Does gender influence outcome measures similarly in patients with spondyloarthritis? Results from the ASAS-perSpA study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the influence of gender on disease outcomes in patients with spondyloarthritis (SpA), including across SpA subtypes.

Methods Data from 4185 patients of 23 countries with a diagnosis of axial SpA (axSpA), peripheral SpA (pSpA) or psoriatic arthritis (PsA) from the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS)-perSpA study were analysed. Associations between gender and disease activity (Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS)), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (BASDAI), C-reactive protein (CRP), function (Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI)) and overall health (ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI), European Quality of Life Five Dimension (EQ-5D)) outcomes were investigated. Multilevel multivariable linear mixed models adjusted for relevant confounders (and stratified by disease subtype in case of a relevant interaction) were used.

Results In total, 65%, 10% and 25% of patients had axSpA, pSpA and PsA, respectively. axSpA was more frequent in males (68%), whereas pSpA and PsA were more frequent in females (53% and 52%, respectively). A significant interaction between gender and disease subtype was found for ASDAS, BASDAI and BASFI. While being female independently contributed to higher BASDAI across the three disease subtypes (with varying magnitude), female gender was only associated with higher ASDAS in pSpA (β (95% CI): 0.36 (0.15 to 0.58)) and PsA (0.25 (0.12 to 0.38)) but not in axSpA (0.016 (−0.07 to 0.11)). No associations were observed between gender and CRP levels. Female gender was associated with higher ASAS HI and EQ-5D, without differences across disease subtype.

Conclusion Female gender is associated with less favourable outcome measures across the SpA spectrum. However, while female gender influences BASDAI across the three subtypes, ASDAS is associated with gender only in pSpA and PsA but not in axSpA. Therefore, ASDAS is an appropriate instrument both for females and males with axSpA.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ There is increasing evidence revealing that spondyloarthritis (SpA) manifests differently in females and males.
⇒ While gender-related clinical differences in axial SpA (axSpA) have been better described, data are limited and inconsistent in patients with peripheral SpA or psoriatic arthritis.
⇒ How gender influences different outcome measures is currently unknown.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ This worldwide study provides information on the frequency of SpA subtypes between males and females, as well as their clinical characteristics.
⇒ Female patients consistently reported worse outcomes than male patients across the SpA spectrum in terms of disease activity, functional disability and overall health.
⇒ The influence of gender on disease outcomes was not similar among the three disease subtypes.
⇒ Female gender influenced Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score across all subtypes while Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) was not associated with gender in axSpA.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ These findings may be a stepping-stone for future research aiming to diminish the gap between females and males.
⇒ Our findings underline the strengths of the ASDAS for disease activity assessment as it is not influenced by gender in axSpA.
⇒ ASDAS should therefore be preferred over other instruments that are more influenced by gender in this disease subtype.

INTRODUCTION

Spondyloarthritis (SpA) encompasses different phenotypic presentations, such as axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA), peripheral spondyloarthritis (pSpA) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA), which affect both females and males.1 Understanding gender differences across subtypes is critical to unveil the disease impact in patients over the entire SpA spectrum.
There is a growing body of research revealing that axSpA manifests differently in females and males. Compared with males, females with an axSpA diagnosis tend to have more frequent peripheral and extramusculoskeletal manifestations (EMM), such as enthesitis and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). However, males with axSpA present more radiographic damage and objective signs of inflammation. Despite the increasing evidence on gender-related differences in axSpA, most studies highlighting these differences have been performed in one single country and raised some methodological concerns. In addition, scientific evidence on gender-related clinical differences is limited and inconsistent in patients with pSpA or PsA. In pSpA, published data evaluating gender-related differences are scarce. A study showed that females with PsA tended to have more frequently polyarthritis as the main joint pattern and to present higher swollen joint count compared with males. A more recent study observed that females with PsA had higher symptom duration and body mass index (BMI), and more tender and swollen joint counts, while the articular pattern, and EMM (including uveitis) were quite similar between both genders. Despite these differences on clinical presentations, no gender-specific diagnosis or management strategies have been proposed in clinical practice.

