## Supplementary table 8. Outcome details for studies on imaging settings and technical standards (PICO2)

AUTHOR, DATE	DISEASE	SETTINGS - SITE	INTERVENTION (COMPOUND)	OUTCOME CATEGORY	OUTCOME DETAIL	OUTCOME EXPLANATION (UNIT) 1	TIME POINT	RESULTS <sup>2</sup>	OVERALL ROB <sup>3</sup>			
LARGE JOINTS AND PERIARTICULAR STRUCTURES												
Orlandi et al., 2017	Rotator cuff calcific tendinopathy	one Needle vs. two needles - SA-SD Bursa	US guided aspiration, injection (heated saline, 200mg 2% mepivacaine, 1ml triamcinolone)	Accuracy	Tissue/Fluid acquired	Subjective ease of calcium dissolution on a semiquantitative scale	During procedure	no difference	Some concerns			
				Safety	Pain Function	Constant Score	BSL, 1m, 3m, 1y	no difference	Some concerns			
				Safety	Adverse events	Immediate Complications <sup>4</sup> (%)	Post-procedure	no difference	Some concerns			
				Safety	Adverse events	Subacromial Bursitis (%)	1у	no difference	Some concerns			
				Efficacy	Treatment failure	Change of the one needle method to the two needle method (%)	During procedure	During procedure: worse for one needle <sup>5</sup>	Some concerns			
				Efficacy	Treatment failure	Needle obstruction (%)	During procedure	During procedure: worse for one needle	Some concerns			
				Cost/Time	Time	Procedure duration from first needle insertion to steroid injection (sec)	Post-procedure	no difference	Some concerns			
Sibbitt et al., 2012	palpably effusive knees (43 RA, 21 OA)	mechanical vs. automatic syringe - knee joint	US guided joint aspiration, injection (5ml 1% lidocaine, 80mg triamcinolone)	Accuracy	Tissue/Fluid acquired	Aspirated fluid (ml)	Post-procdure	no difference	Some concerns			
				Safety	Pain	Patient Pain VAS (cm)	BSL, during procedure, 2w	no difference	Some concerns			
MULTIPLE JOINTS												
Just et al., 2018	Inflammatory arthritis, degenerative disease	US-NB vs US-P&F vs Arthroscopy - wrist/knee/MCP/ankle/elbow/MTP	Synovial biopsy	Safety	Pain	Patient Pain VAS (mm)	BSL, 1w-2w	no difference	Moderate			
				Safety	Adverse events	Complications <sup>6</sup> (n°)	n.a. <sup>7</sup>	no difference	Moderate			

Efficacy	Function	Patient Stiffness VAS (mm)	BSL, 1w-2w	no difference	Moderate
Efficacy	Function	Patient Swelling VAS (mm)	BSL, 1w-2w	no difference	Moderate
Efficacy	Patient satisfaction	Willing to participate in another biopsy (%)	n.a. <sup>7</sup>	Better for US-P&F and arthroscopy <sup>8</sup>	Moderate

The abbreviation BSL (baseline) refers to the time point before the intervention happened

m, month(s); MTP, metatarsophalangeal joint; NB, needle biopsy; OA, osteoarthritis; PF, portal and forceps; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SA-SD bursa, subacromial-subdeltoid bursa; US, ultrasound; w, week(s); y, year(s);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The outcomes "Complications" are usually only presented in descriptive manner by the respective authors. Statistical tests were not performed by the authors, unless stated otherwise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No difference = at none of the give time points a difference was found between the groups. If differences were found, the time point for the differences is depicted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For details on RoB see supplementary table 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Complications included only mild vagal reactions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In seven of 107 patients (6.5%) treated with one needle who had a hard calcification, a leakage of fluid in the subacromial bursa and in the surrounding tissues was detected. In these patients, a second needle was used and the procedure was completed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Complications included syncope or presyncope, tenosynovitis, neurological disturbance (sensory but no motor impairment), hemarthrosis

<sup>7</sup> Not described by the authors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Patients undergoing both US-P&F and arthroscopy were more willing than those undergoing US-NB to undergo a repeat procedure (US-P&F vs US-NB: p<0.01and arthroscopy vs US-NB: p<0.01), while there was no significant difference between US-P&F and arthroscopy (p=0.85).

## References

- JUST, S. A., HUMBY, F., LINDEGAARD, H., MERIC DE BELLEFON, L., DUREZ, P., VIEIRA-SOUSA, E., TEIXEIRA, R., STOENOIU, M., WERLINRUD, J., ROSMARK, S., LARSEN, P. V., PRATT, A., CHOY, E., GENDI, N., BUCH, M. H., EDWARDS, C. J., TAYLOR, P. C., MCINNES, I. B., FONSECA, J. E., PITZALIS, C. & FILER, A. 2018. Patient-reported outcomes and safety in patients undergoing synovial biopsy: comparison of ultrasound-guided needle biopsy, ultrasound-guided portal and forceps and arthroscopic-guided synovial biopsy techniques in five centres across Europe. *RMD Open*, 4, e000799.
- ORLANDI, D., MAURI, G., LACELLI, F., CORAZZA, A., MESSINA, C., SILVESTRI, E., SERAFINI, G. & SCONFIENZA, L. M. 2017. Rotator Cuff Calcific Tendinopathy: Randomized Comparison of US-guided Percutaneous Treatments by Using One or Two Needles. Radiology, 285, 518-527.
- SIBBITT, W. L., JR., KETTWICH, L. G., BAND, P. A., CHAVEZ-CHIANG, N. R., DELEA, S. L., HASELER, L. J. & BANKHURST, A. D. 2012. Does ultrasound guidance improve the outcomes of arthrocentesis and corticosteroid injection of the knee? Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology, 41, 66-72.