



## EDITORIAL

## Axial spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: mostly overlapping or substantially different diseases?

Juergen Braun ,<sup>1</sup> Laura C Coates <sup>2</sup>

**To cite:** Braun J, Coates LC. Axial spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: mostly overlapping or substantially different diseases?. *RMD Open* 2023;**9**:e003063. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003063

Received 24 February 2023  
Accepted 4 May 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Rheumapraaxis Berlin, Ruhr Universität Bochum, Bochum, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

**Correspondence to**  
Dr Juergen Braun;  
juebraun@gmx.de

The concept of spondyloarthritis (SpA) is clearly not new.<sup>1</sup> Ever since 1974, axial inflammation and psoriasis (Pso) with psoriatic arthritis (PsA) have been part of the concept,<sup>2 3</sup> except for the New York (NY) criteria for ankylosing spondylitis (AS) which have concentrated on axial disease.<sup>4</sup> Historically, the term axial SpA (axSpA) has led to the formation of two subgroups based on the presence or absence of structural changes in the sacroiliac joints, which provided the basis for a classification into radiographic (r)-axSpA and non-radiographic (nr)-axSpA.<sup>5</sup> Linked to drug approval processes, many biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) such as the tumour necrosis factor inhibitors have been approved for both subtypes. However, clinically, it does not make sense to stick to that differentiation<sup>6</sup> but rather consider a spectrum of disease because the cut-off between the two is not reliable,<sup>7</sup> and the severity of symptoms is rather similar between the two.<sup>8</sup>

While the concept of SpA unifies both axSpA and PsA, key differences between these diagnoses are clinically apparent: distal interphalangeal (DIP) joint involvement and dactylitis which rarely appear in axSpA without pso are considered hallmark signs of PsA. There are other potentially important differences between axSpA and PsA such as the age at onset (patients with axSpA are typically younger) and the sex ratio (favouring males in axSpA). While back pain, often but not always inflammatory back pain, is rather pathognomonic in axSpA, predominant peripheral symptoms are more common in PsA. While HLA B27 is clearly associated with axial disease, it is less common in PsA, even in those with documented axial involvement.<sup>9 10</sup> Classification criteria for axSpA<sup>3</sup> and PsA<sup>11</sup> are known to overlap, and axial symptoms are part of the PsA criteria—although

present in a much lower frequency than in axSpA. There are also classification criteria for peripheral SpA,<sup>12</sup> which include arthritis and/or enthesitis and/or dactylitis plus pso or other parameters.

However, the discussion whether axial PsA (axPsA) is different from axSpA or AS with pso is long-standing, and there have been many approaches how to compare the two entities.<sup>9 10 13–17</sup> In addition, there are two international organisations active in this research area, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) and Group in Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) which each clearly have a different focus: the former is rather concerned about axSpA and the latter about PsA and pso. However, both groups have recognised that the lack of a proper definition of axPsA is hampering research into pathogenesis, disease impact and treatment. Research to define prevalence, clinical features, impact, similarities and differences with other axSpA, and treatment is an unmet need.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, international experts from both the ASAS and GRAPPA groups have established a study aimed at an evidence-based definition for axPsA, the protocol has been recently published.<sup>19</sup>

What is the magnitude of the problem (of axial involvement in PsA)? In a very recent study with 1576 patients with PsA, only 2% had isolated axial disease with 29% reporting a combination of axial and peripheral disease, and HLA-B27 positivity was associated with isolated axial disease. The comparison with 1688 AS patients who were significantly younger showed that 5% had isolated axial disease with pso.<sup>20</sup> Thus, looking at PsA and axSpA as the main entities, the prevalence of pure axial disease in PsA and of AS with pso was relatively low.

There has been a long-standing discussion about ‘lumping’ or ‘splitting’ within the concept of SpA and this extends to the concept of axSpA and PsA. However, recent developments have brought some challenging intensity to the discussion which is based on the fact that bDMARD therapy with anti-IL 23 antibodies has been shown to work in PsA but not in axSpA.<sup>21</sup> Researchers have questioned whether there could be differential evidence of efficacy in subtypes of axSpA, in particular related to the presence of axial symptoms in patients with PsA.<sup>21</sup> Studies of IL-23 inhibitors in PsA have shown improvement in clinical measures of axial symptoms such as with the Bath ankylosing spondylitis (AS) disease activity index (BASDAI) but these are known to be non-specific to axial inflammation.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, these results have raised substantial concerns that this response would be rather seen due to improvements in PsA symptoms even if the drug was not effective in the axial skeleton. A randomised trial of IL-23 inhibition in PsA patients looking specifically at confirmed axial involvement with MRI is currently recruiting.<sup>23</sup>