Several instruments are available to assess outcomes in patients with SpA. In this regard, previous research has identified a higher burden of disease in females with axSpA as compared with males according to different outcomes. Thus, females with axSpA thoroughly report poorer patient-reported outcomes (PROs) for disease activity and functional impairment while males with axSpA usually have more objective signs of inflammation and structural damage. In PsA, studies have shown that females had higher disease activity, acute phase reactants and poorer physical activity and fatigue while psoriasis extension and severity were higher in male patients. These findings raise the question of whether differences are similar for all the monitoring tools and in all disease subtypes, which may be relevant to select the most appropriate tool to assess patients with SpA.

In this regard, the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS)-perSpA study included patients with the three SpA subtypes, and therefore provides a unique opportunity to address research questions concerning gender-related differences across the disease spectrum. Hence, the main aim of this study was to investigate the influence of gender on disease outcomes in patients with SpA including different disease subtypes (axSpA, pSpA and PsA) and whether this influence differed across the SpA subtypes.

**METHODS**

**Study design and patients**

This analysis includes data from the ASAS-perSpA study, an observational, cross-sectional multicentre and international study, with 24 participating countries (23 actively involved) across four continents (Africa, America, Asia and Europe). Patients diagnosed with axSpA, PsA or pSpA by their rheumatologist were recruited consecutively between July 2018 and February 2020. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

**Data collection**

Data were collected during a dedicated visit at each centre.

**Outcome variables**

The following disease outcomes were included in the analysis: (1) disease activity, which was assessed by Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS)-C-reactive protein (CRP), Patient Global Assessment of the disease, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (BASDAI) and CRP elevation at any time during the course of the disease; (2) function: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASI), overall health and functioning: ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI), European Quality of Life Five Dimension (EQ-5D). Further details regarding outcome measures are available in the study main manuscript.

The main variable of interest (main predictor) was gender. Other variables of interest that were included in the models and tested as potential confounders were age, educational level, marital status, smoking status, BMI, HLA-B27 carrier status, presence of axial involvement (according to the rheumatologist), history of peripheral arthritis or enthesitis, psoriasis, the presence of concomitant fibromyalgia (according to the treating rheumatologist), use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs during last month, history of conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs) and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) and current steroids intake.

**Statistical analysis**

First, descriptive analyses were performed, initially over the entire sample. Then, patients were stratified according to SpA subtype, and the percentage of females for each of these groups was determined. Comparisons between genders for each disease subtype were performed for sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, as well as for disease outcomes. Results were summarised in terms of means and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and as frequency and percentage for categorical variables. Comparisons between groups were performed with t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, \( \chi^2 \) test and Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. In addition, standardised difference scores were calculated. These are intuitive indexes which measure the effect size between two groups. Compared with a t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test, they are independent of sample size. Absolute values of effect size of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 can be used to represent small, medium
and large effect sizes, respectively. A small effect of 0.2 is not so small as to be trivial.18 19

Second, the independent association between gender and each disease outcome was investigated through univariable and multivariable regression models including gender as the main independent variable and the explored outcome as the dependent variable. All models were adjusted for potential confounders, which were selected a priori for each outcome according to previous knowledge. Specifically, multilevel mixed-effects logistic and linear regression models were applied, as suitable, with patients nested according to their country of residence in the analysis. Mixed-effects model analysis allowed to account simultaneously for the within-country and between-country variances, by including specific means for each country of residence (random intercept).20 All variables with a p value <0.2 in the univariable models were included in the multivariable model and retained if significantly contributing to explain the outcome (p<0.05) or if they were confounders of the main relationship of interest. Separate models were created for different disease outcomes to avoid collinearity. In order to assess whether the relationship between gender and disease outcomes varied according to disease subtype, interactions between gender and disease subtype were tested. Interaction terms with p<0.15 indicated a significant effect modification by disease subtype and models were stratified for subtype in this case. Odds ratios (ORs) or regression coefficients were used as measures of association for categorical and continuous outcomes, respectively, together with 95% CIs.

