Low rates of radiographic progression associated with clinical efficacy following up to 2 years of treatment with guselkumab: results from a phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of biologic-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis
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ABSTRACT

Objective Evaluate relationship between radiographic progression and clinical outcomes in post hoc analyses of patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA) receiving up to 2 years of guselkumab therapy in the phase 3, placebo-controlled, randomised trial, DISCOVER-2.

Methods Biologic-naïve adults with active PsA (≥5 swollen joints /≥5 tender joints; C reactive protein ≥0.6 mg/dL) were randomised to guselkumab 100 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W); guselkumab 100 mg at week 0, week 4, then every 8 weeks (Q8W); or placebo→guselkumab 100 mg Q4W (week 24). Radiographs (hands/feet) at week 0, week 24, week 52 and week 100 were scored via PsA-vdH-S methodology. In these post hoc analyses, mean changes in vdH-S scores were summarised according to achievement of American College of Rheumatology 20/50/70 response; low disease activity (LDA) defined by Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) ≤14 or Psoriatic Arthritis Disease Activity Score (PASDAS) ≤3.2, or minimal/very low disease activity (MDA/VLDA); and normalised physical function (Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAO-DI) ≤0.5). Response rates for achieving MDA/VLDA and each component were determined among patients with and without radiographic progression (change in total vdH-S score >0.5). No formal hypothesis testing was performed.

Results 664 of 739 treated patients in DISCOVER-2 continued study treatment at week 52 and were included in these analyses. Mean changes in vdH-S scores from weeks 0 to 100 among all patients in the Q4W and Q8W groups were 1.7 and 1.5, respectively. Among all guselkumab-randomised patients, those who achieved ACR20/50/70, DAPSA LDA, PASDAS LDA, MDA, VLDA and HAO-DI ≤0.5 (normalised physical function) had smaller mean changes in vdH-S scores than did non-responders at week 52 (0.2–1.2 vs 1.7–4.1) and week 100 (0.3–1.2 vs 2.0–4.6). Relative to patients with radiographic progression, those without progression were more likely to achieve the MDA criteria related to swollen and tender joint counts, patient-reported pain and global assessment, and normalised physical function through week 100.

Conclusion In these post hoc analyses, the achievement of low levels of disease activity, including MDA, was associated with diminished rates of radiographic progression through 2 years in the phase 3 DISCOVER-2 Study.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Uncontrolled inflammation of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) can lead to radiographic damage that is associated with impaired physical function and disability, which may be partly irreversible. Patients with PsA treated with guselkumab, a fully human interleukin-23p19 inhibitor, demonstrated low levels of radiographic progression through 2 years in the phase 3 DISCOVER-2 Study.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ In this population of patients at higher risk of future radiographic damage, achieving low/minimal levels of clinical disease activity or normalised physical function, at 1 or 2 years, with guselkumab therapy is associated with less radiographic progression over 2 years.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ These results underscore the importance of timely treatment for PsA and suggest that optimising treatment decisions to achieve low levels of disease activity across multiple PsA domains may ultimately improve long-term structural damage outcomes, thus preserving overall physical function.
INTRODUCTION
Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic, systemic, inflammatory disease characterised by a diverse constellation of signs and symptoms, including peripheral joint damage, psoriatic skin lesions, axial disease, enthesitis and dactylitis. Uncontrolled inflammation resulting from delayed therapy increases the risk of structural joint damage in PsA. Structural damage, in turn, is associated with greater impairment of physical function and disability, which may be partly irreversible and lead to long-term impairment of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and work productivity. A previous analysis of patients with PsA receiving conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) found that nearly 50% exhibited radiographic progression within 2 years of diagnosis. A separate study indicated that a delay in treatment, even as short as 6 months, was associated with greater radiographic damage and impaired physical function. Thus, limiting structural damage is an important treatment objective when addressing the potential manifestations of this lifelong disease. In patients with active disease despite traditional therapies, such as csDMARDs and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), biological therapies are often recommended to limit progression of structural damage.