However, what are the similarities in patients under suspicion of SpA with axial symptoms in nr-axSpA and PsA? Lets first look at the background situation in the population. First, back pain and even chronic back pain are so frequent in all age groups. Inflammatory back was reported to occur in 5%–6% of the US population.<sup>24</sup> The prevalence of HLA B27 is between 6% and 8% of the West European population and 2%–3% have pso. In addition, the frequency of degenerative spinal changes (in the asymptomatic population) exceeds 50% (28%) demonstrating a high ‘background noise’ found in radiographic findings in these patients. Similarly, the prevalence of MRI findings similar to axSpA was higher than 20% in a population-based study<sup>25</sup> being influenced by age, sex, HLA B27 and a history of pregnancy/delivery.<sup>26</sup> Thus, we have a difficult background for diagnosis here, since symptoms and findings are rather prevalent in the general population. We do not repeat the discussion on radiographic axial findings in axSpA and axPsA here because the former specific features of the latter such as unilateral sacroiliitis and the syndesmophyte shape are not seen in the majority of patients and have recently not been confirmed.<sup>9 10</sup> It is interesting that there is no study comparing r-axSpA plus pso versus nr-axSpA plus pso to date. It could well be that there may be more similarities seen between nr-axSpA plus pso and axPsA but given the lack of specific classification criteria for axPsA, these patients are difficult to separate. In research studies, patients classified as nr-axSpA usually have the same degree of back pain and disease activity as those with r-axSpA while most comparative studies report less or even no clinical symptoms in patients considered to have axPsA.<sup>9 10</sup> However, these studies could possibly miss some mild cases of axSpA that may remain undiagnosed in the community.

In this issue of *RMD Open*, Regierer *et al* report results from a cohort study within RABBIT-SpA, the German

SpA register.<sup>27</sup> The authors describe differences between axSpA plus pso and axPsA based on clinical findings similar to those cited above,<sup>18</sup> including age at onset, sex, peripheral symptoms and HLA-B27 positivity. The main problem in this approach, however, is that there is no accepted definition for axPsA at present. Patients recruited into the RABBIT-SpA registry are included by their treating physicians either as an axSpA or PsA case. This introduces a circular argument as the study automatically takes the rheumatologist’s initial opinion as gold standard, where that clinician’s classification is likely to be based on accepted features of PsA such as peripheral arthritis, dactylitis, sex and age and then reports results showing that there is a difference between the two groups based on these clinical features. However, this problem is present in most published analyses covering axPsA,<sup>20 28</sup> as there is no accepted definition of axPsA yet available.

In conclusion, the big question around potential differences between axSpA and axPsA seems to have risen in reaction to the surprising lack of efficacy of IL-23 inhibitors in axSpA. Even though patients who had all been included because of peripheral PsA have reported improvements in back pain and BASDAI scores with these drugs, this is clearly not specific and not convincing at all. Previous cohort studies have demonstrated similar BASDAI scores in PsA, correlated highly with patient global in those patients with and without axial involvement.<sup>29 30</sup> Each individual BASDAI question, including the question on spinal pain, shows similar disease activity and response after effective treatment in those with and without axial disease.<sup>31</sup> We do not believe that these data can ever support the use of IL-23 inhibitors in patients with axPsA given that these agents clearly not work in axSpA.<sup>21</sup>

Thus, coming from a clinical point of view, the existing status quo in most clinical studies in SpA seems favourable at the current time—if patients have back pain, active disease and fulfil the classification criteria for AS they can be included in r-axSpA studies<sup>31</sup>. Patients with PsA and predominant peripheral arthritis should of course be considered for PsA studies. Until further research provides any new alternative evidence-based definitions, our opinion is that patients with pso fulfilling NY criteria can be classified as r-axSpA plus pso and patients with no definite radiographic changes, depending on the clinically leading symptom, as either nr-axSpA plus pso or axPsA. For diagnosis, the rheumatologist should orientate towards the predominant clinical symptom, and if there is more than one domain of active disease, decide on a case-by-case basis on the optimal treatment for that individual.

**Twitter** Laura C Coates @drlauracoates

**Contributors** Both authors have equally contributed.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Provenance and peer review** Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

