1	SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
2	
3	
4	Work participation in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: High prevalence of negative
5	workplace experiences and long-term work impairment
6	Uta Kiltz, Kirsten Hoeper, Ludwig Hammel, Sebastian Lieb, Andreas Hähle, Dirk Meyer-Olson
7	
8	Contents: Supplementary Tables S1 – S8 and Supplementary Figure legends S1 – S4
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

31 Supplementary Table 1. List of study investigators at each participating site

32

Site	Investigator and other	Position / Role	Site address	Patients	
No.	important participants			enrolled	
	Prof. Dr. med. Dirk Meyer-	Principal Investigator	Medizinische Hochschule		
	Olson		Hannover, Hannover,		
	Christiane Bartels	Study Nurse	30625 Germany		
1	Elif Sensu	Study Nurse	m&i Fachklinik Bad	134	
	Nadine Lowald	Study Nurse	Pyrmont/MVZ		
	Katharina Falke	Study Nurse	Weserbergland, Bad Pyrmont, 31812 Germany		
	PD Dr. med. Uta Kiltz	Principal Investigator	Phoumazontrum		
	Dr. med. Ioana Andreica	Sub-Investigator	Rubrachiet Herne 11619		
2	Styliani Tsiami	Sub-Investigator	Germany	102	
2	Doris Morzeck	Study Nurse	Ruhr Universität Bochum	102	
	Dagmar Krinitzki	Study Nurse	1/1801 Germany		
	Gordana Brnos	Study Nurse	44001 Germany		
	Prof. Dr. med. Torsten Witte	Principal Investigator	Madizinisaha Hashsahula		
2	Dr. med. Elke Riechers	Sub-Investigator		20	
3	Alexandra Röhl	Study Nurse	Hannover, Hannover,	26	
	Gudrun Melke	Study Nurse	30625 Germany		
4	Prof. Dr. med. Monika Reuss-	Drin singl Investigator	Bad Bocklet, 97708	0	
4	Borst	Principal Investigator	Germany	9	
5	Dr. med. Gabriele Lorenz	Principal Investigator	Chempitz 09130 Germany	15	
5	Claudia Richter	Study Nurse	cheminitz, 05130 Germany	40	
6	Dr. med. Florian Haas	Principal Investigator	Tübingen 72072 Germany	70	
U	Katja Backes	Study Nurse	Tubiligen, 72072 Germany	,,,	
7	Dr. Ilka Schwarze	Principal Investigator	Loinzig 04120 Cormany	00	
/	Melanie Faust	Study Nurse	Leipzig, 04129 Germany	90	
	Dr. med. Peter Kästner	Principal Investigator			
0	Dr. Karsten Brose	Sub-Investigator	Erfurt 00006 Cormony	2	
0	Cornelia Gehlhaas	Study Nurse	Errurt, 99096 Germany	3	
	Daniela Freuße-Stöber	Study Nurse			
0	Dr. med. Christina Eisterhues	Principal Investigator	Braunschweig, 38100	7	
9	Corinna Ebeling	Study Nurse	Germany	/	
10	Dr. med. Silke Zinke	Principal Investigator	Derlin 12055 Cormonu	41	
10	Kristina Lunkowitz	Study Nurse	Berlin, 13055 Germany	41	
11	Dr. med. Thomas Kupka	Principal Investigator	Altenburg, 04600	24	
11	Jessica Hübner	Study Nurse	Germany	24	
4.2	Prof. Dr. med. Herbert Kellner	Principal Investigator	München, 80639	454	
12	Ruta Tautkute	Study Nurse	Germany	154	
4.2	Dr. med. Thilo Klopsch	Principal Investigator	Neubrandenburg, 17033		
13	Caroline Martin	Study Nurse	Germany	82	
	PD Dr. med. Hans-Eckhard	Deinsing Line till t			
	Langer	Principal Investigator	Düsseldorf, 40217		
14	Rebekka Gabernig	Study Nurse	Germany	1	
	Janine Altena	Study Nurse			

Characteristic

Family status

Have children, % (n)

Statistic

67.7 (521)

65.5 (504)

