalence of MDS in our patients with VEXAS-RP (75% vs 23% in Ferrada’s cohort). In our study, there was no impact of the MDS in the patients with VEXAS-RP in term of treatment, response rates and overall survival suggesting that the poor prognosis is the consequence of the VEXAS syndrome and not of the MDS. These data would have to be confirmed by comparing in patients with RP and MDS those with and without somatic mutations in UBA1. The treatment in RP is not yet codified, mostly based on case reports and few case series. It usually includes glucocorticoids, conventional DMARDs and biological targeted drugs.1 41 42 No difference in treatment management was shown between VEXAS-RP and I-RP especially in glucocorticoids use and dose, and number of steroid sparing agents, except the use of azacytidine in MDS-related VEXAS. The patients with MDS-VEXAS management is still need to be described, and some recent small studies reported the potential benefit of JAK inhibitors in patients with VEXAS syndrome43 and of azacitidine. Azacitidine was effective in 5 out of 11 patients with VEXAS with MDS in a French series44 and 2 out of 3 patients in a Dutch series.45 Among patients with VEXAS with RP, our case series confirmed that azacitidine could be effective to control autoinflammatory symptoms even in low-risk MDS. Our study has some limitations, mainly the lack of UBA1 status for 33 patients in the I-RP group, related to the retrospective and multicentre design of a recently described disease. This study was designed to exclude MDS from the I-RP, so it is difficult to compare VEXAS-RP and I-RP with respect to the presence or absence of MDS.

CONCLUSION
We report the largest cohort of VEXAS-RP, which is characterised by high prevalence of male sex, fever, skin lesion, ocular involvement, pulmonary infiltration, heart involvement, older age and MDS association and a low risk of nose chondritis compared with the I-RP. Patients with VEXAS-RP have a worse prognosis with more refractory disease and higher mortality. Despite some important differences in I-RP from VEXAS-RP, the UBA1-related pathways should be interesting mechanisms to understand even in not mutated UBA molecules and could trigger further pathophysiological studies to understand the inflammatory pathways implicated in various RP diseases.

Figure 2 Time to remission survival between idiopathic and VEXAS-associated relapsing polychondritis.

Figure 3 Relapse rates between idiopathic and VEXAS-associated relapsing polychondritis.

Figure 4 Deaths rates between idiopathic and VEXAS-associated relapsing polychondritis.
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