Dysregulation of the interleukin (IL)-23/IL-17 axis is known to play a key role in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and PsA and has been the focus of newer biologics developed for patients with psoriatic disease. Findings from animal and human studies have suggested that IL-23 acts through multiple pathways to stimulate osteoclasts, which drive bone loss in inflammatory diseases. Guselkumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that selectively inhibits the IL-23p19 subunit and is approved to treat patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis and active PsA. In the pivotal, phase 3, placebo-controlled studies, DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2, guselkumab-treated patients had significantly greater improvements in the signs and symptoms of PsA through week 24 compared with those receiving placebo. Additionally, guselkumab-treated patients exhibited decreases in acute-phase reactants and inflammatory cytokines that are central to the IL-23/IL-17 axis. Radiographic progression was assessed in DISCOVER-2, which enrolled a biologic-naive population enriched for patients at higher risk of radiographic progression. Findings of prespecified analyses demonstrated that patients receiving guselkumab every 4 weeks (Q4W) and every 8 weeks (Q8W) had less radiographic progression through week 24 than did patients receiving placebo, with a statistically significant difference observed with the Q4W regimen. Furthermore, low levels of radiographic progression were observed through 2 years of guselkumab treatment. Herein, we report results of post hoc analyses from the 2-year DISCOVER-2 Study, intended to further evaluate the role of selective IL-23 inhibition with guselkumab in slowing radiographic progression and achieving meaningful and durable treatment targets.

METHODS
Patients and study design
The inclusion and exclusion criteria for DISCOVER-2 have been reported. Briefly, biologic-naive adults with active PsA ($\geq$5 tender joints, $\geq$5 swollen joints and C reactive protein (CRP) level $\geq$0.6 mg/dL), current or documented history of psoriasis, and either inadequate response to or intolerance of standard non-biological therapy (eg, csDMARDs, NSAIDs and/or apremilast) were eligible. Patients could continue stable doses of selected csDMARDs, NSAIDs or other analgesics, or oral corticosteroids ($\leq$10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent).

DISCOVER-2 was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. Eligible patients were randomly assigned (1:1:1) to receive subcutaneous injections of guselkumab 100 mg Q4W, guselkumab 100 mg at weeks 0 and 4, and then Q8W, or placebo with crossover to guselkumab Q4W at week 24. The final study agent administration was at week 100.

Assessments
Radiographs of the hands and feet were obtained at weeks 0, 24, 52 and 100 (or at the time of study discontinuation) and scored using the van der Heijde-Sharp (vDS) score modified for patients with PsA (inclusion of distal interphalangeal joints in the hands and pencil-in-cup/gross osteolytic deformities) in three distinct reading sessions. Reading session 1 included randomised patients who received $\geq$1 dose of study drug (partial or complete) and had radiographic images obtained at weeks 0 and 24 (or at discontinuation prior to week 24); reading session 2 included patients continuing study treatment at week 24 with images at weeks 0, 24 and 52 (or at discontinuation after week 24); and reading session 3 included patients continuing study treatment at week 52 with images at weeks 0, 24, 52 and 100 (or at discontinuation after week 52). For each reading session, radiographs were independently evaluated by two central primary readers, with a third reader for adjudication, blinded to patient, treatment group and time point. Primary reader scores in each session were averaged in the absence of adjudication. In the presence of adjudication, scores from the adjudicator, if not missing, were used when the difference between primary readers in week 24 change scores was $>10$ and the difference between the adjudicator and a primary reader was less than the difference between the two primary readers or if the week 24 change score from only one of the two primary readers was missing.
Clinical efficacy assessments and patient-reported outcomes were collected as previously detailed. In this post hoc analysis, global PsA disease activity was evaluated using the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) response criteria (tender joint count (TJC; 0–68), swollen joint count (SJC; 0–66), patient pain Visual Analogue Scale (VAS; 0–10), physician global assessment of disease activity (0–10 VAS), patient global assessment of disease activity (PtGA; arthritis; VAS 0–100), CRP level (mg/L); enthesitis (Leeds Enthesitis Index; LEI), tender dactylitis count and Physical Component Summary score of the 36-item Short-form Health Survey), and the Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) score (derived from TJC (0–68), SJC (0–66), physician global assessment (0–100), PtGA (arthritis and psoriasis; VAS 0–100), CRP level (mg/L)). Physical function was assessed using the HAQ-DI; normalised physical function was defined as HAQ-DI ≤0.5. Minimal disease activity (MDA) and very low disease activity (VLDA) were defined as meeting at least five or all seven, respectively, of the following criteria: TJC ≤1, SJC ≤1, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) ≤1, patient pain VAS (0–100) ≤15, PtGA (arthritis and psoriasis; VAS 0–100) ≤20, HAQ-DI ≤0.5 and tender enthesal points ≤1.