Number of children (n=504) (%):	
1	39.1
2	46.2
3	12.1
4	2.2
5	0.4
HLA-B27 positive children (n=504), % (n)	9.7 (49)
Not specified	48.4 (244)
How many (n=504), n (%)	
1	83.7 (42)
2	12.2 (6)
3	4.1 (2)
Health insurance	
Type of health insurance (multiple answers possible), % (n)	
Statutory	95.1 (732)
Have ever been privately insured and decided to switch to statutory health insurance because of their axSpA condition $(n-732)$ % (n)	1.1 (8)
Private	4 9 (38)
Have ever considered switching to statutory health insurance to	29.0 (11)
have lower health insurance costs in old age (n=38) % (n)	25.0 (11)
Have a private supplementary insurance % (n)	18 6 (143)
Are afraid of being excluded from private supplementary insurance	15.4 (22)
because of their axSpA condition (n=143). % (n)	
Claim benefits from long-term care insurance due to their axSpA	1.3 (10)
condition, % (n)	- (-)
Degree of care (degrees 1 to 5 where 0 is the lowest and 5 the	
highest) (n=10), % (n)	
Degree 1	30.0 (3)
Degree 2	60.0 (6)
Degree 3	10.0 (1)
Degree 4	0.0 (0)
Degree 5	0.0 (0)
Diagnosis	
axSpA diagnosis by rheumatologist, % (n)	77.7 (598)
axSpA diagnosis by another physician, % (n)	
Orthopedist	12.6 (97)
General practitioner	5.2 (40)
Ophthalmologist	0.9 (7)
Gastroenterologist	0.3 (2)
Dermatologist	0.0 (0)
Dermatologist Other specialised physician	3.4 (26)
Dermatologist Other specialised physician Feel well educated about their axSpA condition, % (n))	3.4 (26) 88.7 (683)
Dermatologist Other specialised physician Feel well educated about their axSpA condition, % (n)) Informed their private environment about their disease, % (n)	3.4 (26) 88.7 (683) 95.1 (732)

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of the Full Study Population (n=770) concerning family status, health insurance, diagnosis, and medication.

In a committed relationship (married / living together), % (n)

Biologic treatment	
Taken NSAIDs, % (n)	
Currently	22.2 (171)
Currently and previously	29.0 (223)
Previously	38.6 (297)
Never	10.3 (79)
Taken DMARDs, % (n)	
Currently	10.5 (81)
Currently and previously	4.3 (33)
Previously	27.9 (215)
Never	57.3 (441)
Taken biologics, % (n)	
Currently	35.3 (272)
Currently and previously	16.1 (124)
Previously	8.8 (68)
Taken biologics, % (n)	
Never	39.7 (306)
PROs	
BASDAI extreme values (\geq 8 for at least three of the first five questions),	11.0 (85)
% (n)	

AxSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; DMARDs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PRO, patient-reported outcome; SD, standard deviation

36

37 Supplementary Table 3. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression with WP as target

38 variable (unimpaired n=377) of the ≤47 years age sub-group

Predictors	Impaired WP (n=215)	Unimpair ed WP (n=162)	β _j [constant β₀]	OR	95% CI	χ2*	p-value				
Univariate logistic regression											
General demographic characterization											
Age (years), mean ± SD	36.7 ± 6.9	37.0 ± 6.4	0.007	1.00	0.976 –	0.19	0.667				
			[-0.531]	7	1.038						
BMI, mean ± SD	27.5 ±	26.1 ± 4.5	-0.016	0.98	0.957 –	1.73	0.268				
	14.0		[0.137]	4	1.012						
Male, % (n)	57.7	73.5	0.709	2.03	1.306 -	10.21	0.002				
	(124)	(119)	[-0.750]	1	3.158						
University education, %	23.7 (51)	37.7 (61)	0.664	1.94	1.242 –	8.54	0.004				
(n)			[-0.485]	2	3.037						
In a committed	60.9	58.6 (95)	-0.095	0.90	0.600 -	0.20	0.654				
relationship, % (n)	(131)		[-0.226]	9	1.378						
Work characterization											
Full time employment, %	62.8	85.2	1.226	3.40	2.038 –	24.37	<0.001				
(n)	(135)	(138)	[-1.204]	7	5.698						