SPSS software V.24.0 was employed for descriptive analyses and Stata SE V.14 for regression analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 4185 patients with SpA study was included, among whom 2719 (65%) had a diagnosis of axSpA, 433 (10%) pSpA and 1033 (25%) PsA. Patients with axSpA had an average age at the study visit of 42 years, patients with pSpA of 44 years and patients with PsA of 52 years. Overall, the proportion of females was lower in axSpA (32%) but slightly higher in pSpA (32%) and PsA (52%). Percentages of females stratified by region are shown in figure 1. In general, female proportion was higher in Middle East and Africa as compared with the rest of the regions. Across disease subtypes, female patients were less frequently current smokers compared with male patients and had a lower alcohol intake. Concomitant fibromyalgia was more frequent in female patients for all subtypes (axSpA 17% vs 3%, pSpA 18% vs 3%, PsA 19% vs 3%). Additionally, no significant differences between genders were found for the use of bDMARDs. A summary of gender-related differences by subtype of SpA is shown in tables 1–3.

Sociodemographic, disease characteristics and treatments of the 2719 patients with axSpA are shown

Figure 1  Percentage of females stratified by region and disease subtype in the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-perSpA study. axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; pSpA, peripheral spondyloarthritis; PsA, psoriatic arthritis.
in table 1. In the population with axSpA, 861 (32%) patients were female. The two groups differed in relevant characteristics. Female patients were older, had less frequently university education and more frequently family history of SpA as compared with males. With regard to disease characteristics, while female patients presented shorter disease duration, male patients presented more HLA-B27 positivity. Female patients had more frequently peripheral arthritis, enthesitis and psoriasis, also using more csDMARDs than male patients.

Regarding pSpA, among the 433 patients, 230 (53%) were female. The characteristics of these patients are shown in table 2. Of note, females with pSpA were older at the time of the study visit compared with males. There were no differences between genders for most disease characteristics, including family history of SpA, diagnostic delay since the first symptoms, dactylitis, IBD and uveitis; however, while males had more inflammatory back pain and were more HLA-B27 positive, females had more fibromyalgia.
Of the 1033 patients with PsA, 532 (51.5%) were female (table 3). Females with PsA had younger age at the time of the study visit, a higher BMI and less university education, compared with males. Family history of SpA was more frequent among females. No significant differences were found for most of the disease characteristics, although enthesitis and fibromyalgia were more frequent in females.

Assessment of the impact of gender on outcome measures
Overall, females presented worse outcomes across the whole disease spectrum (figure 2), including across components of indices such as BASDAI (online supplemental table S1). Female patients with axSpA reported significantly higher disease activity, more functional disability and worse overall health than male patients. Conversely, females presented less often elevated CRP (65.6% vs 75.4%, p<0.001). Similarly, females with pSpA also reported higher disease activity and more functional disability, and worse overall health than males, while they presented less often elevated CRP (74.9% vs 65.5%, p<0.001). Similarly, females with PsA reported worse outcomes, except that there were no differences in the percentage of elevated CRP in patients with PsA between genders (59.1% of females vs 58.5% of males, p=0.87).

Multivariable models for each outcome, stratified by disease subtype, where appropriate, are shown in table 4. A significant interaction between gender and...
Results are shown as absolute numbers (percentages). Estimates with p<0.05 are highlighted in bold. Standardised difference scores of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 represent small, medium and large effect sizes, respectively.