DISCOVER-2 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03158285) was conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Statistical analysis

Mean changes through week 100 in total PsA-modified vdH-S scores, as well as component erosion and joint space narrowing (JSN) scores, were determined using observed data derived from radiographic reading session 3. In post hoc analyses, mean changes in scores were summarised for clinical responders and non-responders, with clinical response defined as ≥20% improvement in ACR criteria (ACR20 response), ACR50 response, ACR70 response, PASDAS low disease activity (LDA; score ≤3.2), DAPSA LDA (score ≤14), MDA, VLDA and normalised HAQ-DI (score ≤0.5; among patients with HAQ-DI >0.5 at baseline). In addition, the proportions of patients achieving MDA and VLDA as well as the individual MDA/VLDA components at weeks 24, 52 and 100 were determined for patients with and without radiographic progression at the same time points, with progression defined as a change from baseline in total vdH-S score >0.5; analyses at weeks 24, 52 and 100 included patients from reading sessions 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Clinical response rates were determined using observed data. DISCOVER-2 was not powered to assess changes in vdH-S scores in the subgroups evaluated in these post hoc analyses; therefore, no formal hypothesis testing was performed.

RESULTS

Baseline demographic and disease characteristics

As previously reported, 739 patients were randomised and received ≥1 study drug administration (guselkumab Q4W, n=245; guselkumab Q8W, n=248; or placebo, n=246). Across the three treatment groups, the majority of patients completed the study: 93%–94% through 1 year and 85%–90% did so through week 100. Patient demographics and disease characteristics were generally well balanced among the treatment groups, with baseline assessments indicating active PsA and impaired physical function (mean scores: TJC, 19.8–22.4; SJC, 11.7–12.9; PtGA (arthritis; VAS 0–10), 6.4–6.5; DAPSA, 1.2–1.3; PASDAS, 6.6; DAPSA, 46.3–49.7). Additionally, 64%–72% of patients had enthesitis and 40%–49% of patients reported dactylitis at baseline; the mean duration of PsA ranged from 5.1 to 5.8 years (online supplemental table 1). Among patients included in reading session 3, the mean baseline total PsA-modified vdH-S scores were 28.0 in the Q4W group, 23.9 in the Q8W group and 25.6 in the placebo→Q4W group (table 1). Baseline mean erosion and JSN scores from reading session 3 ranged from 12.0 to 14.2 and 11.9 to 13.8, respectively (table 1). Mean baseline vdH-S scores were similar among the three reading sessions (table 1).

Clinical efficacy and radiographic progression

The DISCOVER-2 primary endpoint was achieved; 64% of patients in both guselkumab groups had an ACR20 response at week 24 compared with 33% of placebo patients (p<0.0001). In reading session 1 (major secondary endpoint analysis), least squares (LS) mean changes in total vdH-S scores from baseline at week 24 were significantly less in the Q4W group (0.29; p=0.011) and numerically less in the Q8W group (0.52; p=0.072) compared with placebo (0.95). Among patients evaluated in reading session 3, mean changes in total vdH-S scores from weeks 0 to 24 (table 2) were consistent with LS mean changes and absolute mean changes derived from reading sessions 1 and 2, respectively, and mean changes from weeks 24 to 52 were consistent with those derived from reading session 2 (online supplemental table 2).

Minimal radiographic progression was observed in guselkumab-randomised patients included in reading session 3 during both the first year (mean changes in total vdH-S from week 0 to 52: Q4W, 1.1; Q8W, 1.0), which was consistent with results from reading session 2, and also the second year (mean changes in total vdH-S from week 52 to 100: Q4W, 0.8; Q8W, 0.5) of guselkumab treatment, regardless of dosing regimen. Through 2 years, mean changes in total vdH-S score from weeks 0 to 100 were 1.7 in the Q4W group, 1.5 in the Q8W group and 1.5 in the placebo→Q4W group. Similar patterns of minimal progression through 2 years of guselkumab therapy were observed for both erosion and JSN scores (table 2). Among patients in the guselkumab groups, mean changes from weeks 0 to 100 in total vdH-S scores were...
numerically lower in patients who achieved clinical response at week 52 compared with non-responders when assessed by ACR20 (1.0–1.2 vs 2.8–4.1), ACR50 (0.7–1.0 vs 2.0–2.8) or ACR70 (0.2–1.1 vs 1.7–2.3) response (figure 1). Similarly, mean changes from baseline in total vdH-S scores at week 100 were also numerically lower for patients achieving PASDAS LDA (1.0 vs 1.9–2.4), DAPSA LDA (0.7–0.9 vs 2.3–3.1), MDA (0.5 vs 2.0–2.5) or HAQ-DI ≤0.5 (0.3–0.9 vs 2.0–2.6) at week 52 compared with non-responders (figure 1). This effect was also observed for patients who achieved VLDA compared with those who did not (mean change in total vdH-S: −0.4–0.9 vs 1.8–1.9), despite the relatively small sample size. Similar trends were observed for mean changes from weeks 0 to 100 in total vdH-S scores when clinical efficacy was assessed at week 100 (figure 1).