Short-term absenteeism (WPAI-axSpA), mean ± SD	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0					
Short-term presenteeism (WPAI-axSpA), mean ± SD	0.5 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1					
Clinical characterization							
Disease duration (years)	9.0 ± 9.0	10.5 ± 9.2	0.017	1.01 8	0.995 – 1.041	2.32	0.131
Biologic treatment % (n)	47 0	58 6 (95)	[-0.452] 0.470	1 60	1 060	5.05	0.025
	(101)	50.0 (55)	0.470	0	2.416	5.05	0.025
DDO:	· · /		[-0.532]				
PRUS	70124	24+20	0.442	0.00	0.007	120.02	-0.001
	7.8±3.4	3.4 ± 3.0	-0.413	0.66	0.607 -	138.82	<0.001
ASAS-III, Medil ± SD	47+20	20+12	[1.950]	2 0.42	0.722	171 OF	<0.001
RASDAL moon + SD	4.7 ± 2.0	2.0 ± 1.2	-0.852 [2.424]	0.42	0.300 -	1/1.05	<0.001
DAJDAI, Medil ± JD	63 7	99(16)	[2.424] _2 774	, 0.06	0.300	123 08	<0.001
BASDAL>4 % (n)	(137)	5.5 (10)	[0 627]	2	0.035	3	\0.001
BASDAI Eatigue mean +	58+23	28+21	-0 567	0 56	0 505 -	135 65	<0.001
SD	510 - 210	2.0 2 2.1	[2.102]	7	0.638	100.00	101001
BASDAI Duration	3.5 ± 2.5	1.6 ± 1.8	-0.461	0.63	0.553 –	68.76	<0.001
morning stiffness, mean ± SD			[0.793]	1	0.719		
BASFI, mean ± SD	3.7 ± 2.3	1.2 ± 1.4	-0.766	0.46	0.391 –	137.64	<0.001
			[1.359]	5	0.552		
Multivariate logistic							
regression							
Constant			2.885				
ASAS-HI			-0.178	0.83 7	0.745 – 0.940		0.003
BASDAI			-0.513	0.59 9	0.406 – 0.883		0.010
BASDAI ≥4			0.027	1.02 8	0.368 – 2.867		0.959
BASDAI Fatigue			-0.067	0.93 6	0.773 – 1.133		0.494
BASDAI Duration			0.024	1.03	0.866 –		0 706
morning stiffness			0.034	5	1.236		0.706
BASFI			-0.175	0.83 9	0.662 – 1.064		0.148
Full model					192.54		<.001

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; β j, regression coefficient; ASAS-HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-Health Index; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; n, number of patients; OR, odds ratio calculated as exp(β_i); SD, standard deviation; WP, work participation; χ 2, Chisquared statistic