ASAS, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society; bDMARD, biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; CRP, C reactive protein; csDMARD, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; FIRST, Fibromyalgia Rapid Screening Tool; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; PsO, psoriasis.

disease subtype was found for ASDAS, BASDAI and BASFI. Being female independently contributed to a higher BASDAI across the three disease subtypes with varying magnitude. Hence, in patients with axSpA, being female contributed to an increase of an average of 0.39 units (95% CI: 0.20 to 0.58) in BASDAI; in patients with pSpA 1.22 (95% CI: 0.77 to 1.69) units and in patients with PsA 0.88 (95% CI: 0.59 to 1.16) units (complete model in online supplemental table S2). However, female gender was only associated with higher ASDAS in pSpA (β (95% CI): 0.36 (0.15 to 0.58)) and PsA (0.25 (0.12 to 0.38)) but not in axSpA (0.016 (−0.07 to 0.11)) (online supplemental table S3). In addition, female gender was likewise associated with higher BASFI in PsA (0.46 (0.20 to 0.72)) (online supplemental table S4). No associations were observed between gender and CRP levels. Finally, female gender was associated with higher ASAS HI (0.90 (0.70 to 1.10)) and EQ-5D (−0.02 (−0.03 to −0.01)), without significant differences across disease subtypes (online supplemental table S5).

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigates differences between genders across the SpA spectrum within the same cohort, especially related to disease outcomes. First, it provides an overall distribution of gender across SpA subtypes, namely axSpA, pSpA and PsA. While sociodemographic and clinical differences between genders varied across the disease spectrum,
female patients consistently reported worse outcomes. More specifically, females with axSpA, pSpA and PsA reported higher disease activity, functional limitation and worst overall health than males. However, the influence of gender on disease outcomes was heterogeneous among the three disease subtypes. In this respect, female gender influenced BASDAI across all subtypes whereas ASDAS was not influenced by gender in axSpA.

Gender distribution in our study, where patients were consecutively recruited, shows that axSpA is more frequent in males whereas the proportion of female gender is higher in pSpA and PsA. Concerning other sociodemographic and disease characteristics in patients with axSpA, most results are in accordance with the current literature.21 Thus, female with axSpA were older, presented less HLA-B27 positivity, had more peripheral manifestations and more fibromyalgia, which is aligned with previous studies.10 22 On the other hand, while a recent meta-analysis showed that the diagnostic delay in axSpA is longer for females compared with males (8.8 vs 6.5 years),23-25 our analysis only observed a short difference (6.2 vs 5.6 years), which was not statistically significant. Relating to pSpA and gender differences, previous data were very scarce, and limited to non-adjusted comparisons.1 26 The evaluation of gender differences in PsA is more difficult than in axSpA due to the heterogeneity of the disease, with different articular patterns.27 The main accepted difference across gender in PsA concerns axial involvement, observed generally more frequently in males with PsA.6 28 Nevertheless, in previous studies, comparisons using gender as the stratifying variable were established throughout univariate analysis, which hinders the interpretation of disease characteristics within each subtype.

Our analysis shows that females consistently report worse PROs across the SpA spectrum as compared with males. In this sense, evidence in axSpA has shown that the burden of disease is higher for females than for males, with more affected disease activity and PRO measures.10 29 Similar to patients with axSpA, females in our study reported consistently worse outcomes for all the measures in pSpA and PsA. To our knowledge, this had not been previously assessed in adjusted analyses through the entire SpA spectrum. Moreover, even unadjusted comparisons, which may subject to bias and therefore be misleading, are very scarce in patients with pSpA. In the ESPeranza study, in which female patients showed higher rates of functional limitation, while a more recent publication on the real-world Spondyloarthritis Italian Registry: Evidence from a National Pathway (SIRENA) study showed that female patients presented higher disease activity, and worse PROs compared with male patients.4 30 Regarding PsA, a recent study showed gender differences in the clinical burden.
Table 4  Multivariable multilevel regression models for the association between gender and disease outcomes (stratified by disease subtype in case of interaction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease subtype</th>
<th>Determinant of interest</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASDAS* (0.6–)</td>
<td>BASDAI† (0–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axSpA</td>
<td>Gender (female vs male)</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.07 to 0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSpA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36 (0.15 to 0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 (0.12 to 0.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models are adjusted by age, gender and education. Each cell represents the results of one model. All results are expressed in β (95% CI). Estimates with p<0.05 are highlighted in bold. Full models are presented in the online supplemental material.