**ORCID iDs**

Juergen Braun <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9156-5095>

Laura C Coates <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4756-663X>

**REFERENCES**

- 1 Moll JM, Haslock I, Macrae IF, *et al*. Associations between ankylosing spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis, Reiter's disease, the intestinal arthropathies, and Behcet's syndrome. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1974;53:343–64.
- 2 Dougados M, van der Linden S, Juhlin R, *et al*. The European spondylarthropathy study group preliminary criteria for the classification of spondylarthropathy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:1218–27.
- 3 Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, *et al*. The development of assessment of spondyloarthritis international society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:777–83.
- 4 van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the New York criteria. *Arthritis Rheum* 1984;27:361–8.
- 5 Landewé R, Braun J, Deodhar A, *et al*. Efficacy of certolizumab pegol on signs and symptoms of axial spondyloarthritis including ankylosing spondylitis: 24-week results of a double-blind randomised placebo-controlled phase 3 study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:39–47.
- 6 Deodhar A, Strand V, Kay J, *et al*. The term "non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis" is much more important to classify than to diagnose patients with axial spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:791–4.
- 7 van Tubergen A. Radiographic assessment of sacroiliitis by radiologists and rheumatologists: does training improve quality? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:519–25.
- 8 Kiltz U, Baraliakos X, Karakostas P, *et al*. Do patients with non-radiographic axial spondylarthritis differ from patients with ankylosing spondylitis? *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2012;64:1415–22.
- 9 Feld J, Chandran V, Haroon N, *et al*. Axial disease in psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: a critical comparison. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2018;14:363–71.
- 10 Coates LC, Baraliakos X, Blanco FJ, *et al*. The phenotype of axial spondyloarthritis: is it dependent on HLA-B27 status? *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2021;73:856–60.
- 11 Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, *et al*. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: development of new criteria from a large international study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2665–73.
- 12 Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, *et al*. The assessment of spondyloarthritis International Society classification criteria for peripheral spondyloarthritis and for spondyloarthritis in general. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:25–31.
- 13 Braun J, Rudwaleit M, Kary S, *et al*. Clinical manifestations and responsiveness to adalimumab are similar in patients with ankylosing spondylitis with and without concomitant psoriasis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;49:1578–89.
- 14 Jadon DR, Sengupta R, Nightingale A, *et al*. Axial disease in psoriatic arthritis study: defining the clinical and radiographic phenotype of psoriatic spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:701–7.
- 15 Machado P, Landewé R, Braun J, *et al*. Ankylosing spondylitis patients with and without psoriasis do not differ in disease phenotype. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1104–7.
- 16 Feld J, Ye JY, Chandran V, *et al*. Is axial psoriatic arthritis distinct from ankylosing spondylitis with and without concomitant psoriasis? *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2020;59:1340–6.
- 17 Poddubnyy D, Jadon DR, Van den Bosch F, *et al*. Axial involvement in psoriatic arthritis: an update for rheumatologists. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2021;51:880–7.
- 18 Chandran V. Psoriatic spondylitis or ankylosing spondylitis with psoriasis: same or different? *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2019;31:329–34.
- 19 Poddubnyy D, Baraliakos X, Van den Bosch F, *et al*. Axial involvement in psoriatic arthritis cohort (AXIS): the protocol of a joint project of the assessment of spondyloarthritis international society (ASAS) and the group for research and assessment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (GRAPPA). *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2021;13:1759720X211057975.
- 20 Kwok TSH, Sutton M, Pereira D, *et al*. Isolated axial disease in psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis with psoriasis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:1678–84.
- 21 Landewé RB, Braun J. Response to: correspondence on "no efficacy of anti-il-23 therapy for axial spondyloarthritis in randomised controlled trials but in post hoc analyses of psoriatic arthritis-relate "physician-reported spondyliti"? " by braun and landewé. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;annrheumdis-2022-222359.
- 22 Mease PJ, Helliwell PS, Gladman DD, *et al*. Efficacy of guselkumab on axial involvement in patients with active psoriatic arthritis and sacroiliitis: a post-hoc analysis of the phase 3 DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2 studies. *Lancet Rheumatology* 2021;3:e715–23.
- 23 Gladman DD, Mease PJ, Bird P, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of guselkumab in biologic-naïve patients with active axial psoriatic arthritis: study protocol for STAR, a phase 4, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Trials* 2022;23:743.
- 24 Weisman MH, Witter JP, Reveille JD. The prevalence of inflammatory back pain: population-based estimates from the US National health and nutrition examination survey, 2009–10. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:369–73.
- 25 Baraliakos X, Richter A, Feldmann D, *et al*. Frequency of MRI changes suggestive of axial spondyloarthritis in the axial skeleton in a large population-based cohort of individuals aged < 45 years. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:186–92.
- 26 Baraliakos X, Richter A, Feldmann D, *et al*. Which factors are associated with bone marrow oedema suspicious of axial spondyloarthritis as detected by MRI in the sacroiliac joints and the spine in the general population? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80:469–74.
- 27 Regierer AC, Weiß A, Proft F, *et al*. Comparison of patients with axial PSA and patients with axSpA and concomitant psoriasis: an analysis of the German register RABBIT-SpA. *RMD Open* 2023;9:e002837.
- 28 Benavent D, Navarro-Compán V. Understanding the paradigm of non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2021;40:501–12.
- 29 Taylor WJ, Harrison AA. Could the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) be a valid measure of disease activity in patients with psoriatic arthritis? *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 2004;51:311–5.
- 30 Fernández-Sueiro JL, Willisch A, Pérttega-Díaz S, *et al*. Validity of the bath ankylosing spondylitis disease activity index for the evaluation of disease activity in axial psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62:78–85.
- 31 Reddy S, Husni ME, Scher J, *et al*. Use of the BASDAI in Psoriatic arthritis patients with and without axial disease. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020;72.