*For univariate models df=1; for the multivariate full model df=14

40 Supplementary Table 4. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression with WP as target

41 variable (unimpaired n=318) of the >47 years age sub-group

Predictors	Impaired WP	Unimpair ed WP	β _i [constant	OR	95% CI	χ2*	p-value				
	(n=238)	(n=80)	β ₀								
Univariate logistic regression											
General demographic cha	racterization	1									
Age (years), mean ± SD	55.6 ± 4.6	54.5 ± 5.1	-0.055	0.94 7	0.894 -	3.72	0.060				
BMI mean + SD	29/1+	288+96	-0.004 [-	, 0 90	0.974 -	0 1/	0 723				
Bivil, incan ± 3D	14 0	20.0 ± 5.0	0.004 [6	1 018	0.14	0.725				
Male % (n)	51 3	73 8 (59)	0.983 [-	2 67	1 527 -	12 84	< 0.001				
	(122)	, 5.6 (55)	1.709]	1	4.673	12.01	. 0.001				
University education. %	22.3 (53)	72.5 (58)	0.281 [-	1.32	0.743 -	0.89	0.341				
(n)	- ()	- ()	1.160]	4	2.360						
In a committed	75.2	80.0 (64)	0.276 [-	1.31	0.708 –	0.78	0.384				
relationship, % (n)	(179)		1.305]	8	2.455						
Work characterization											
Full time employment, %	50.8	80.0 (64)	1.353	3.86	2.114 –	22.37	<0.001				
(n)	(121)		[1 000]	8	7.075						
Shart tarm abcontagism	0 2 + 0 2	00+00	[-1.990]								
(WPAI-axSpA), mean ± SD	0.2 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0									
Short-term presenteeism (WPAI-axSpA), mean ± SD	0.5 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1									
Clinical characterization											
Disease duration (vears)	16.1 +	16.7 +	0.004	1.00	0.983 -	0.16	0.687				
	12.2	10.9		4	1.026	0.20	0.007				
			[-1.162]								
Biologic treatment, % (n)	54.2	50.0 (40)	-0.168	0.84	0.509 -	0.42	0.515				
	(129)		[-1.002]	5	1.403						
	82+32	43+30	-0 408	0.66	0 597 –	82 13	<0.001				
ASAS-HI. mean ± SD	0.2 2 0.2		[1.420]	5	0.740	02.20					
,	4.9 ± 1.8	2.3 ± 1.6	-0.953	0.38	0.306 -	115.89	< 0.001				
BASDAI, mean ± SD			[2.231]	6	0.486						
	66.8	16.3 (13)	-2.339	0.09	0.050 –	65.19	<0.001				
	(159)			6	0.185						
BASDAI 24, % (f)	E 0 ± 2 0	20+20	[-0.165]	0 50	0 422	102.20	<0.001				
SD	5.6 ± 2.0	2.9 ± 2.0	-0.091	0.50	0.425 -	102.20	<0.001				
BASDAL Duration	35+2/	17+17	_0 502	0 60	0.594	/5 13	<0.001				
morning stiffness, mean	5.J ± 2.4	1.7 ± 1.7	[0.135]	5	0.724	45.15	<0.001				
BASFL mean + SD	4.8 + 2.2	2.2 ± 1.6	-0.650	0.52	0.439 -	84.15	<0.001				
,			[1.092]	2	0.621						

Multivariate logistic regression				
Constant	3.432			
ASAS-HI	-0.122	0.88 5	0.772 – 1.015	0.081
BASDAI	-0.691	0.50 1	0.305 – 0.824	0.006
BASDAI ≥4	1.049	2.85 6	0.866 – 9.418	0.085
BASDAI Fatigue	-0.172	0.84 2	0.641 – 1.104	0.214
BASDAI Duration morning stiffness	-0.096	0.90 9	0.738 – 1.120	0.369
BASFI	-0.227	0.79 7	0.628 – 1.011	0.061
Full model			133.81	<.001

 β j, regression coefficient; ASAS-HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-Health Index; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; n, number of patients; OR, odds ratio calculated as exp(β_i); WP, work participation; χ 2, Chi-squared statistic *For univariate models df=1; for the multivariate full model df=14

42

43 Supplementary Table 5. Impact of axSpA on the ability to work and participation in

44	vocational reintegration and reh	abilitation programs in t	the WP Study Po	pulation (n=695).
----	----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Characteristic	Statistic
Impact of axSpA at work / the ability to work	
Have ever been "slowed down" professionally by their axSpA / have had a	
change of plans due to their axSpA, % (n)	
Has already happened frequently	8.6 (60)
Has already happened	33.2 (231)
Has never happened	27.6 (192)
The vocational situation has even improved to due axSpA	1.0 (7)
Does not apply	29.5 (205)
Have ever been treated differently from colleagues at work because of	25.6 (178)
their axSpA, % (n)	
Not specified	8.2 (57)
Feel that their axSpA has an impact on the amount of their salary (e.g.,	
raises), % (n)	
Already once	5.0 (35)
Already more often	4.3 (30)
Not specified	11.2 (78)
Vocational reintegration and rehabilitation programs	
Have previously participated in vocational reintegration programs (n=679,	22.8 (155)
excluding patients not employed for other reasons than their axSpA	
condition), % (n)	
Duration of taking part (n=155), mean ± SD	11.8 ± 14.5
Duration in weeks, % (n)	
1-2	8.4 (13)
3-5	33.5 (52)
6-9	29.0 (45)