*Also adjusted for marital status, BMI, smoking, axial involvement, peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, fibromyalgia, NSAIDs, steroids, csDMARDs, bDMARDs.
†Also adjusted for marital status, BMI, smoking, axial involvement, peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, psoriasis, fibromyalgia, NSAIDs, bDMARDs.
‡Also adjusted for marital status, BMI, ASDAS, radiographic damage, fibromyalgia, NSAIDs, bDMARDs.
§Also adjusted for marital status, BMI, radiographic damage, comorbid NSAIDs, steroids, csDMARDs.
¶Also adjusted for smoking, ASDAS, BASFI, peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, fibromyalgia.
**Also adjusted for BMI, smoking, ASDAS, BASFI, radiographic damage, HLA-B27, enthesitis, fibromyalgia.

ASAS HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society Health Index; ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; bDMARDs, biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C reactive protein; csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; EQ-SD, European Quality of Life Five Dimension; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; pSpA, peripheral spondyloarthritis.
need for improvement of instruments to assess predominantly peripheral disease, in which all current measurement tools investigated in this study are affected by gender. In this sense, more research is needed to understand why females report poorer outcomes as compared with males.

This study presents some potential limitations. First, most of the instruments used to assess different disease domains (eg, disease activity) have been validated in axSpA but not in pSpA or PsA. Besides, other validated instruments for PsA, such as Psoriatic Arthritis Impact of Disease were not used. However, given the similarities across disease spectrum and the limitation on the assessment of other specific instruments for these subtypes, we consider these tools may add information for this research purpose and acknowledge that other instruments may be more appropriate to assess certain outcomes in pSpA and PsA. In fact, they have been used and validated to some extent in pSpA and PsA studies. 40–45 It would have been relevant to assess treatment effectiveness; in this sense, although there is some evidence that female patients with axSpA who receive bDMARD therapy have shown reduced rates of therapeutic response compared with male patients, there is a need for studies in this regard. 44 45 However, the cross-sectional design of this study does not allow to assess properly treatment effectiveness and the use of outcomes for this purpose. Another limitation was that data to identify the gender of the included patients were reported as either female or male, and therefore transgender population was not specified. Of note, diagnosis was established by the disease that better described the patient predominant symptoms at the study visit according to the rheumatologist, while some of the disease characteristics referred to the presence of current or past symptoms. This may have overestimated the presence of some symptoms such as inflammatory back pain. Moreover, most centres were tertiary institutions with ASAS members specialised in SpA. This may have led to a selection bias, with a meticulous management of patients with SpA and a higher prevalence of axSpA and pSpA as compared with PsA that might not be generalisable. As patients were recruited consecutively, people may extrapolate these results as the actual prevalence of the disease subtypes, which is not accurate. On the other hand, such a large sample of patients with SpA including the most relevant disease subtypes from a multinational setting is undoubtedly robust evidence that helps understand gender-related differences in the whole spectrum of SpA.

To summarise, there are several relevant gender-related differences in disease outcomes across SpA subtypes. Female gender was associated with less favourable outcomes across the SpA spectrum, except for CRP in which there were no differences between gender. While female gender influenced BASDAI across the three disease subtypes, ASDAS was not associated with gender in axSpA. These results demonstrate that ASDAS is an appropriate instrument in clinical practice both for females and males with axSpA and therefore should be preferred over other instruments, which are affected by gender. Further research that evaluates whether gender-specific management can improve outcomes will help to promote balanced healthcare while heading towards precision-based decision-making in rheumatology.
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