**Clinical response in patients with and without radiographic progression**

At week 24 (reading session 1), guselkumab-randomised patients classified as radiographic non-progressors had numerically greater response rates than progressors for achieving SJC ≤1 (46%–52% vs 32%–36%), patient pain VAS ≤15 (23%–30% vs 11%–16%), PtGA (arthritis and psoriasis) ≤20 (33%–34% vs 16%–19%) and HAQ-DI ≤0.5 (36%–38% vs 21%–32%) as well as overall MDA (22%–30% vs 12%–14%) (figure 2). While clinical response rates increased or were maintained at weeks 52 (reading session 2) and 100 (reading session 3) in both cohorts of patients, numerically greater proportions of radiographic non-progressors than progressors achieved SJC ≤1, TJC ≤1, patient pain VAS ≤15, PtGA (arthritis and psoriasis) ≤20, HAQ-DI ≤0.5 and MDA (figure 2). At week 24, 5%–6% of radiographic non-progressors and 2%–3% of radiographic progressors achieved VLDA; response rates continued to separate between these cohorts at week 100 (18%–25% vs 8%–9%, respectively; data not shown). The vast majority (>70%) of both radiographic non-progressors and progressors achieved LEI ≤1 and PASI ≤1 at all three time points (figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Structural damage in patients with PsA can lead to functional disability that may be irreversible. Previous studies have identified risk factors for radiographic progression in PsA, including elevated CRP,24–26 higher SJC,24 27 28 and the presence of dactylitis29 and bone erosions. The DISCOVER-2 population was enriched for patients at higher risk of radiographic progression with the inclusion criteria of ≥5 tender joints, ≥5 swollen joints and CRP ≥0.6 mg/dL. In addition, although the presence of dactylitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Mean PsA-modified vdH-S scores at baseline for DISCOVER-2 patients included in reading sessions 1, 2 and 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guselkumab Q4W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline vdH-S score</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reading session 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, N</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27.2 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>13.3 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
<td>13.9 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reading session 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, N</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25.4 (40.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>15.1 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
<td>10.3 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reading session 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, N</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28.0 (43.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>14.2 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
<td>13.8 (21.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reported as mean (SD).  
*Reading session 1 included randomised patients who received ≥1 administration of study drug (partial or complete) and had radiographic images obtained at weeks 0 and 24 (or at discontinuation prior to week 24).  
†Reading session 2 included patients continuing study treatment at week 24 with images at weeks 0, 24 and 52 (or at discontinuation after week 24).  
‡Reading session 3 included patients continuing study treatment at week 52 with images at weeks 0, 24, 52 and 100 (or at discontinuation after week 52).  
PsA-modified vdH-S score, van der Heijde-Sharp score modified for psoriatic arthritis; Q4W, every 4 weeks; Q8W, every 8 weeks.
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Psoriatic arthritis was not required for study enrolment, 45% of patients in this study were affected at baseline. CRP and SJC, respectively, are indicative of systemic and local inflammation, and these variables, as well as the presence of dactylitis, are included in the composite indices used to assess disease activity in the current analyses. Achieving meaningful improvements or low levels of disease activity across several disease domains, as assessed by these composite measures, at 1 year of treatment with guselkumab was associated with less radiographic progression over 2 years.