Have ever made use of an vocational rehabilitation program in the company (e.g., a retraining measure), % (n)10.2 (71)Did not know4.5 (31)Times using the vocational rehabilitation program (n=71), % (n)67.6 (48)22.3.9 (17)32.8 (2)42.8 (2)51.4 (1)61.4 (1)61.4 (1)710.2 (71)92.8 (2)42.8 (2)51.4 (1)61.4 (1)71.4 (2)73.1.0 (22)5-9 years9.9 (7)2 10 years9.9 (7)2 10 years9.9 (7)2 10 years33.8 (24) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
Did not know4.5 (31)Times using the vocational rehabilitation program (n=71), % (n)67.6 (48)223.9 (17)32.8 (2)42.8 (2)51.4 (1)61.4 (1)61.4 (1)7100 (22)5-9 years9.9 (7)≥ 10 years9.9 (7)≥ 10 years33.8 (24) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
Times using the vocational rehabilitation program (n=71), % (n) 1 67.6 (48) 2 3.9 (17) 3 2.8 (2) 4 2.8 (2) 5 1.4 (1) 6 1.4 (1) 6 1.4 (1) Time since the last vocational rehabilitation program in years (n=71), % (n) < 1 year 18.3 (13) 1-4 years 31.0 (22) 5-9 years 9.9 (7) \geq 10 years 40.8 (29) The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves 33.8 (24) employment agency 31.0 (22) pension insurance 29.6 (21) rheumatologist 21.1 (15) general practitioner 18.3 (13)
167.6 (48)223.9 (17)32.8 (2)42.8 (2)51.4 (1)61.4 (1)61.4 (1)71 year14 year18.3 (13)1-4 years31.0 (22)5-9 years9.9 (7)≥ 10 years40.8 (29)The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71)(multiple answers possible), % (n)33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
2 2 3 4 2 4 2 5 5 1 4 2 5 2 4 2 5 2 4 2 5 2 4 2 2 5 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
32.8 (2)42.8 (2)51.4 (1)61.4 (1)Time since the last vocational rehabilitation program in years (n=71), % (n)8.3 (13) $< 1 year$ 18.3 (13) $1-4 years$ 31.0 (22) $5-9 years$ 9.9 (7) $\geq 10 years$ 40.8 (29)The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n)33.8 (24) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
4 $2.8 (2)$ 5 $1.4 (1)$ 6 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 8 $1.4 (1)$ 7 $1.4 (1)$ 8 $1.4 (1)$ 9 $1.4 (1)$ 10 years $18.3 (13)$ 10 years $9.9 (7)$ ≥ 10 years $40.8 (29)$ 7 $10 (22)$ $10 years$ $33.8 (24)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $33.8 (24)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $31.0 (22)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $31.0 (22)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $11.0 (22)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $11.1 (15)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $11.1 (15) (n)$ $10 years possible), % (n)$ $11.1 (n)$ <
51.4 (1)61.4 (1)Time since the last vocational rehabilitation program in years (n=71), $\%$ (n)1.4 (1) < 1 year18.3 (13) $1-4$ years31.0 (22) $5-9$ years9.9 (7) ≥ 10 years40.8 (29)The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n)33.8 (24) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
61.4 (1)Time since the last vocational rehabilitation program in years (n=71), $\%$ (n)18.3 (13)<1 year
Time since the last vocational rehabilitation program in years (n=71), $\%$ (n)<1 year
% (n) < 1 year 18.3 (13) 1-4 years 31.0 (22) 5-9 years 9.9 (7) ≥ 10 years 40.8 (29) The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves 33.8 (24) employment agency 31.0 (22) pension insurance 29.6 (21) rheumatologist 21.1 (15) general practitioner 18.3 (13)
< 1 year
$1-4$ years $31.0 (22)$ $5-9$ years $9.9 (7)$ ≥ 10 years $40.8 (29)$ The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n) $33.8 (24)$ patients themselves $33.8 (24)$ employment agency $31.0 (22)$ pension insurance $29.6 (21)$ rheumatologist $21.1 (15)$ general practitioner $18.3 (13)$
5-9 years 9.9 (7) ≥ 10 years 40.8 (29) The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves 33.8 (24) employment agency 31.0 (22) pension insurance 29.6 (21) rheumatologist 21.1 (15) general practitioner 18.3 (13)
 ≥ 10 years 40.8 (29) The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71) (multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves 33.8 (24) employment agency 31.0 (22) pension insurance 29.6 (21) rheumatologist 21.1 (15) general practitioner 18.3 (13)
The vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by (n=71)(multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency pension insurance rheumatologist general practitioner18.3 (13)
(multiple answers possible), % (n) patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
patients themselves33.8 (24) employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
employment agency31.0 (22) pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
pension insurance29.6 (21) rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
rheumatologist21.1 (15) general practitioner18.3 (13)
general practitioner 18.3 (13)
o 1 (7)
other specialised physician 8.5 (6)
orthopedist 4.2 (3)
health insurance 4.2 (3)
company/ vocational physician 0.0 (0)
unknown 4.2 (3)
Had to change employers because of their axSpA condition, % (n) 14.1 (98)
Not specified 4.5 (31)
Due to their condition, occurred (multiple answers possible), % (n)
a reorganisation of the workplace by the employer or the pension 18.0 (125) insurance
an in-company transfer to a new position 5.8 (40)
a retraining measure by the employment agency 4.2 (29)
a retraining measure by the pension insurance 2.7 (19)
an in-company retraining to a new position 1.6 (11)
a retraining measure in an vocational promotion center 1.6 (11)
Neither of the above. 71.7 (498)

AxSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; n, number of patients; SD, standard deviation; WP, work participation



46

47

Supplementary Table 6. Pearson correlation, VIF, and CI to verify model assumptions of independence of the explanatory variables.

	Age	вмі	Male	Universit y educatio n	In a committ ed relations hip	Disease duratio n	ASAS-HI	BASDAI	BASDAI ≥4	BASFI	BASDAI fatigue	BASDAI Duratio n morning stiffness	Biologic treatme nt	Full time employ ment
Age	-	0.083	0.043	-0.034	0.238	0.395	0.164	0.116	0.101	0.312	0.050	0.083	0.003	-0.308
вмі	0.083	-	-0.022	-0.024	0.018	0.000	0.055	0.029	0.035	0.059	0.005	0.024	0.064	-0.023
Male	0.043	-0.022	-	-0.078	0.010	-0.097	0.223	0.186	0.192	0.094	0.216	0.003	0.007	-0.289
University education	-0.034	-0.024	-0.008	-	0.008	0.047	-0.144	-0.134	-0.139	-0.158	-0.091	-0.101	-0.112	0.061
In a commited relationshi p	0.238	0.018	0.010	0.008	-	0.027	0.036	0.010	0.17	0.022	-0.006	0.036	-0.012	-0.073
Disease duration	0.395	0.000	-0.097	0.047	0.027	-	0.042	-0.016	-0.043	0.141	-0.030	0.016	-0.103	-0.094
ASAS-HI	0.164	0.055	0.223	-0.144	0.036	0.042	-	0.681	0,559	0.705	0.655	0.426	-0.029	-0.260
BASDAI	0.116	0.029	0.186	-0.134	0.010	-0.016	0.681	-	0.839	0.752	0.847	0.641	0.043	-0.182
BASDAI ≥ 4									-					
BASFI	0.312	0.059	0.094	-0.158	0.022	0.141	0.705	0.752	0.644	-	0.649	0.539	-0.017	-0.278
BASDAI fatigue	0.050	0.005	0.216	-0.091	-0.006	-0.030	0.655	0.847	0.721	0.649	-	0.458	-0.004	-0.163
BASDAI duration morning stiffness	0.083	0.024	0.003	-0.101	0.036	0.016	0.426	0.641	0.507	0.539	0.458	-	-0.012	-0.082
Biologic treatment	0.003	0.064	0.007	-0.112	-0.012	-0.103	-0.029	0.043	-0.074	-0.017	-0.004	-0.012	-	0.000
Full time employme nt	-0.308	-0.023	-0.289	0.061	-0.073	-0.094	-0.260	-0.182	-0.150	-0.278	-0.163	-0.082	0.000	-
VIF*	1.370	1.026	1.352	1.053	1.143	1.202	2.416	7.733	3.359	2.941	3.842	1.738	1.069	1.257
CI*	2.989	4.127	4.146	5.099	5.533	6.024	6.610	7.602	8.951	10.163	11.451	13.884	20.329	24.593

ASAS-HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-Health Index; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BMI, Body Mass Index; VIF, Variance inflation factor; CI, condition index

* VIFs >10 and CIs >30 suggest multicollinearity.

Supplementary Table 7. Model quality for variables of the univariate logistic regression (n=695).