Low rates of radiographic progression were observed through 2 years in patients receiving guselkumab in DISCOVER-2, regardless of the dosing regimen. In the guselkumab groups, achievement of clinical response and treatment targets at 1 year (ie, ACR20/50/70, PASDAS LDA, DAPSA LDA, MDA and normalised physical function (HAQ-DI ≤ 0.5)) was associated with smaller mean changes in total vdH-S scores from weeks 0 to 100. In addition, patients classified as non-progressors (change in total vdH-S ≤ 0.5) were more likely to achieve MDA. Specifically, radiographic non-progressors were more likely to achieve the MDA criteria for swollen and tender joints (0 or 1) and, importantly, patient-reported outcomes indicative of minimal pain (VAS ≤ 15 (0–100) and overall disease activity (PtGA of arthritis and psoriasis; VAS ≤ 20 (0–100)), as well as normalised physical function (HAQ-DI ≤ 0.5). Additionally, previous research has demonstrated that among patients with PsA treated with secukinumab, those who achieved either MDA or DAPSA LDA had significantly greater improvements in patient-reported measures of HRQoL and fatigue and less overall work impairment compared with MDA and DAPSA LDA non-responders through 2 years.

The IL-23/IL-17 axis has been implicated in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and PsA. IL-23 maintains the differentiation of naive T cells into Th17 cells, which are the primary source of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-17A and have been linked to several autoimmune diseases including PsA. In a murine model of psoriasis, mice with increased levels of IL-23 in the skin also developed joint swelling, and in patients with PsA, IL-23 expression has been correlated with SJC and CRP. IL-23 has been identified as a ‘master regulator’ in psoriasis, and selective inhibition of IL-23 in PsA provides efficacy across several aspects of disease as demonstrated by results of the current analyses.

Pooled analyses of serum biomarkers from patients in the DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2 Studies found decreases in acute-phase reactants and inflammatory cytokines following guselkumab treatment, with post-treatment serum levels of IL-17A and IL-17F consistent with those in healthy controls. In a separate analysis of DISCOVER-2 patients, guselkumab-treated patients had greater decreases in serum levels of several collagen degradation biomarkers as early as week 4 than did patients receiving placebo. When evaluating clinical efficacy
by various composite indices of disease activity, greater proportions of guselkumab-treated patients achieved meaningful improvements at week 24 compared with placebo, with separation observed as early as week 8.36

A treat-to-target approach has been widely implemented in managing patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and is associated with improved outcomes, including radiographic progression and physical function.37 This approach is currently considered the standard of care for patients with RA.38 39 The Tight Control of PsA (TICOPA) Study evaluated this concept using MDA as the treatment target and demonstrated that greater proportions of patients with PsA in the tight control group achieved ACR and PASI responses compared with patients in the standard care group.40 Although no difference in radiographic progression between the two treatment groups was apparent at week 48, it should be noted that TICOPA participants received only non-biological therapies,40 which are known to be inferior to biologics in slowing radiographic progression.41–49 Current recommendations from the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis support using MDA as a treatment target, although no consensus has been reached on a preferred continuous measure of PsA disease activity. Actual real-world use of a treat-to-target approach has remained relatively limited, with fewer than half of clinicians surveyed reporting regular use of a composite measure for PsA in their practice.50 The results of these post hoc analyses from DISCOVER-2 demonstrate the association between less radiographic progression and achieving low levels of disease activity as assessed by several composite indices, including MDA. The findings reported here suggest that using a treat-to-target approach in patients with PsA may result in

![Figure 1](http://rmdopen.bmj.com/)

**Figure 1** Mean change from baseline to week 100 in total PsA-modified vdH-S score for patients who achieved clinical response at week 52 (A) or week 100 (B). ACR 20/50/70, ≥20%/50%/70% improvement in American College of Rheumatology criteria; DAPSA, Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; LDA, low disease activity; MDA, minimal disease activity; PASDAS, Psoriatic Arthritis Disease Activity Score; PsA-modified vdH-S score, van der Heijde-Sharp score modified for psoriatic arthritis; Q4W, every 4 weeks; Q8W, every 8 weeks.
Psoriatic arthritis

long-term benefits in terms of both radiographic damage and physical function. Uncontrolled inflammation in patients with PsA can lead to progressive radiographic damage and ultimately disability. In a qualitative study of treatment outcomes in patients with PsA, prevention of joint damage was an important factor to many patients when considering their treatment options. Thus, therapies that are effective in diminishing progression of structural damage and maintaining function may lead to improved treatment persistence. An observational analysis of patients with PsA in Ireland found that those who had a delay >6 months between symptom onset and their first visit with a rheumatologist were more likely to have peripheral erosions and greater impairments in physical function and HRQoL compared with those who were evaluated by a rheumatologist earlier in their disease course. Previous results from DISCOVER-2 have demonstrated that among biologic-naive patients, those treated with guselkumab had lower levels of radiographic progression and less impairment of overall HRQoL and work productivity at week 24 than did patients receiving placebo.