Predictors	-2 log likeliho od*	R ² ℕ	R ² cs	Classification table (%)						
				Correct prediction by WP		Overall prediction				
				Unimpaire d WP	Impaired WP					
General demographic characterisation										
Age	878.52 3	0.04 0	0.030	2.9	97.6	64.6				
BMI	895.34 6	0.01 0	0.004	0.0	100.0	65.2				
Male	873.10 2	0.05 0	0.040	0.0	100.0	65.2				
University education	888.29 1	0.02 0	0.010	0.0	100.0	65.2				
In a committed relationship	897.86 0	0.00 1	0.001	0.0	100.0	65.2				
Work characterisation										
Full time employment	843.70 9	0.10 0	0.080	0.0	100.0	65.2				
Clinical characterisation										
Disease duration	898.33 7	0.00 0	0.000	0.0	100.0	65.2				
Biologic treatment	897.80 1	0.00 1	0.001	0.0	100.0	65.2				
PROs										
ASAS-HI	659.74 6	0.40	0.29	64.5	84.8	77.7				
BASDAI	593.07 7	0.49	0.36	71.9	88.1	82.4				
BASDAI ≥4	699.91 1	0.34	0.25	91.0	57.57	73.2				
BASDAI Fatigue	650.68 5	0.41	0.3	71.1	83.4	79.1				
BASDAI Duration morning stiffness	777.64 4	0.22	0.16	63.2	78.1	72.9				
BASFI	652.97 1	0.41	0.30	66.1	84.1	77.8				

ASAS-HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-Health Index; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BMI, body mass index; df, degrees of freedom; n, number of patients; R^2_{cs} , Cox & Snell pseudo R^2 ; R^2_N , Nagelkerke pseudo R^2

* Null model: 898.393

Supplementary Table 8. Post-hoc Games-Howell-Test for PROs by different types of WP impairment (corresponding to Supplementary Figure 1). Work impairment* p-value by PRO⁺

		BASDAI	Fatigue [‡]	Duration morning stiffness [‡]	BASFI	ASAS-HI
Absenteeism	Disability pension	0.259	0.263	0.391	<0.001	<0.001
Absenteeism	No employment	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.181	0.787
Absenteeism	Presenteeis m	0.253	0.751	0.900	0.189	0.313
Absenteeism	Unimpaired WP	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Disability pension	No employment	0.417	0.257	0.779	0.012	0.141
Disability pension	Presenteeis m	0.004	0.020	0.163	<0.001	<0.001
Disability pension	Unimpaired WP	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
No employment	Presenteeis m	0.586	0.900	0.702	0.003	0.088
No employment	Unimpaired WP	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Presenteeism	Unimpaired WP	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

ASAS-HI, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society-Health Index; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; PRO, patient-reported outcome; WP, work participation

* Absenteeism and presenteeism were evaluated in the short-term (through the WPAI-axSpA). Patients grouped under presenteeism as work impairment displayed presenteeism but no absenteeism, whereas patients displaying absenteeism were grouped under absenteeism independently of whether their showed presenteeism.

⁺ Significant differences are indicated in bold. See Supplementary Figure 2 for a visual illustration of the group differences.

‡ Fatigue and duration morning stiffness as measured via the BASDAI.

Supplementary figure legends

Supplementary Figure **1.** Disease duration (years) in the WP Study Population. (A) Disease duration and percentage frequency of disability pension and patients not working (B) disease duration and percentage frequency of absenteeism and presenteeism.

Supplementary Figure 2. Work hours lost in the last 7 days as measured by the WPAI-axSpA among all workers (n=590) in the WP Study Population. The majority of patients reported not having lost any work hours in the past week.

Supplementary Figure 3. Relationship between presenteeism and absenteeism, and presenteeism and impairment of daily activities in the WP Study Population. Scatterplots indicate that there was no relationship between **(A)** presenteeism and absenteeism, however, a positive relationship between **(B)** presenteeism and impairment of daily activities. The size of the dots depicts the relative number of patients represented.

Supplementary Figure 4. PROs by different types of WP impairment in the WP Study Population. Illustration of differences in the PROS (A) BASDAI, (B) BASDAI Fatigue, (C) BASDAI Duration morning stiffness, (D) BASFI, and (E) ASAS-HI by different types of work impairment. Patients with unimpaired WP had significantly lower disease activity (including less fatigue and duration morning stiffness), as well as better global functioning and physical function across all patients with WP impairment; significances of differences between groups are shown in Supplementary Table 6.