Figure 2 Proportions of patients achieving MDA components at weeks 24 (A; reading session 1), 52 (B; reading session 2) and 100 (C; reading session 3) summarised by radiographic progression status at the same time points, with progression defined as change from baseline in total PsA-modified vdh-S score >0.5. Progressors (P)—week 24: Q4W, N=50; Q8W, N=62; week 52: Q4W, N=64; Q8W, N=77; week 100: Q4W, N=57, Q8W, N=77. Non-progressors (NP)—week 24: Q4W, N=190; Q8W, N=181; week 52: Q4W, N=162; Q8W, N=157; week 100: Q4W, N=153, Q8W, N=138. GUS, guselkumab; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; LEI, Leeds Enthesitis Index; MDA, minimal disease activity; PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; PsA-modified vdh-S score, van der Heijde-Sharp score modified for psoriatic arthritis; PtGA, patient global assessment; Q4W, every 4 weeks; Q8W, every 8 weeks; SJC, swollen joint count; TJC, tender joint count.
Of note, DISCOVER-2 patients who crossed over to guselkumab after 6 months of placebo had mean changes in total vdH-S scores at week 100 that were similar to those in patients who had been receiving guselkumab from baseline.

Response rates for criteria related to enthesitis and skin disease were high overall, with no apparent differences between progressors and non-progressors in achieving responses defined by minimal symptoms (LEI ≤ 1 and PASI ≤ 1). Dysregulation of IL-23 is known to play a central role in both the keratinocyte proliferation leading to psoriatic skin lesions as well as enthesal inflammation. Inhibiting the IL-23p19 subunit with guselkumab therapy demonstrated robust efficacy in treating both of these aspects of PsA with over half of patients in DISCOVER-2 achieving complete skin clearance and over 65% of patients achieving resolution of enthesitis at week 100.

These analyses were conducted post hoc, and DISCOVER-2 was not powered to assess radiographic progression in the various subgroups evaluated, some of which comprised relatively small numbers of patients and, thus, may have been susceptible to a high degree of variability in radiographic scores. Owing to these limitations, formal hypothesis testing was not performed. Of note, the retention rate in this study was high, with nearly all patients enrolled and treated in DISCOVER-2 completing study treatment through 2 years, providing a robust dataset. All patients in DISCOVER-2 were biologic-naïve and were selected using inclusion criteria designed to enrich the population for patients at higher risk of radiographic progression. These results may not be generalisable to all patients with PsA; however, it should be noted that the treatment effect with guselkumab has been observed across subgroups from DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2 defined by various baseline demographic and disease characteristics. In addition, 2 years may be a relatively short follow-up time for observing radiographic progression in PsA. To that end, a phase 3b study (APEX; NCT048882098) is being conducted to further evaluate the effects of guselkumab on radiographic progression in at-risk biologic-naive patients with PsA. In APEX, an elevated risk of radiographic progression is defined by the presence of ≥2 joint erosions of the hands and feet and CRP ≥0.3 mg/dL at baseline, and patients will be followed for up to 3 years.

As noted, previous findings from DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2 have demonstrated the efficacy of guselkumab in improving signs and symptoms across several PsA disease domains across diverse patient subgroups. The patients with an elevated risk of future structural damage who were enrolled in DISCOVER-2 demonstrated low rates of radiographic progression through 2 years, with 85%-90% completing the study through week 100. Results of the current post hoc analyses from DISCOVER-2 indicate that achieving low levels of clinical disease activity, following 1 or 2 years of treatment with guselkumab, is associated with less radiographic progression over 2 years. Our results suggest that the association of achieving low levels of disease activity across disease domains and less radiographic progression over time may be an important consideration in the shared decision-making process when evaluating PsA treatment options.

Taken together, these data provide a robust analysis of radiographic progression through 2 years in a phase 3 study of guselkumab in patients with PsA and also highlight the importance of addressing structural damage in a timely manner to optimise long-term patient outcomes, including preservation of function.
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